

ANNALES DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TOULOUSE Mathématiques

YVES COLIN DE VERDIÈRE, NABILA TORKI-HAMZA,
FRANÇOISE TRUC

*Essential self-adjointness for combinatorial Schrödinger operators III-
Magnetic fields*

Tome XX, n° 3 (2011), p. 599-611.

http://afst.cedram.org/item?id=AFST_2011_6_20_3_599_0

© Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, 2011, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse Mathématiques » (<http://afst.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://afst.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

Essential self-adjointness for combinatorial Schrödinger operators III- Magnetic fields

YVES COLIN DE VERDIÈRE⁽¹⁾, NABILA TORKI-HAMZA⁽²⁾,
FRANÇOISE TRUC⁽³⁾

ABSTRACT. — We define the magnetic Schrödinger operator on an infinite graph by the data of a magnetic field, some weights on vertices and some weights on edges. We discuss essential self-adjointness of this operator for graphs of bounded degree. The main result is a discrete version of a result of two authors of the present paper.

RÉSUMÉ. — On définit l'opérateur de Schrödinger avec champ magnétique sur un graphe infini par la donnée d'un champ magnétique, de poids sur les sommets et de poids sur les arêtes. Lorsque le graphe est de degré borné, on étudie le caractère essentiellement auto-adjoint d'un tel opérateur. Le résultat principal est une version discrète d'un résultat de deux des auteurs du présent article.

1. Introduction

In this work, we investigate essential self-adjointness for magnetic Schrödinger operators on an infinite weighted graph $G = (V, E)$ of bounded degree. It is a continuation of [18] and [7], where the same problem was studied

(*) Reçu le 30/11/2010, accepté le 24/05/2011

⁽¹⁾ Grenoble University, Institut Fourier, Unité mixte de recherche CNRS-UJF 5582, BP 74, 38402-Saint Martin d'Hères Cedex (France)

yves.colin-de-verdiere@ujf-grenoble.fr; <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~ycolver/>

⁽²⁾ Université de Carthage, Faculté des Sciences de Bizerte, Mathématiques et Applications (05/UR/15-02), 7021-Bizerte (Tunisie);

nabila.torki-hamza@fsb.rnu.tn; torki@fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr

⁽³⁾ Grenoble University, Institut Fourier, Unité mixte de recherche CNRS-UJF 5582, BP 74, 38402-Saint Martin d'Hères Cedex (France);

francoise.truc@ujf-grenoble.fr; <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~trucfr/>

in the non magnetic case, for metrically complete graphs [18] as well as non complete ones [7]. The main result is a discrete version of the result in [6].

In the former paper [7], we proved essential self-adjointness for the Schrödinger operator $\Delta_{\omega,c} + W$, provided a growth condition on the potential W , namely $W \geq N/2D^2$ where D is the distance to the metric boundary of the graph and N its maximal degree. The operator $\Delta_{\omega,c}$ is defined, for any weights $\omega : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^*$, by:

$$(\Delta_{\omega,c}f)(x) = \frac{1}{\omega_x^2} \sum_{y \sim x} c_{xy} (f(x) - f(y)),$$

for any $f \in C_0(V)$ (finite supported function) and any vertex $x \in V$.

We will extend this result to the case of magnetic graph Laplacians. To do this, we establish a lower bound for the magnetic Dirichlet integral, in terms of an effective potential depending on the magnetic field (and not depending on the magnetic potential) and follow the method described in [7]. The precise setup of the result is described in the next section.

2. Magnetic fields on graphs

Discrete magnetic Schrödinger operators were already introduced by several authors, see [10, 3, 5, 20, 11, 12].

2.1. Magnetic Schrödinger operators

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a *locally finite connected graph*. We will denote by $\{x, y\} \in E$ an edge and by $[x, y]$ and $[y, x]$ the two orientations of this edge. We equip G with

- (i) a set of non zero *complex* weights on oriented edges: $C_{xy} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$ for $\{x, y\} \in E$ with $C_{yx} = \overline{C_{xy}}$. We write $C_{xy} = c_{xy}e^{i\alpha_{xy}}$ with $c_{xy} = |C_{xy}|$. We have $c_{xy} = c_{yx}$ and $\alpha_{xy} = -\alpha_{yx}$. The set $A = (\alpha_{xy})$ is called a *magnetic potential* on G .
- (ii) a set of *strictly positive* weights on the vertices: $\omega_x, x \in V$.

The space of complex valued functions on the graph G is denoted here by

$$C(V) = \{f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$$

and $C_0(V)$ is the subspace of $C(V)$ of functions with finite support.

We consider the Hilbert space

$$l_\omega^2(V) = \{f \in C(V); \sum_{x \in V} \omega_x^2 |f(x)|^2 < \infty\}$$

equipped with the Hermitian inner product given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{l_\omega^2} = \sum_{x \in V} \omega_x^2 f(x) \overline{g(x)}.$$

Let us consider the Hermitian form

$$Q_{c,A}(f) = \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} c_{xy} |f(x) - e^{i\alpha_{xy}} f(y)|^2,$$

where we take only one term for each (unoriented) edge (the contribution is the same for both choices of orientations $[x, y]$ and $[y, x]$.)

The associated *magnetic Schrödinger operator* $H_{\omega,c,A}$ is given formally by

$$\langle H_{\omega,c,A} f, f \rangle_{l_\omega^2} = Q_{c,A}(f).$$

We get easily

$$H_{\omega,c,A} f(x) = \frac{1}{\omega_x^2} \sum_{y \sim x} c_{xy} [f(x) - e^{i\alpha_{xy}} f(y)].$$

This operator $H_{\omega,c,A}$ is Hermitian symmetric on $C_0(V)$ with the Hermitian product induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{l_\omega^2}$ on $l_\omega^2(V)$.

2.2. Gauge transforms

DEFINITION 2.1. — *Let us consider a sequence of complex numbers $(u_x)_{x \in V}$ with $|u_x| \equiv 1$ and write $u_x = e^{i\sigma_x}$. The associated gauge transform U is the unitary map on $l_\omega^2(V)$ defined by*

$$(Uf)(x) = u_x f(x).$$

The map U acts on the quadratic forms $Q_{c,A}$ by

$$U^*(Q_{c,A})(f) = Q_{c,A}(Uf).$$

Let us define the magnetic potential $U^*(A)$ by $U^*(Q_{c,A}) = Q_{c,U^*(A)}$. The associated magnetic Schrödinger operator is $H_{\omega,c,U^*(A)}$.

More explicitly, we get:

$$U^*(A)_{xy} = \alpha_{xy} + \sigma_y - \sigma_x.$$

Let us denote by $C_1(G)$ the \mathbb{Z} -module generated by the oriented edges with the relation $[x, y] = -[y, x]$, and by ∂ the boundary operator

$$C_1(G) \xrightarrow{\partial} C(V, \mathbb{Z})$$

so that $\partial([x, y]) = \delta_y - \delta_x$ where, for $z \in V$, $\delta_z \in C(V, \mathbb{Z})$ is defined by $\delta_z(z) = 1$ and $\delta_z(z') = 0$ if $z' \neq z$. We will denote, for any $\gamma \in C_1(G)$, $\gamma = \sum_{e \in E} \gamma(e)e$.

The space of cycles, denoted by $Z_1(G)$, is the kernel of the boundary operator. If G is connected and finite, it is a known fact (see [1], part 1, chapter 4) that $Z_1(G)$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module, of rank $\#E - \#V + 1$, with a basis of geometric cycles $\gamma = [x_0, x_1] + [x_1, x_2] + \dots + [x_{n-1}, x_n]$ with, for $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$, $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \in E$, and $x_n = x_0$.

We will construct a basis of cycles for any graph G .

Zorn's Lemma allows to show the existence of a maximal tree T of G . We have $V(T) = V(G)$ and we denote E' the set of all edges of G which are not edges of T . We choose an orientation for each edge of E' . For any (oriented) edge $[x, y] \in E'$, there exists a unique simple path β_{yx} in the tree T linking y to x . So $\gamma_{xy} = [x, y] + \beta_{yx}$ is a geometric cycle of G .

Let $\gamma \in Z_1(G)$, we set: $\gamma' = \gamma - \sum_{e \in \gamma \cap E'} \gamma(e)\gamma_e$, where we denote $\gamma_e = \gamma_{xy}$,

if $e = [x, y]$ is an oriented edge which is in E' and included in the cycle γ . Then γ' is a cycle of G with support in T . So it vanishes and we have:

$$\gamma = \sum_{e \in \gamma \cap E'} \gamma(e)\gamma_e.$$

We have proved the following Lemma:

LEMMA 2.2. — *Let T a maximal tree of G . To each oriented edge $[x, y]$ of G , if $\{x, y\}$ is not an edge of T , we associate a unique cycle γ_{xy} of the graph G including $[x, y]$. The set of all such cycles is a basis of $Z_1(G)$.*

DEFINITION 2.3. — *Let us define the holonomy map:*

$$\text{Hol}_A : Z_1(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$$

by

$$\text{Hol}_A([x_0, x_1] + [x_1, x_2] + \cdots + [x_{n-1}, x_0]) = \alpha_{x_0x_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{x_{n-1}x_0}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.4. — *With the notations above we have:*

- (i) *The map $A \rightarrow \text{Hol}_A$ is surjective onto $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Z_1(G), \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$.*
- (ii) *$\text{Hol}_{A_1} = \text{Hol}_{A_2}$ if and only if there exists a gauge transform U so that $U^*(A_2) = A_1$.*

Proof. — For (i), let $hol \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Z_1(G), \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ and let T a maximal tree. We choose $A = (\alpha_{xy})$ such that $\alpha_{xy} = hol(\gamma_{xy})$ if $\{x, y\} \in E'$ and $\alpha_{xy} = 0$ if $\{x, y\} \in E(T)$, see Lemma 2.2.

Then we have $hol = \text{Hol}_A$.

For (ii), it suffices to prove that, if $\text{Hol}_A = 0$, then there exists a gauge transform U so that $U^*(A) = 0$.

We must find a sequence (σ_x) satisfying the equality $\sigma_x = \alpha_{xy} + \sigma_y$, for any edge $\{x, y\}$.

We fix $x_0 \in V$, set $\sigma_{x_0} = 0$. If $x \in V \setminus \{x_0\}$, there exists a path $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n = x$ connecting x_0 to x , and we set $\sigma_x = \alpha_{xx_{n-1}} + \dots + \alpha_{x_2x_1} + \alpha_{x_1x_0}$. This doesn't depend on the path from x_0 to x , since $\text{Hol}_A(\gamma) = 0$ for any cycle γ . \square

In the case of finite planar graphs, the assertions (i) and (ii) are similar to respectively Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.1 in [10].

DEFINITION 2.5. — *A magnetic field B on the graph G is given by an holonomy map*

$$\text{hol} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(Z_1(G), \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}).$$

If B is associated to the magnetic potential A , we write $B = dA$ and we have

$$\text{hol} = \text{Hol}_A.$$

Remark 2.6. — The magnetic Schrödinger operator $H_{\omega, c, A}$ is uniquely defined, up to unitary conjugation, by the data of the magnetic field B , the weights $(c_{xy})_{\{x, y\} \in E}$ and the weights $(\omega_x)_{x \in V}$.

2.3. Norms of magnetic fields

DEFINITION 2.7. — *If $G = (V, E)$ is a finite connected graph with a magnetic field B , we define the norm $|B|$ of B as the lowest eigenvalue of $H_{1,1,A}$ on $l_1^2(V)$ with $\omega \equiv 1$, for any A with $dA = B$.*

LEMMA 2.8. — *We have $|B| = 0$ if and only if $\text{Hol}_A = 0$.*

Proof. — If $\text{Hol}_A = 0$, $H_{1,1,A}$ is unitarily equivalent to $H_{1,1,0}$ whose lowest eigenvalue is 0 with constant eigenfunctions.

Conversely, let $f \neq 0$ with $H_{1,1,A}f = 0$ and hence $Q_{1,A}(f) = 0$. This implies that all terms in the expression of $Q_{1,A}(f)$ vanish: for any edge $\{x, y\}$ we have $f(x) = e^{i\alpha_{xy}} f(y)$.

If $\gamma = [x_0, x_1] + [x_1, x_2] + \dots + [x_{n-1}, x_0]$ is a cycle, we have in particular

$$f(x_n) = e^{i\alpha_{x_n x_{n-1}}} f(x_{n-1}) = \dots = e^{-i\text{Hol}_A(\gamma)} f(x_0) .$$

Hence

$$e^{-i\text{Hol}_A(\gamma)} = 1.$$

□

LEMMA 2.9. — *Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ be the cyclic graph with N vertices, Ω be the holonomy of $\gamma = [0, 1] + [1, 2] + \dots + [N - 1, 0]$ and $\delta = \inf_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\Omega - 2\pi k|$.*

If B denotes the magnetic field such that $B(\gamma) = \Omega$ we have

$$|B| = |1 - e^{i\delta/N}|^2.$$

In particular, the maximal value

$$|B| = |1 - e^{i\pi/N}|^2$$

is obtained for $B = \pi$.

Proof. — We can choose A so that

$$Q_{1,A}(f) = \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} |f(x) - e^{i\Omega/N} f(x+1)|^2.$$

The eigenvectors are the N complex functions on V :

$$f_\xi : x \rightarrow \xi^x$$

where $\xi^N = 1$. We have $\|f_\xi\|_{l_2^2}^2 = N$ and

$$Q_{1,A}(f_\xi) = N|1 - \xi e^{i\Omega/N}|^2.$$

□

2.4. Lower bounds using an effective potential

DEFINITION 2.10. — Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. A good covering of degree m of $G = (V, E)$ is a family $G_l = (V_l, E_l)$ with $l \in L$ of finite connected sub-graphs of G so that

(i) $V = \cup_{l \in L} V_l$

(ii) for any $\{x, y\} \in E$,

$$0 < \#\{l \in L \mid \{x, y\} \in E_l\} \leq m.$$

Example 2.11. — Let G the 1-skeleton of a triangulation of the plane \mathbb{R}^2 . Then the set of all the triangles of this triangulation is a good covering of degree 2.

Remark 2.12. — A graph G of bounded degree admits good coverings given by the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 2.13. — Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph of bounded degree N . For $k \geq 1$ and $x \in V$, let

$$G_x^k = \{y \in V, d(x, y) \leq k\}.$$

The family $(G_x^k)_{x \in V}$ is a good covering of degree $m = \frac{N(N-1)^k - 2}{N-2}$ of the graph G .

The main estimate is given by the following Theorem:

THEOREM 2.14. — Let $(G_l)_{l \in L}$ a good covering of degree m of G . Then for any $f \in C_0(V)$,

$$Q_{c,A}(f) \geq \sum_{x \in V} W(x) \omega_x^2 |f(x)|^2$$

with the effective potential

$$W(x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\{l \in L \mid x \in V_l\}} |B_l| \inf_{\{y,z\} \in E_l} c_{yz} \tag{2.1}$$

where $|B_l|$ is the norm of the restriction of B to G_l .

Proof. — From the definition of $Q_{c,A}$ and m , we have

$$Q_{c,A}(f) \geq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{l \in L} \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E_l} c_{xy} |f(x) - e^{i\alpha_{xy}} f(y)|^2.$$

Using the definition of $|B_l|$, we get

$$Q_{c,A}(f) \geq \frac{1}{m} \sum_l \left(\inf_{\{y,z\} \in E_l} c_{yz} \right) |B_l| \left(\sum_{x \in V_l} \omega_x^2 |f(x)|^2 \right)$$

which gives the lower bound. \square

3. Magnetic confinement

We want to find a criterion similar to the main result of [6] which says that if $(c, |B|)$ grows fast enough near infinity, then $H_{\omega,c,B}$ is essentially self-adjoint on $C_0(V)$. We will use Theorem 4.3 of [7] which gives the case where $B = 0$.

Let us define the weighted distance d_p given in terms of the weights ω and c as follows:

$$d_p(x, y) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{x,y}} L_p(\gamma)$$

where $\Gamma_{x,y}$ is the set of the paths $\gamma : x_1 = x, x_2, \dots, x_n = y$ from x to y . The length $L_p(\gamma)$ is computed as the sum of the p -weights on the edges of the path γ :

$$L_p(\gamma) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} p_{x_i x_{i+1}}$$

where

$$p_{zz'} = \frac{\min(\omega_z, \omega_{z'})}{\sqrt{c_{zz'}}$$

for any vertices $z, z' \in V$.

Define also the distance $D(x) (\leq \infty)$ from a vertex x to the boundary V_∞ by:

$$D(x) = \inf_{z \in V_\infty} d_p(x, z)$$

(see [7] pages 23 and 26.)

THEOREM 3.1. — *Let G a graph with maximal degree N and let $(G_l)_{l \in L}$ a good covering of degree m of G . If there exists M so that*

$$W(x) \geq \frac{N}{2D(x)^2} - M,$$

where W is the effective potential defined in (2.1), then $H_{\omega,c,B}$ is essentially self-adjoint.

Remark 3.2. — Theorem 3.1 holds in particular if (G, d_p) is a complete metric space.

Proof. — The proof follows the steps of the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [7].
□

In particular we use the following Agmon estimate (see Lemma 4.2 in [7]).

LEMMA 3.3. — Let v be a weak solution of $Hv = 0$, and let $f = \bar{f} \in C_0(V)$ a real function with finite support in V . Then

$$\langle fv, H(fv) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y \sim x} \Re[v(x)\bar{v}(y)C_{yx}](f(x) - f(y))^2 \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. — We denote here $H \equiv H_{\omega,c,B}$.
The proof is a simple computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle fv, H(fv) \rangle &= \sum_{x \in V} f(x)v(x) \left(\sum_{y \sim x} c_{xy}[f(x)\bar{v}(x) - e^{-i\alpha_{xy}}f(y)\bar{v}(y)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{y \sim x} W(x)f(x)\bar{v}(x) \right) \\ &= \sum_{x \in V} f(x)v(x) \left(\sum_{y \sim x} \overline{C_{xy}}(f(x) - f(y))\bar{v}(y) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\overline{H\bar{v}} = 0$.

An edge $\{x, y\}$ contributes to the first sum twice. So the total contribution is

$$f(x)v(x) \overline{C_{xy}}(f(x) - f(y))\bar{v}(y) - f(y)v(y)C_{xy}(f(x) - f(y))\bar{v}(x)$$

so

$$\langle fv, H(fv) \rangle = \sum_{\{x,y\} \in E} [f(x) - f(y)] [f(x)v(x)C_{yx}\bar{v}(y) - f(y)v(y)C_{xy}\bar{v}(x)]$$

Noticing that the quantity is real, we take the mean value of the expression and of its conjugate then we get the result. □

From Lemma 3.3 we derive the following Theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. — *Let v be a solution of $(H - \lambda)v = 0$. Assume that v belongs to $l_\omega^2(V)$ and that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that, for all $u \in C_0(V)$,*

$$\langle u, (H - \lambda)u \rangle_{l_\omega^2} \geq \frac{N}{2} \sum_{x \in V} \max \left(\frac{1}{D(x)^2}, 1 \right) \omega_x^2 |u(x)|^2 + c \|u\|_{l_\omega^2}^2, \quad (3.3)$$

then $v \equiv 0$.

Proof. — We refer to the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [7], since the fact that we use complex functions does not make any change in it. \square

Then Theorem 3.1 follows from Theorems 2.14 and 3.4 since we have for any $u \in C_0(V)$:

$$\langle u, Hu \rangle_{l_\omega^2} \geq \sum_{x \in V} W(x) \omega_x^2 |u(x)|^2,$$

so

$$\langle u, (H - \lambda)u \rangle_{l_\omega^2} - \frac{N}{2} \sum_{x \in V} \frac{1}{D(x)^2} \omega_x^2 |u(x)|^2 \geq \sum_{x \in V} -(M + \lambda) \|u\|_{l_\omega^2}^2.$$

\square

4. Examples

The simplest example where we can make estimates is the “infinite ladder”, see [10]. That is the graph $G = (V, E)$ where the set of vertices V is the Cartesian product $V = \mathbb{N} \times \{-1, 1\}$ equipped with the “horizontal” edges $\{(l, \varepsilon), (l + 1, \varepsilon)\}$ with $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and the “vertical” edges $\{(l, -1), (l, +1)\}$ with $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. We will use the “square” cycles $\gamma_l = [(l, 1), (l+1, 1)] + [(l+1, 1), (l+1, -1)] + [(l+1, -1), (l, -1)] + [(l, -1), (l, 1)]$, for $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, as a basis of the space of cycles. We consider for any l the set E_l of non oriented edges of γ_l . Let b_l be the holonomy of B in the cycle γ_l . We will take B so that the value of $|B_{\gamma_l}|$ is $2 - \sqrt{2}$, which is the maximal one by Lemma 2.9.

Using the good covering of G by the cycles γ_l , we get $m = 2$ and the effective potential

$$W((l, \varepsilon)) = \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right) \inf_{\{x, y\} \in E_l} c_{xy}.$$

We will take

$$c_{(l,\varepsilon)(l+1,\varepsilon)} = c_{(l,-1)(l,+1)} = C_l \text{ and } \omega_{(l,\varepsilon)} = w_l .$$

If C_l is increasing, we get

$$W((l, \varepsilon)) = \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) C_l.$$

Let us assume that w_l is decreasing, we get

$$p_{(l,\varepsilon)(l+1,\varepsilon)} = \frac{w_{l+1}}{\sqrt{C_l}} \text{ and } D((l, \varepsilon)) = \sum_{m=l}^{\infty} \frac{w_{m+1}}{\sqrt{C_m}}.$$

We take now $C_l = l^a$ with $a > 0$ and $w_l = l^{-b}$ with $b > 0$. The graph is not complete for the distance d_p if $b + a/2 > 1$. In this case, we have

$$D((l, \varepsilon)) \sim c_1 l^{(1-b-a/2)} \text{ and } W((l, \varepsilon)) \sim c_2 l^a.$$

The assumption of Theorem 3.1 is satisfied if $b < 1$. Thus we get essential self-adjointness for the operator $H_{\omega,c,B}$ in the case $0 < b < 1$ and $b+a/2 > 1$, and also in the complete case (see Remark 3.2), when $b + a/2 \leq 1$.

If we take now the weighted distance d_p with $p_{(l,\varepsilon)(l+1,\varepsilon)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_l}}$, then the operator $H_{\omega,c,0}$ is not essentially self-adjoint when $a > 2$ and $b > 1/2$, by Theorem 3.1 in [7].

5. Questions

The following questions are unsolved at the moment:

1. If $H_{\omega,c,0}$ is essentially self-adjoint, does it imply that $H_{\omega,c,B}$ is essentially self-adjoint for any B ? Does it hold in the continuous case?
2. What would be a correct statement for a locally finite graph with unbounded degree (even if $B = 0$)?
3. In the case where the completion of (G, d_p) is compact and under the assumption of Theorem 2.14, $H_{\omega,c,B}$ has a compact resolvent. What is the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues? The continuous case is worked out in [4].

Bibliography

- [1] BIGGS (N.). — Algebraic Graph Theory, Cambridge University Press (1974).
- [2] BRAVERMAN (M.), MILATOVIC (O.) & SHUBIN (M.). — Essential self-adjointness of Schrödinger-type operators on manifolds, Russian Math. Surveys 57, p. 641-692 (2002).
- [3] COLIN DE VERDIÈRE (Y.). — Spectre de graphes, Cours spécialisés 4, Société mathématique de France (1998).
- [4] COLIN DE VERDIÈRE (Y.). — Asymptotique de Weyl pour les bouteilles magnétiques, Commun. Math. Phys. 105 p. 327-335 (1986).
- [5] COLIN DE VERDIÈRE (Y.). — Multiplicities of eigenvalues and tree-width of graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 74 p. 121-146 (1998).
- [6] COLIN DE VERDIÈRE (Y.) & TRUC (F.). — Confining quantum particles with a purely magnetic field, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 60 (7) p. 2333-2356 (2010).
- [7] COLIN DE VERDIÈRE (Y.), TORKI-HAMZA (N.) & TRUC (F.). — Essential self-adjointness for combinatorial Schrödinger operators II. Metrically non complete graphs, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 14 (1) p. 21-38 (2011).
- [8] DODZIUK (J.). — Elliptic operators on infinite graphs, Analysis geometry and topology of elliptic operators, 353-368, World Sc. Publ., Hackensack NJ. (2006).
- [9] DUNFORD (N.) & SCHWARTZ (J. T.). — Linear operator II, Spectral Theory, John Wiley & Sons, New York (1971).
- [10] LIEB (E.) & LOSS (M.). — Fluxes, Laplacians, and Kasteleyn's theorem, Duke Math. J., 71 p. 337-363 (1993).
- [11] MILATOVIC (O.). — Essential self-adjointness of discrete magnetic Schrödinger operators, [ArXiv:1105.3129v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.3129v1) [math.SP](2011).
- [12] MILATOVIC (O.). — Essential Self-adjointness of magnetic Schrödinger operators on locally finite graphs, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 71 (1) p.13-27 (2011).
- [13] NENCIU (G.) & NENCIU (I.). — On confining potentials and essential self-adjointness for Schrödinger operators on bounded domains in \mathbb{R}^n , Ann. Henri Poincaré, 10 p. 377-394 (2009).
- [14] OLEINIK (I.M.). — On the essential self-adjointness of the Schrödinger operator on complete Riemannian manifolds, Mathematical Notes 54 (3) p. 934-939 (1993).
- [15] REED (M.) & SIMON (B.). — Methods of Modern mathematical Physics I, Functional analysis, (1980), II, Fourier analysis, Self-adjointness (1975), New York Academic Press.
- [16] SHUBIN (M.). — Essential self-adjointness for semi-bounded magnetic Schrödinger operators on non-compact manifolds, J. Func. Anal. 186 p. 92-116 (2001).
- [17] SHUBIN (M.). — Classical and quantum completeness for the Schrödinger operators on non-compact manifolds, Geometric Aspects of Partial Differential Equations (Proc. Sympos., Roskilde, Denmark (1998)) Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, p. 257-269 (1999).
- [18] TORKI-HAMZA (N.). — Laplacien de graphes infinis I- Graphes métriquement complets, Confluentes Mathematici, 2 (3) p. 333-350 (2010).
- [19] TORKI-HAMZA (N.). — Essential self-adjointness for combinatorial Schrödinger operators I- Metrically complete graphs, submitted in IWPM 2011, translation in English of [18].

- [20] TORKI-HAMZA (N.). — Stabilité des valeurs propres avec champ magnétique sur une variété Riemannienne et sur un graphe, Thèse de doctorat de l'Université de Grenoble I, France, <http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00555758/en/>, (1989).
- [21] WOJIECHOWSKI (R.K.). — Stochastic completeness of graphs, Ph.D. Thesis, The graduate Center of the University of New-York (2008).