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INTRODUCTION.

Let \( \Omega \) be a bounded open set in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with smooth boundary \( \Gamma \). We study the problems of optimal control related to the partial differential equation

\[
\frac{\partial z}{\partial t} - \Delta z - z^3 = f, \quad \text{in } Q = \Omega \times [0,T[.
\]

where the control variable \( v \) is a function definite on \( \Sigma = \Gamma \times [0,T[ \).

\[\text{(*)} \quad \text{This research was supported by CNPq and CEPG-UFRJ (Brasil).}\]
We will show that if the interior of $U_{ad}$ (the set of admissible controls) is non empty, there exists an optimality system characterizing the optimal couple.

In Section 1 we given an abstract statement for problems of optimal control of singular systems, we show the existence of an optimal couple $(u,y)$ and we make some remarks on the penalized problem.

In Section 2 we study the case where the state equation is given by (1), (2) and (3), where:

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial v} = v, \text{ on } \Sigma$$

$$z(x,0) = y_0(x), \text{ in } \Omega.$$  

In Section 3 we consider the problem of optimal control of the system governed by (1), (2'), (3), where:

$$z = v, \text{ on } \Sigma.$$  

The plan is as follows:

1. The abstract problem.
2. Unstable non linear evolution system: Case of the Neumann condition.

The author likes to thank Professor Jacques Louis Lions for many suggestions and discussions during the development of this research.

1. THE ABSTRACT PROBLEM

1.1. Setting of the Problem.

Let $U$ and $H$ be two Hilbert spaces on IR and let $Z$ be a reflexive Banach spaces on IR. We consider the control variable $v \in U$ and the state $z \in Z$ related by the state equation:

$$\mathcal{A} z = f + Bv$$

where $f$ is given in $H$, $\mathcal{A}$ is an operator (non necessarily linear) from the domain $D(\mathcal{A}) \subset Z$ into
H and B is an operator from $U$ into $H$.

In the usual theory (Lions [1]) we assume that the equation (1.1) has a unique solution for each $v$ in $U$. At the present we ignore the existence or the uniqueness of the solutions of (1.1). For each control $v$ we define the set:

\[(1.2) \quad Z(v) = A^{-1} \left\{ f + Bv \right\} = \left\{ z \in D(A) ; \quad Az = f + Bv \right\}.\]

Also, for each $M \subset U$ we consider the set given by:

\[(1.3) \quad \hat{M} = \left\{ v \in M ; \quad Z(v) \neq \emptyset \right\}.\]

The cost function is given by:

\[(1.4) \quad J(v,z) = \Phi(z) + (Nv \mid v)_U, \quad (v,z) \text{ in } U \times Z\]

in which $\Phi$ is a positive real function defined on $Z$ and $N: U \rightarrow U$ is a linear operator.

Let $U_{ad}$ be a subset of $U$ such that $U_{ad}$ is non empty. The optimal control problem is:

\[(1.5) \quad \text{Find a couple } (u,y) \text{ in } U_{ad} \times Z \text{ such that } y \in Z(u) \text{ and } \]

\[J(u,y) = \inf \left\{ J(v,z) ; v \in U_{ad}, z \in Z(v) \right\}.\]

**Theorem 1.1.** Let us suppose that the following hypotheses (1.6) (1.7) (1.8) (1.9) (1.10) are fulfilled:

1. The graph of $A$ is closed in the weak topology of $U \times Z$.
2. The graph of $B$ is a weakly closed, convex subset of $U \times H$. Also, if $K$ is a bounded set of $U$, then $B(K)$ is a bounded set of $H$.
3. $\Phi$ is a convex, weakly lower semi-continuous function from $Z$ into $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$ such that:
   \[\Phi(z) \rightarrow +\infty, \quad \text{as} \quad \|z\|_Z \rightarrow +\infty.\]
4. $N \in \mathcal{L}(U)$ is hermitian, positive definite.
5. $U_{ad}$ is a closed, convex subset of $U$ such that $\hat{U}_{ad} \neq \emptyset$.

Then there exists a couple $(u,y)$ satisfying (1.5).
Proof. Let \( X_{ad} \) be the set defined by :

\[
X_{ad} = \{ (v,z) ; v \in U_{ad}, \ z \in Z(v) \}
\]

From (1.10) we deduce that \( X_{ad} \) is non empty and then is finite.

Let \( (v_m,z_m) \) be a minimizing sequence for the Problem (1.5). Then the sequence \( (v_m,z_m) \) is bounded in \( U \times Z \) and then we may extract a subsequence, again denoted by \( (v_m,z_m) \), such that, as \( m \to \infty \) :

\[
(v_m,z_m) \to (u,y), \quad \text{ weakly in } U \times Z.
\]

Since \( \mathcal{A} \ z_m = f + Bv_m \), from (1.7) we obtain that the sequence \( z_m, (m \in \mathbb{N}) \) is bounded in \( H \) and we may assume, by extraction of a subsequence, that, as \( m \to \infty \) :

\[
z_m \to h, \quad \text{ weakly in } H.
\]

Hence :

\[
(v_m,Bv_m) = (v_m, \mathcal{A} z_m - f) \to (u,h-f), \quad \text{ weakly in } U \times H
\]

\[
(z_m, \mathcal{A} z_m) \to (y,h), \quad \text{ weakly in } Z \times H.
\]

and, from (1.6) (1.7) (1.10), we obtain :

\[
(u,y) \in U_{ad} \times D(\mathcal{A}), \quad \text{Bu} = h-f, \quad \mathcal{A} y = h = f + bu.
\]

Then the couple \((u,y)\) belongs to \(X_{ad}\) and by standard arguments, using (1.8) and (1.9) we show that \((u,y)\) verifies (1.5).

**Remark 1.1.** There is no uniqueness of (1.5) in general.

**1.2. The Penalized Problem.**

For given \( \varepsilon > 0 \) we define the penalized cost function by :

\[
J_\varepsilon(v,z) = J(v,z) + \varepsilon^{-1} \| \mathcal{A} z - f - Bv \|_H^2, \quad v \in U, \quad z \in D(\mathcal{A}) \quad (*)
\]

\((*)\) By introducing an extra term in \( \partial_\varepsilon \), as in V. BARBU [10] (cf. also J.L. LIONS [5]), the results which follow are valid for every optimal couple \( \{ u,y \} \).
THEOREM 1.2. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1, there exists a couple \((u_\epsilon, y_\epsilon)\) such that:

(1.17) \[ u_\epsilon \in U_{ad}, \; y_\epsilon \in D(\mathcal{A}) \]

(1.18) \[ J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon, y_\epsilon) = \inf \left\{ J_\epsilon(v, z) ; v \in U_{ad}, \; z \in D(\mathcal{A}) \right\} \]

Proof. Let \((v_m, z_m) (m \in \mathbb{N})\) be a minimizing sequence for the penalized problem (1.18). If we set \(h_m = \mathcal{A} z_m - f - B v_m\), the sequence \((v_m z_m h_m)\) is bounded in \(U \times Z \times H\) and we may assume, by extraction of a subsequence, that, as \(m \to \infty\):

(1.19) \[ (v_m z_m h_m) \to (u_\epsilon y_\epsilon h_\epsilon) \text{, weakly in } U \times Z \times H \]

From (1.7) we have that \(B v_m (m \in \mathbb{N})\) is a bounded sequence in \(H\). Hence, we may assume that:

(1.20) \[ B v_m \to b_\epsilon \text{, weakly in } H, \]

Then (1.6) (1.7) (1.19) (1.20) imply:

(1.21) \[ u_\epsilon \in U_{ad}, \; y_\epsilon \in D(\mathcal{A}) \]

(1.22) \[ B u_\epsilon = b_\epsilon, \; \mathcal{A} y_\epsilon = h_\epsilon + f + b_\epsilon \]

From (1.8) (1.9) (1.19) (1.20) (1.21) (1.22) we obtain that \((u_\epsilon, y_\epsilon)\) is a solution of the penalized problem (1.17).

1.3. Convergence of \((u_\epsilon, y_\epsilon)\).

For each \(\epsilon > 0\) let \(p_\epsilon \in H\) be defined by

(1.23) \[ p_\epsilon = -\epsilon^{-1} \left\{ \mathcal{A} y_\epsilon - f - B u_\epsilon \right\} \]

THEOREM 1.3. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1, there exists a solution \((u, y)\) of (1.5) and there exists a sequence \(\epsilon_m (m \in \mathbb{N})\), which converges to 0, such that, as \(m \to \infty\):

(1.24) \[ J_\epsilon(u_m, y_m) \to J(u, y) \]

(1.25) \[ u_m \to u \text{, in } H \]
Proof. Since $X_{ad} \subseteq U_{ad} \times D(Q)$ we have:

(1.28) \[ J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon, y_\epsilon) \leq \inf J(X_{ad}) \]

from which we have that, as $\epsilon \to 0^+$, $(u_\epsilon, y_\epsilon \sqrt{\epsilon}, p_\epsilon)$ is in a bounded set of $U \times Z \times H$ and from (1.7) we obtain that $B_\epsilon u$ is in a bounded set of $H$. Hence, we may extract a sequence, again denoted by $(u_\epsilon, y_\epsilon \sqrt{\epsilon}, p_\epsilon)$, such that, as $\epsilon \to 0^+$:

(1.29) \[ (u_\epsilon, y_\epsilon) \to (u, y), \quad \text{weakly in } U \times Z \]

(1.30) \[ \epsilon p_\epsilon \to 0, \quad \text{in } H \]

(1.31) \[ B_{\epsilon} v_\epsilon \to b_\epsilon, \quad \text{weakly in } H. \]

From the relation $C y_\epsilon = f + b u_\epsilon - \epsilon p_\epsilon$ and by the same arguments given in the proof of Theorem 1.2 we obtain that $(u, y) \in X_{ad}$. Hence:

\[
\inf J(X_{ad}) \leq J(u, y) \leq \lim J(u_\epsilon, y_\epsilon) \leq \lim J_\epsilon(u_\epsilon, y_\epsilon) \leq \inf J(X_{ad})
\]

from which we obtain that $J(u, y) = \inf J(X_{ad})$, i.e. $(u, y)$ is an optimal couple.

We have again the properties (1.24) (1.27) and

(1.32) \[ J(u_\epsilon, y_\epsilon) \to J(u, y), \quad \text{as } \epsilon \to 0^+. \]

If we set:

\[ a_\epsilon = \Phi(y_\epsilon), \quad b_\epsilon = N^{1/2} u_\epsilon + \frac{2}{U}, \quad a = \Phi(y), \quad b = N^{1/2} u + \frac{2}{U} \]

from (1.8) (1.9) (1.29) and (1.32) we obtain:

\[ a = \lim a_\epsilon, \quad b = \lim b_\epsilon, \quad a_\epsilon + b_\epsilon \to a + b \]

from which we obtain that $a_\epsilon \to a$, $b_\epsilon \to b$, as $\epsilon \to 0^+$. Hence:

(1.33) \[ \Phi(y_\epsilon) \to \Phi(y) \quad \text{and} \quad N^{1/2} u + \frac{2}{U} \to N^{1/2} u + \frac{2}{U}. \]
We deduce from (1.29) (1.33) the strong convergence (1.25).

Remark 1.2. If we assume that $J$ is Gateaux-differentiable, and $B(\mathcal{Q})$ is a convex subset of $Z$, the couple $(u_e, y_e)$ verifies:

$$(1.34) \quad J'_e(u_e, y_e) \cdot (v - u_e, z - y_e) \geq 0, \quad v \in U_{ad}, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q})$$

Remark 1.3. If we assume that $p_e$ is bounded in $H$, by passing to the limit in (1.34) we can obtain a set of relations to characterize one optimal couple $(u, y)$. In Sections 2 and 3 with the additional (strong) condition « $\text{Int } U_{ad} \neq \emptyset$ », we prove that, as $\epsilon \to 0_+$, $p_e$ remains in a bounded subset of $H$. For the case where $\mathcal{Q}$ and $B$ are linear operators, we refer to Rivera [8], others examples are given in Lions [3], [4], [5] and Murat [7].

2. UNSTABLE NON LINEAR EVOLUTION SYSTEM : CASE OF THE NEUMAN CONDITION

2.1. Setting of the Problem.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary $\Gamma$ and let $T$ be a positive number. We shall use the following notation:

$$Q = \Omega \times ]0, T[; \quad \Sigma = \Gamma \times ]0, T[.$$ 

Let us assume that the control variable $v$ and the state $z$ satisfy the state equation given by:

$$z' - \Delta z - z^3 = f, \quad \text{ in } Q \quad \quad (\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t})$$

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial \nu} = \psi + v, \quad \text{ on } \Sigma$$

$$z(x, 0) = y_\alpha(x), \quad \text{ in } \Omega$$

(2.1)

with $v$ and $z$ satisfying the constraints conditions:

$$v \in L^2(\Sigma), \quad z \in L^6(Q).$$

In (2.1) $(f, \psi, y_\alpha)$ is given in $L^2(Q) \times L^2(\Sigma) \times H^1(\Omega)$. 

Optimal control of unstable
The cost function is given by:

\[ J(v,z) = \frac{1}{6} \| z - z_d \|_{L^6(Q)}^6 + \frac{1}{2} \langle N v, v \rangle_{\Sigma}, \quad (v,z) \text{ as in (2.2)} \]

where \( z_d \) belongs to \( L^6(Q) \), \( N \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\Sigma)) \) is an hermitian, definite positive operator on \( L^2(\Sigma) \) and where \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Sigma} \) denotes the inner product in \( L^2(\Sigma) \) and \( \| \cdot \|_{\Sigma} \) the norm.

Let \( U_{ad} \) be a subset of \( L^2(\Sigma) \) such that:

\[ U_{ad} \text{ is a closed, convex subset of } L^2(\Sigma) \text{ and there exists } v \text{ in } U_{ad} \text{ for which the Problem (2.1) admits solution } z \in L^6(Q). \]

The problem of optimal control is:

\[ \text{Find } (u,y) \text{ in } U_{ad} \times L^6(Q) \text{ verifying (2.1) and } J(u,y) = \inf \{ J(v,z) ; v \in U_{ad} , z \text{ verifies (2.1) (2.2)} \}. \]

2.2. Abstract formulation for the Problem (2.5).

In order to set the optimal control problem (2.5) in the abstract form that was given in the Section 1, we consider:

\[ U = L^2(\Sigma), \quad Z = L^6(Q), \quad H = L^2(Q) \times L^2(\Sigma) \times H^1(\Omega) \]

\[ D(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ z \in L^6(Q) ; z' - \Delta z \in L^2(Q), \frac{\partial z}{\partial v} \in L^2(\Sigma), z(0) \in H^1(\Omega) \right\}, \]

\[ z = (z' - \Delta z - z_0^3, \frac{\partial z}{\partial v}, z(0)), \quad \text{for } z \in D(\mathcal{A}), \]

(2.8)

\[ Bv = (0,v_0), \quad v \in U \]

(2.9)

\[ f_o = (f_o, y_0) \]

(2.10)

\[ N_o = \frac{1}{2} N \]

(2.11)

\[ \widetilde{\Phi}(z) = \frac{1}{6} \| z - z_d \|_{L^6(Q)}^6, \quad z \in Z = L^6(Q). \]

We verify easily that the Problems (1.5) and (2.5) are equivalent and the hypothesis (1.7) (1.8) (1.9) (1.10) are fulfilled. We have:
PROPOSITION 2.1. The graph of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ given by (2.7) is weakly closed in $Z \times H$.

Proof. Let $z_m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) be a sequence in $D(\mathcal{A})$ such that, as $m \to \infty$:

$$z_m \to z, \text{ weakly in } L^6(Q)$$

(2.12)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} z_m \to \gamma, \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Sigma)$$

$$z_m(0) \to z_0, \text{ weakly in } H^1(\Omega)$$

$$z_m' - \Delta z_m - z_m^3 \to \chi, \text{ weakly in } L^2(Q).$$

Then the sequence $z_m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) is bounded in $L^2(0,T;H^{3/2}(\Omega))$ (Lions-Magenes [6]) and we may extract a subsequence, again denoted by $z_m$, such that, as $m \to \infty$:

$$z_m \to z, \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;H^{3/2}(\Omega)).$$

(2.13)

Since the embedding $H^{1/2}(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$ is compact, we may assume that $z_m$ converges to $z$ strongly in $L^2(Q)$ and therefore

$$z_m^3(x,t) \to z^3(x,t), \text{ a.e. in } Q.$$

But, from (2.12) $z_m^3$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) is a bounded sequence in $L^2(Q)$, hence we may assume that, as $m \to \infty$:

$$z_m^3 \to z^3, \text{ weakly in } L^2(Q).$$

(2.14)

From (2.12) (2.14) we obtain:

$$z' - \Delta z - z^3 = \chi, \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(Q).$$

(2.15)

Since $\Delta \in \mathcal{L}(H^{3/2}(\Omega),H^{-1/2}(\Omega))$, we deduce from (2.12) (2.13) that:

$$z_m' \to z', \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;H^{-1/2}(\Omega)).$$

(2.16)

From (2.12) (2.13) (2.16) we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial \nu} = \gamma, \text{ on } \Sigma; \quad z(0) = z_0;$$

(2.17)

Hence, $z \in D(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A} z = (\chi,\gamma,z_0)$ and Proposition 2.1 is proved.
By Proposition 2.1 and the previous remarks, we are in the conditions to apply Theorems 1.1, 1.3 and we obtain the followings results:

**Theorem 2.1.** Let us suppose that the state equation and the cost function are given by (2.1) and (2.3) respectively. If $U_{ad}$ verifies condition (2.4), there exists a solution of the optimal control problem (2.5).

**Theorem 2.2.** For each $e > 0$ there exists $(u_e, y_e)$ in $U_{ad} \times D(\mathcal{A})$ such that, if we consider:

\[
\begin{align*}
    p_e &= -e^{-1} \left\{ y_e' - \Delta y_e - y_e^2 - f \right\} \\
    \gamma_e &= -e^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\partial y_e}{\partial n} - \psi - u_e \right\} \\
    y_{e_0} &= e^{-1} \left\{ y_e(0) - y_0 \right\}
\end{align*}
\]

we have the following relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
    p_e | z' - \Delta z - 3 \gamma_e^2 z |_{Q} &= \int_{\mathcal{A}} (y_e - z_d)^5 z + (y_{e_0} | z(0))_{H^1(\Omega)} - (\gamma_e | \frac{\partial z}{\partial n})_{\Sigma} \\
    (\gamma_e + Nu_e | v - u_e)_{\Sigma} &\geq 0, \quad \text{for } v \in U_{ad}
\end{align*}
\]

for $z$ in $D(\mathcal{A})$.

We have also:

\[
\begin{align*}
    (u_e, y_e) &\rightarrow (u, y), \text{ in } L^2(\Sigma) \times L^6(Q), \text{ as } e \rightarrow 0_+.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** We consider the penalized cost function given by

\[
J_e(v, z) = J(v, z) + (2e)^{-1} \| z - f_0 - Bv \|_{H^1}^2.
\]

By Theorem 1.2 we obtain a couple $(u_e, y_e)$ in $U_{ad} \times D(\mathcal{A})$ such that:

\[
J_e(u_e, y_e) = \inf \left\{ J_e(v, z) ; v \in U_{ad} , z \in D(\mathcal{A}) \right\}
\]

$(u_e, y_e)$ verifies (2.23) (2.24).
Since $U_{ad} \times D(\mathcal{A})$ is a convex subset of $L^2(\Sigma) \times L^6(Q)$, the couple $(u_e, y_e)$ is characterized by:

$$J'(u_e, y_e) \cdot (v - u_e, z - y_e) \geq 0, \quad (v, z) \in U_{ad} \times D(\mathcal{A})$$

from which we obtain (2.21) and (2.22).

### 2.3. Estimates for $p_e$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

In order to obtain estimates for $p_e$, $\varepsilon > 0$, we shall assume that:

(2.25) \quad $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

For $\rho \geq 1$ given, we define the space $W^{2,1,\rho}(Q)$ as the space of functions $\Phi$ in $L^\rho(Q)$ such that the partial derivatives \( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial q_i}, \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x_i x_j} (i,j = 1,2,3) \) belong to $L^\rho(Q)$.

With the norm defined by

$$\| \Phi \|_{W^{2,1,\rho}(Q)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \| D^\alpha \Phi \|_{L^\rho(Q)} + \left\| \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^\rho(Q)},$$

$W^{2,1,\rho}(Q)$ is a Banach space and we have the following property:

**Proposition 2.2.** Let us assume that (2.25) holds and $\rho < 5/2$. If we consider the real number $\rho^* = 5 \rho/(5-2\rho)$, we have the following embeddings:

$W^{2,1,\rho}(Q) \subset L^{\rho^*}(Q)$, with continuous embedding.

$W^{2,1,\rho}(Q) \subset L^\rho(Q)$ with compact embedding, for $1 \leq \rho < \rho^*$.


**Corollary 2.1.** The embedding of $W^{2,1,5/5}(Q)$ in $L^2(Q)$ is compact.

We need also the following results.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $\Phi_m (m \in \mathbb{N})$ be a bounded sequence in $L^2(Q)$ such that $\Phi_m(0) = 0$, $\Phi_m = 0$ (on $\Sigma$) and $\Phi'_m - \Delta \Phi_m$ is a bounded sequence in $L^{6/5}(Q)$. Then the sequence $\Phi_m$
(m ∈ I N) is bounded in $W^{2,1,\frac{5}{3}}(Q)$.

This result is classical.

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** As $\varepsilon \to 0_+$, $p_\varepsilon$ belongs to a bounded subset of $L^2(Q)$.

If Proposition 2.4 was wrong, then:

\[ a_\varepsilon = |p_\varepsilon|^{-1} \to 0, \quad as \; \varepsilon \to 0_+. \]

If we set:

\[ q_\varepsilon = a_\varepsilon p_\varepsilon \]

from (2.21) we have:

\[ (q_\varepsilon, z' - \Delta z - 3y^2z) = a_\varepsilon \int_Q (y_\varepsilon - z_d)^5z, \quad for \; z \in D_0 \]

where:

\[ D_0 = \{ z \in D(\mathcal{A}); \quad z \mid \Sigma = 0, \; z(0) = 0 \} \]

and we have that $q_\varepsilon$ is a solution of:

\[-q'_\varepsilon - \Delta q - 3y^2q_\varepsilon = a_\varepsilon (y_\varepsilon - z_d)^5, \; on \; Q \]

\[ q_\varepsilon \mid \Sigma = 0, \; q_\varepsilon(T) = 0. \]

From (2.23) (2.26) (2.27) we have that $(q_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)$ is bounded in $L^2(Q) \times L^6(Q)$, therefore $g_\varepsilon = a_\varepsilon y_\varepsilon - z_d)^5 + 3y^2 q_\varepsilon$ is bounded in $L^{6/5}(Q)$. If we define $\Phi_\varepsilon(t) = q_\varepsilon(T-t)$ and $F_\varepsilon(t) = g_\varepsilon(T-t)$, from (2.30) we obtain:

\[ \Phi'_\varepsilon - \Delta \Phi_\varepsilon = F_\varepsilon \; is \; bounded \; in \; L^{6/5}(Q) \]

\[ \Phi_\varepsilon \mid \Sigma = 0, \; \Phi_\varepsilon(0) = 0 \]

and Proposition 2.3 gives that $\Phi_\varepsilon$ is bounded in $W^{2,1,\frac{5}{3}}(Q)$. It follows that $q_\varepsilon$ is bounded in the same space and by Corollary 2.1 we may suppose that:

\[ q_\varepsilon \to q, \; in \; L^2(Q). \]
From (2.24) (2.26) (2.28) (2.31) we obtain:

\begin{equation}
\|q\|_Q = 1
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
(q \mid z' - 3y^2z - \Delta z)_Q = 0, \text{ for } z \text{ in } D_0
\end{equation}

and (2.33) gives:

\[-q' - \Delta q - 3y^2q = 0, \text{ in } Q\]

\[q \mid \Sigma = 0, \quad q(T) = 0\]

from which it follows that

\begin{equation}
q = 0, \text{ in } Q.
\end{equation}

Since (2.32) and (2.34) give a contradiction, we have that Proposition 2.4 holds.

**Corollary 2.2.** As \( e \to 0^+ \),

\begin{equation}
p_e \text{ remains in a bounded subset of } W^{2,1,5/5}(Q)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
p_e(0) \text{ remains in a bounded subset of } W^{1,6/5}(\Omega).
\end{equation}

**Proof.** From (2.21) we have that \( p_e \) is solution of

\[-p_e' - \Delta p_e - 3y_e^2p_e = \delta z_d, \text{ in } Q\]

\[p_e \mid \Sigma = 0, \quad p_e(T) = 0.
\]

Since \( (p_e, y_e) \) is bounded in \( L^2(Q) \times L^6(Q) \), we obtain that \( 3y_e^2p_e + (y_e - z_d)^5 \) is bounded in \( L^{6/5}(Q) \) and Proposition 2.3 gives the estimate (2.35).

If we set \( X = W^{2,6/5}(\Omega), \ Y = W^{1,6/5}(\Omega), \ Z = L^{6/5}(\Omega) \) we obtain:

\[W^{2,1,5/5}(Q) = \left\{ \Phi \in L^{6/5}(0,T;X) ; \Phi' \in L^{6/5}(0,T;Z) \right\}.
\]

Hence (2.35) implies (2.36) (Lions [2]).
2.4. Estimates for $p_{\varepsilon} | \Sigma$, $\varepsilon > 0$.

**LEMMA 2.1.** The set $M = \left\{ \frac{\partial z}{\partial \nu} ; z \in D(\Omega), \ z(0) = 0 \right\}$ is dense in $L^2(\Sigma)$.

**Proof.** By the Trace Theorem (Lions-Magenes [6]) we verify easily that $M - \{ \psi \in H^{1/2}(\Omega) \ , \ \psi \in C(\Omega, C_0([0,T])) \} \subset M$, from which we obtain that the Lemma 2.1 holds, because $M_0$ is dense in $L^2(\Sigma)$.

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** We assume that $U_{ad}$ has non empty interior. Then, as $\varepsilon \to 0_+$, $p_{\varepsilon}$ remains in a bounded subset of $L^2(\Sigma)$.

**Proof.** First we note that (2.21) and (2.37) imply:

\begin{equation}
(2.38) \quad p_{\varepsilon} | \Sigma = \gamma_{\varepsilon}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
(2.39) \quad \left( \gamma_{\varepsilon} \right|_{\Omega} - \int_{\Omega} p_{\varepsilon}(0) \varphi \right) = - \int_{\Omega} \gamma_{\varepsilon}(0) \varphi, \quad \text{for } \varphi \text{ in } \mathcal{D}(\Omega).
\end{equation}

Since $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, by Sobolev's embedding Theorem (Sobolev [9]) we have that $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^6(\Omega)$ with continuous embedding. Hence, (2.35) and (2.39) imply:

\begin{equation}
(2.40) \quad \gamma_{\varepsilon} \text{ is in a bounded subset of } H^1(\Omega).
\end{equation}

From Lemma 2.1 and the hypothesis made on $U_{ad}$, we may find a real number $r > 0$ and $\varphi_{0}$ such that:

\begin{equation}
(2.41) \quad \varphi_{0} \in D(\Omega), \ \varphi_{0}(0) = 0, \ \varphi_{0} = \frac{\partial \varphi_{0}}{\partial \nu} - \psi \in U_{ad}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
(2.42) \quad D_{\varepsilon}(\varphi_{0}) = \left\{ v \in L^2(\Sigma) ; |v - \varphi_{0}|_{\Sigma} \leq r \right\} \subset U_{ad}.
\end{equation}

From (2.25) and (2.42) we obtain:

\begin{equation}
(2.43) \quad (\gamma_{\varepsilon} + Nu_{\varepsilon} | w)_{\Sigma} \geq (\gamma_{\varepsilon} | u_{\varepsilon} - \varphi_{0})_{\Sigma} - (Nu_{\varepsilon} | \varphi_{0} + w)_{\Sigma}, \text{ if } |w|_{\Sigma} \leq r
\end{equation}

If we substitute $z$ by $\gamma_{\varepsilon} - \varphi_{0}$ in (2.21) we obtain:

\begin{equation}
(2.44) \quad (\gamma_{\varepsilon} | u_{\varepsilon} - \varphi_{0})_{\Sigma} = \varepsilon \left\{ |p_{\varepsilon}|_{Q}^2 + |\gamma_{\varepsilon}|_{\Sigma}^2 + \| \gamma_{\varepsilon} \|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 \right\} + K_{\varepsilon}
\end{equation}

where:
We deduce from (2.23) (2.40) and Proposition 2.5 that:

$$c_o = \sup \left\{ \left| K_e - (Nu_e + w) \right| ; \left| \epsilon \right| > 0, \left| w \right| \leq \epsilon \right\}$$

is finite. Therefore (2.43) (2.44) imply:

$$\gamma_e + Nu_e > -c_o, \quad \left| w \right| \leq \epsilon, \quad \epsilon > 0$$

from which we obtain:

$$\left| \gamma_e + Nu_e \right| \leq c_o, \quad \epsilon > 0.$$  

From (2.23) (2.38) and (2.47) we obtain that Proposition 2.6 holds.

2.5. The optimality system.

The estimates that we found in Proposition 2.5 and 2.6 are sufficient to pass to the limit in (2.21) (2.22) and we obtain the following result:

**THEOREM 2.3.** We assume that $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and $U_{ad}$ has non empty interior. Then there exists $(u,y,p)$ such that:

$$u \in U_{ad}, \quad y \in L^6(Q) \cap L^2(0,T; H^{3/2}(\Omega))$$

$$p \in W^{2,1;6/5}(Q), \quad p \mid \Sigma \in L^2(\Sigma)$$

$$y' - \Delta y - y^3 = f$$

$$-p' - \Delta p - 3y^2p = (y - z_d)^5$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} = \psi + u, \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial \nu} = 0, \quad \text{on} \ \Sigma.$$
Remark 2.7. In the case \( S_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) the mapping \( \psi \rightarrow \psi : \mathcal{E} \) is continuous from \( W^{2,1;6/5}(Q) \) into \( L^{9/4}(\Sigma) \subset L^2(\Sigma) \) and in this case we obtain directly from Proposition 2.5, that \( p \mid \Sigma \) is bounded in \( L^2(\Sigma) \). Hence : in the case \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) we obtain the optimality system (2.48) (2.49) (2.50) (2.52) (2.53) without the hypothesis that the interior of \( U_{ad} \) is non empty.

3. UNSTABLE NON LINEAR EVOLUTION SYSTEM : CASE OF THE DIRICHLET CONDITION

Let us assume that the control variable \( v \) and the state \( z \) are related by the following state equation :

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi' - \Delta z - z^3 &= f, \quad \text{in } Q \\
\psi &= \psi + v, \quad \text{on } \Sigma \\
z(x,0) &= \psi_0(x), \quad \text{in } \Omega \\
v &\in L^2(\Sigma), \quad z \in L^6(Q)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( (f,\psi,\psi_0) \) is given in \( L^2(Q) \times L^2(\Sigma) \times L^2(\Omega) \).

The cost function is defined by :

\[
J(v,z) = \frac{1}{6} \| z - z_d \|_{L^6(Q)}^6 + \frac{1}{2} (\psi \mid v)_{\Sigma}, \quad v \in L^2(\Sigma), \quad z \in L^6(Q)
\]

where \( z_d \) is given in \( L^6(Q) \) and \( N \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\Sigma)) \) is an hermitian, positive definite operator on \( L^2(\Sigma) \).

Let \( U_{ad} \) be a subset of \( L^2(\Sigma) \) such that :

\[
U_{ad} \text{ is a closed convex subset of } L^2(\Sigma) \text{ and there exists } v \text{ in } U_{ad} \text{ for which (3.1) (3.2) has solution.}
\]
The problem of optimal control is:

\begin{align}
\text{(3.5)} & \quad \text{Find } (u, y) \text{ in } U_{ad} \times L^6(Q) \text{ verifying (3.1) and } \\
& \quad J(u, y) = \inf \left\{ J(v, z) ; v \in U_{ad} , \ z \text{ verifies (3.1) (3.2)} \right\}.
\end{align}

**Remark 3.1.** If \( v \) and \( z \) verify (3.1) then \( z' + z - \Delta z = f + z + z^3 \) belongs to \( L^2(Q) \), from which we obtain that \( z \in L^2(0, T; H^{1/2}(\Omega)) \).

By analogous arguments as those used in Section 2, we obtain the following results:

**Theorem 3.1.** We assume that the state equation and that the cost function are given by (3.1) and (3.3) respectively and we assume that (3.4) holds. Then there exists a solution \((u, y)\) of the Problem (3.5).

**Theorem 3.2.** We assume that \( \Omega \subset IR^3 \) and that the interior of \( U_{ad} \) is non empty. Then there exists a solution \((u, y)\) of the Problem (3.5) and there exists \( p \) in \( L^2(Q) \) such that:

\begin{align}
\text{(3.6)} & \quad u \in U_{ad} , \ y \in L^6(Q) \cap L^2(0, T; H^{1/2}(\Omega)) \\
\text{(3.7)} & \quad p \in W^{2,1;6/5}(Q), \ \frac{\partial p}{\partial v} \in L^2(\Sigma) \\
\text{(3.8)} & \quad y' - \Delta y - y^3 = f, \quad \text{in } Q \\
& \quad -p' - \Delta p - 3y^2 p = (y - z_d)^5, \quad \text{in } Q \\
\text{(3.9)} & \quad y \mid _\Sigma = \psi + u , \quad p \mid _\Sigma = 0 \\
\text{(3.10)} & \quad y(x, 0) = y_0(x), \ p(x, T) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \\
\text{(3.11)} & \quad \left( -\frac{\partial p}{\partial v} + Nu \mid \Sigma \right) \geq 0, \quad \text{in } U_{ad}.
\end{align}
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