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#### Abstract

We give combinatorial models for non-spherical, generic, smooth, complex representations of the group $G=\operatorname{PGL}(2, F)$, where $F$ is a non-Archimedean locally compact field. More precisely we carry on studying the graphs $\left(\tilde{X}_{k}\right)_{k \geqslant 0}$ defined in a previous work. We show that such representations may be obtained as quotients of the cohomology of a graph $\tilde{X}_{k}$, for a suitable integer $k$, or equivalently as subspaces of the space of discrete harmonic cochains on such a graph. Moreover, for supercuspidal representations, these models are unique.

Résumé. - Nous donnons des modèles combinatoires des représentations lisses, complexes, génériques, non-sphériques du groupe $G=\mathrm{PGL}(2, F)$, où $F$ est un corps localement compact non-archimédien. Plus précisément nous reprenons l'étude des graphes $\left(\tilde{X}_{k}\right)_{k \geqslant 0}$ inaugurée dans un précédent travail. Nous montrons que de telles représentations se réalisent comme quotients de la cohomologie d'un graphe $\tilde{X}_{k}$ pour un $k$ bien choisi, ou, de façon équivalente, dans un espace de formes harmoniques discrètes sur un tel graphe. Pour les représentations supercuspidales, ces modèles sont de plus uniques.


[^0]
## Introduction

Let $F$ be a non-archimedean local field and $G$ be the locally compact group PGL $(N, F)$, where $N \geqslant 2$ is an integer. In [1] the author constructed a projective tower of simplicial complexes fibered over the Bruhat-Tits building $X$ of $G$. He addressed the question of understanding the structure of the cohomology spaces of these complexes as $G$-modules. In this article we give a conceptual treatment of the case $N=2$. In that case $X$ is a homogeneous tree and (a slightly modified version of) the projective tower is formed of graphs $\tilde{X}_{n}, n \geqslant 0$, acted upon by $G$.

Let $\pi$ be an irreducible generic and non-spherical smooth complex representation of $G$. We show there is a natural $G$-equivariant map

$$
\tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}: \pi^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\infty}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

where $n(\pi)$ is an integer related to the conductor of $\pi, \tilde{\pi}$ denotes the contragredient representation of $\pi$, and $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ denotes the space of smooth discrete harmonic cochains on $\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}$. Our construction is based on the existence of new vectors for irreducible generic representations whose proof is due to Casselman in the case $N=2$ [5] (see [7] for the general case).

The $G$-space $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ is naturally isomorphic to the contragredient representation of the cohomology space $H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ (cohomology space with compact support and complex coefficients). We show that $\tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}$ corresponds to a non-zero natural $G$-equivariant map:

$$
\Psi_{\pi}: H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right) \longrightarrow \pi
$$

In other words $\pi$ is naturally a quotient of $H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. When $\pi$ is supercuspidal the surjective map $\Psi$ splits and $\pi$ embeds in $H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. We show that this model is unique:

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\pi, H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right)=1
$$

The proof of that fact roughly goes as follows. Using a geodesic Radon transform on the space of 1 -cochains with finite support on $\tilde{X}_{k}, k \geqslant 0$, we construct an intertwining operator:

$$
j_{k}: H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right) \longrightarrow c-\operatorname{ind}_{T}^{G} 1_{T}
$$

(the compactly induced representation of the trivial character of the diagonal torus $T$ of $G$ ). The point is that this map is injective. Moreover we have:

$$
\bigcup_{k \geqslant 0} \operatorname{Im}\left(j_{k}\right)=c-\operatorname{ind}_{T}^{G} 1_{T} .
$$

We show that $c$-ind ${ }_{T}^{G} 1_{T}$ naturally embeds in a space of Whittaker functions on $G$ and we may then rely on the uniqueness of Whittaker model for $\operatorname{PGL}(2, F)$.

That such a combinatorial realization of the generic non-spherical irreducible representations of $\operatorname{PGL}(2, F)$ is feasible was actually conjectured by Pierre Cartier more than thirty years ago [4] (but he did not introduce any simplicial structure). Later on Cartier's student Ahumada Bustamante [3] studied the action of the full automorphism group $\Gamma=\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ of the tree $X$ on pairs of vertices at distance $k+1$ (i.e. on edges of $\tilde{X}_{k}$ ). Using an equivalent language, he proved that, under the action of $\Gamma$, the space $\mathcal{H}_{2}\left(\tilde{X}_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ of $L^{2}$ harmonic cochains splits into two irreducible components $\mathcal{H}_{2}^{ \pm}\left(\tilde{X}_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right)$, formed of even and odd harmonic cochains respectively.

The present article is not a completion of the previous work [1] where we computed the supercuspidal part of the cohomology space $H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{2}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. $(\mathrm{Be}$ aware that the notation slightly differs. In particular, $\tilde{X}_{2}$ is the space $\tilde{X}_{1}$ of [1])). Even though the results are compatible, here we do not determine the structure of the spaces $H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right), k \geqslant 0$, as $G$-modules. In a forthcoming work [2] we shall work out this structure and its links with types theory.

I would like to thank V. Sécherre and A. Bouaziz for pointing out some embarrassing mistakes in previous versions of this work. I thank G. Henniart, A. Gaborieau and A. Raghuram for stimulating discussions.

The notes are organized as follows. In $\S 1$ we shall discuss the link between cohomology and harmonic cochains on graphs. §§2-4 are about the construction of the maps $\Psi_{\pi}, \tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}$ and some of their properties. The Radon transform is defined and studied in $\S 5$ in order to prove our uniqueness result (theorem (5.3.2)).

In the sequel we shall use the following notation:
$\mathfrak{o}=\mathfrak{o}_{F}$ is the ring of integers of $F$,
$\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{p}_{F}$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{o}$,
$v=v_{F}$ is the normalized additive valuation on $F$,
$k=k_{F}$ is the residue class field $\mathfrak{o} / \mathfrak{p}$,
$q=\left|k_{F}\right|$ is the cardinal of $k$,
 that $|\varpi|_{F}=1 / q$ for any generator $\varpi$ of the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$.

The contragrediente of a representation $\mathcal{V}$ is denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ or $\mathcal{V} \vee$.

## 1. Proper G-graphs and harmonic cochains

1.1. In this section we let $G$ be any locally profinite group and $Y$ be a locally finite directed graph (each vertex belongs to a finite number of edges). We write $Y^{0}$ (resp. $Y^{1}$ ) for the set of vertices (resp. edges) of $Y$. We have the map $Y^{1} \longrightarrow Y^{0}, a \mapsto a^{+}$(resp. $a \mapsto a^{-}$), where for any edge $a$ we denote by $a^{+}$and $a^{-}$its head and tail respectively. We assume that $G$ acts on $Y$ and preserves the structure of directed graph. For all $s \in Y^{0}, a \in Y^{1}$, we have incidence numbers $[a: s] \in\{-1,1,0\}$ satisfying $[g . a: g . s]=[a: s]$, for all $g \in G$; these are defined by $\left[a: a^{+}\right]=+1,\left[a: a^{-}\right]=-1$, and $[a: s]=0$ if $s \notin\left\{a^{+}, a^{-}\right\}$. Finally we assume that the action of $G$ on $Y$ is proper: for all $s \in Y^{0}$, the stabilizer $G_{s}:=\{g \in G ; g . s=s\}$ is open and compact.
1.2. We let $H_{c}^{1}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ denote the cohomology space of the CW-complex $Y$ with compact support and complex coefficients. Recall that it may be calculated as follows. Let $C_{0}(Y, \mathbb{C})\left(\right.$ resp. $C_{1}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ ) be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space with basis $Y^{0}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.Y^{1}\right)$. Let $C_{c}^{i}(Y, \mathbb{C}), i=0,1$, be the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of 1-cochains with finite support : $C_{c}^{i}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ is the subspace of the algebraic dual of $C_{i}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ formed of those linear forms whose restrictions to the basis $Y^{i}$ have finite support. The coboundary map

$$
d: C_{c}^{0}(Y, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C_{c}^{1}(Y, \mathbb{C})
$$

is given by $d f(a)=f\left(a^{+}\right)-f\left(a^{-}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{c}^{1}(Y, \mathbb{C}) \simeq C_{c}^{1}(Y, \mathbb{C}) / d C_{c}^{0}(Y, \mathbb{C}) \tag{1.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $G$ acts on $C_{i}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ and $C_{c}^{i}(Y, \mathbb{C})$. Since the action of $G$ on $Y$ is proper, these spaces are smooth $G$-modules. The coboundary map is $G$-equivariant and the isomorphism (1.2.1) is $G$-equivariant. So $H_{c}^{1}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ is smooth as a $G$-module; it is not admissible in general.
1.3. For $i=0,1$, we have a natural pairing:

$$
\langle-,-\rangle: C^{i}(Y, \mathbb{C}) \times C_{c}^{i}(Y, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

where $C^{i}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ is the space of $i$-cochains with arbitrary support. The pairings are given by:

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\sum_{x \in Y^{i}} f(x) g(x), i=0,1
$$

Via these pairings we may identify the algebraic dual $C_{c}^{i}(Y, \mathbb{C})^{*}$ of $C_{c}^{i}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ with $C^{i}(Y, \mathbb{C}), i=0,1$. The contragredient representation $C_{c}^{i}(Y, \mathbb{C})^{\vee}$ identifies with the space of smooth linear forms in $C^{i}(Y, \mathbb{C})$. A straightforward computation gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, d g\rangle=\left\langle d^{*} f, g\right\rangle, f \in C^{1}(Y, \mathbb{C}), g \in C_{c}^{0}(Y, \mathbb{C}), \tag{1.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d^{*}: C^{1}(Y, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow C^{0}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ is defined by

$$
d^{*} f(s)=\sum_{a \in Y^{1}, s \in a}[a: s] f(a), s \in Y^{0}
$$

Of course this latter sum has a finite number of terms. An element of the kernel of $d^{*}$ is called a harmonic cochain on $Y$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}(Y, \mathbb{C})=$ $\operatorname{ker}\left(d^{*}\right)$ the space of harmonic cochains. It is naturally acted upon by $G$. The smooth part of $\mathcal{H}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ under the action of $G$, i.e. the space of smooth harmonic cochains is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(Y, \mathbb{C})$. The following lemma follows from equality (1.3.1).
(1.3.2) Lemma. - The algebraic dual of $H_{c}^{1}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ naturally identifies with $\mathcal{H}(Y, \mathbb{C})$. Under this isomorphism, the contragredient representation of $H_{c}^{1}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ corresponds to $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(Y, \mathbb{C})$.

## 2. The projective tower of graphs

2.1 In this section, we recall the construction of [1]. The notation is slightly modified. We denote by $X$ the Bruhat-Tits building of $G$ (cf. [8] chap. II, $\S 1)$. This is a 1 -dimensional simplicial complex (a $(q+1)$-homogeneous tree). Let $k \geqslant 0$ be an integer. An (oriented) $k$-path in $X$ is an injective sequence $\left(s_{0}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$ of vertices in $X$ such that, for $i=0, \ldots, k-1,\left\{s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right\}$ is an edge of $X$. We define an oriented graph $\tilde{X}_{k}$ as follows. Its vertex set (resp. edge set) is the set of $k$-paths (resp. ( $k+1$ )-paths) in $X$. The structure of oriented graph is given by:

$$
a^{+}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k+1}\right), a^{-}=\left(t_{0}, \ldots, t_{k}\right), \text { if } a=\left(t_{0}, \ldots, t_{k+1}\right)
$$

The group $G$ acts on $\tilde{X}_{k}$. If $k \geqslant 1, \tilde{X}_{k}$ is a simplicial complex and the $G$-action is simplicial. For $k=0$ the action preserves the graph structure. For all $k$, it preserves the orientation of $\tilde{X}_{k}$. Recall ([1] Lemma 4.1) that the simplicial complexes $\tilde{X}_{k}, k \geqslant 1$ are connected. The directed graph $\tilde{X}_{0}$
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is obtained from $X$ by doubling the edges (with the same vertex set); it is obviously connected.
2.2. For any integer $n \geqslant 1$, we write $\Gamma_{0}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n}\right)$ for the image in $G$ of the following subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(2, F)$ :

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}_{0}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n}\right)=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}(2, F) ; a, d \in \mathfrak{o}^{\times}, b \in \mathfrak{o}, c \in \mathfrak{p}^{n}\right\}
$$

We let $\Gamma_{o}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{0}\right)$ be the image in $\operatorname{PGL}(2, F)$ of the standard maximal compact subgroup of GL $(2, F)$ :

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}_{0}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{0}\right)=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathfrak{o}) ; a d-b c \in \mathfrak{o}^{\times}\right\}
$$

For all $n \geqslant 0, \Gamma_{o}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}\right)$ is the stabilizer in $G$ of some edges of $\tilde{X}_{n}$. We fix such an edge $a_{o}$.

## 3. The construction

3.1. We start by recalling Casselman's result. We fix an irreducible complex smooth representation $(\pi, \mathcal{V})$ of $G$. We assume that:
(3.1.1) $\pi$ has no non-zero vector fixed by $\Gamma_{0}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{0}\right)$,
(3.1.2) $\pi$ is generic, i.e. it is not of the form $\chi \circ$ det, where $\chi$ is a character of $F^{\times} /\left(F^{\times}\right)^{2}$ and det : $G \longrightarrow F^{\times} /\left(F^{\times}\right)^{2}$ is the map induced by the determinant map: GL $(2, F) \longrightarrow F^{\times}$.

We have the following result ([5] Theorem 1):
(3.1.3) Theorem (Casselman). - i) For $k$ large enough, the space of fixed vectors $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_{o}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{k+1}\right)}$ is non-zero.
ii) Let $n(\pi) \geqslant 0$ be such that $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_{o}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n(\pi)+1}\right)} \neq\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_{o}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n(\pi)}\right)}=\{0\}$. Then for all $k \geqslant n(\pi)$, we have:

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_{o}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{k+1}\right)}=k-n(\pi)+1
$$
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3.2. For all $a \in \tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}^{1}$ (resp. $\left.s \in \tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}^{0}\right)$, we write $\Gamma_{a}$ (resp. $\Gamma_{s}$ ) for the stabilizer of $a$ (resp. $s$ ) in $G$. In particular we have $\Gamma_{a_{o}}=\Gamma_{o}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n(\pi)+1}\right)$.
(3.2.1) Lemma. - i) For all $a \in \tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}^{1}$, we have $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_{a}}=1$.
ii) Let $a \in \tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}^{1}$ and $s \in \tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}^{0}$ with $s \in a$. Then for all $v \in \mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_{a}}$, we have

$$
\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s} / \Gamma_{a}} k v=0
$$

Point i) is obvious. In ii), the vector $\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s} / \Gamma_{a}} k v$ is fixed by $\Gamma_{s}$. So it must be zero since $\Gamma_{s}$ is conjugate to $\Gamma_{o}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{n(\pi)}\right)$.

Let us fix a non-zero vector $v_{o} \in \mathcal{V}^{\Gamma_{a_{o}}} ; v_{o}$ is unique up to a scalar in $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$. If $a$ is any edge of $X_{n(\pi)}$, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{a}=g v_{o}, \text { where } a=g a_{o} \tag{3.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is indeed possible since $G$ acts transitively on $\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}^{1}$. Moreover, since $v_{o}$ is fixed by $\Gamma_{a_{o}}, v_{a}$ does not depend on the choice of $g \in G$ such that $a=g a_{o}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ be the contragredient representation of $\mathcal{V}$. We define a map:

$$
\tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}: \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \longrightarrow C^{1}\left(X_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

by $\tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}(\varphi)(a)=\varphi\left(v_{a}\right)$. From (3.2.2) we have that $\tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}$ is $G$-equivariant.
(3.2.3) Lemma. - i) The image of $\tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}$ lies in $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}\left(X_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$.
ii) The map $\tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}$ is injective.

For i), it suffices to prove that $\operatorname{Im}\left(\tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}\right) \subset \mathcal{H}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. So we must prove that for all $\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}},\left(\varphi\left(v_{a}\right)\right)_{a \in \tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}^{1}}$ is a harmonic cochain on $\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}$, that is:

$$
\sum_{s \in a}[a: s] \varphi\left(v_{a}\right)=0, \text { for all } s \in X_{n(\pi)}^{0}
$$

Let $s$ be any vertex of $\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}$. Write $\bar{s}$ for the convex hull in $X$ of the set of vertices of $X$ occuring in the path $s$ (this is a segment lying in some
apartment). We know that the pointwise stabilizer of $\bar{s}$ in $G$ (that is the stabilizer $\Gamma_{s}$ of $s$ in $G$ ) acts transitively on the set of apartments of $X$ containing $\bar{s}$. It follows that $\Gamma_{s}$ acts transitively on

$$
A_{s}^{+}=\left\{a \in \tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}^{1} ; a^{+}=s\right\} \text { and } A_{s}^{-}=\left\{a \in \tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}^{1} ; a^{-}=s\right\}
$$

Fix some $a_{s}^{+} \in A_{s}^{+}$and $a_{s}^{-} \in A_{s}^{-}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s \in a}[a: s] \varphi\left(v_{a}\right)=\varphi\left(\sum_{a \in A_{s}^{+}} v_{a}-\sum_{a \in A_{s}^{-}} v_{a}\right) \\
= & \varphi\left(\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s} / \Gamma_{a_{s}^{+}}} k v_{a_{s}^{+}}-\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{s} / \Gamma_{a_{s}^{-}}} k v_{a_{s}^{-}}\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

thanks to lemma (3.2.1).
The $G$-equivariant map $\tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}$ is necessarily injective since it is non-zero and since the representation $\pi$ is irreducible.

Passing to contragredient representations, we get an intertwining operator:

$$
\tilde{\tilde{\Psi}}_{\pi}: \tilde{\tilde{H}}_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right) \longrightarrow \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}
$$

Recall that for any smooth $G$-module $\mathcal{W}$, we have a canonical injection $\mathcal{W} \longrightarrow \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}}$. It is surjective if and only if $\mathcal{W}$ is admissible. In particular $\mathcal{V}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}$ are canonically isomorphic since $\pi$ is irreducible, whence admissible.
(3.2.4) Theorem. - The map $\tilde{\tilde{\Psi}}_{\pi}$ restricts to a non-zero intertwining operator $\Psi_{\pi}: H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} \simeq \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}$, given by:

$$
\Psi_{\pi}(\bar{\omega})=\sum_{a \in \tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}^{1}} \omega(a) v_{a}
$$

where for $\omega \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right), \bar{\omega}$ denotes the image of $\omega$ in $H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$.
In particular, the representation $(\pi, \mathcal{V})$ is naturally a quotient of the cohomology space $H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$.

The theorem follows from a straightforward computation based on Lemma (3.2.1)(ii) and is left to the reader.

Remark. - Assume that $\pi$ is supercuspidal. Then it is projective in the category of smooth complex representations of $G$. So as a corollary of Theorem (3.2.4) we get an injective map $\left(\pi, \mathcal{V}_{\pi}\right) \longrightarrow H_{c}^{1}\left(X_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$.

## 4. Some properties of the map $\tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}$.

4.1. We keep the notation as in the last section. If $Y$ is any directed graph, we write $\mathcal{H}_{c}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ for the subspace of $\mathcal{H}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ of harmonic cochains with finite support and $\mathcal{H}_{2}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ for the subspace of $L^{2}$-harmonic cochains, that is cochains $f \in \mathcal{H}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying

$$
\sum_{a \in Y^{1}}|f(a)|^{2}<\infty
$$

Note that any element of $\mathcal{H}_{c}\left(X_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ is smooth.
(4.1.1) Proposition. - i) If $\pi$ is a supercuspidal representation then $\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}$ is contained in $\mathcal{H}_{c}\left(X_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$.
ii) If $\pi$ is a square-integrable representation then $\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}$ lies in $\mathcal{H}_{2}\left(X_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$.

Assume $\pi$ supercuspidal. Let $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$. Then $\tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}(\lambda)(a)=\lambda\left(g v_{a_{o}}\right)$ for all $a=g a_{o} \in X_{n(\pi)}^{1}$. Since $\pi$ is supercuspidal, the coefficient $g \in G \mapsto \lambda\left(g v_{a_{o}}\right)$ has compact support $C$. Choose a finite number of compact open subgroups $K_{i}, i \in I$, of $G$ and elements $g_{i} \in G, i \in I$, such that $C$ lies in the union of the $g_{i} K_{i}, i \in I$. Then the support of the harmonic cochain $\tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}(\lambda)$ lies in

$$
\bigcup_{i \in I} g_{i} K_{i} a_{o}=\bigcup_{i \in I} g_{i} K_{i} /\left(K_{i} \cap \Gamma_{a_{o}}\right) a_{o}
$$

a finite set.
Now assume that $\pi$ is square-integrable. With the notation as above, the coefficient $g \mapsto \lambda\left(g v_{a_{o}}\right)$ is square-integrable. Consider the Haar measure on $G$ such that $\Gamma_{a_{o}}$ has volume 1. Then

$$
\int_{G}\left|\lambda\left(g v_{a_{o}}\right)\right|^{2} d g=\sum_{a \in X_{n(\pi)}^{1}}\left|\lambda\left(v_{a}\right)\right|^{2}<\infty
$$

as required.
(4.1.2) Corollary. - If $\pi$ is supercuspidal, the map $\tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}:\left(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}, \pi^{\vee}\right) \longrightarrow$ $\mathcal{H}_{c}\left(X_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ induces a non-zero (whence injective) map $\bar{\Psi}_{\pi}:\left(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}, \pi^{\vee}\right) \longrightarrow$ $H_{c}^{1}\left(X_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$.

The map $\bar{\Psi}_{\pi}$ is

$$
\lambda \mapsto \tilde{\Psi}_{\pi}(\lambda) \bmod d C_{c}^{0}\left(X_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right) .
$$

It suffices to prove that

$$
\mathcal{H}_{c}\left(X_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right) \cap d C_{c}^{0}\left(X_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)=\{0\} .
$$

If $f$ lies in the intersection then $d^{*} f=0$ and $f=d g$ for some $g \in$ $C_{c}^{0}\left(X_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. We then have $d^{*} \bar{f}=0$ (where $\bar{f}(a)$ is the complex conjugate of $f(a)$ ), and

$$
\sum_{a \in X_{n(\pi)}^{1}}|f(a)|^{2}=\langle\bar{f}, f\rangle=\langle\bar{f}, d g\rangle=\left\langle d^{*} \bar{f}, g\right\rangle=0 .
$$

Hence $f=0$.

## 5. The geodesic Radon tranform

5.1. In corollary (4.1.2) we saw that each supercuspidal irreducible representation of $G$ may be realized as a $G$-invariant subspace of $H_{c}^{1}\left(X_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ for a certain $k$. In order to prove that this model is unique, we need to embed the space $H_{c}^{1}\left(X_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ in a standard $G$-module which contains supercuspidal irreducible representations with multiplicity 1 . This standard $G$-module is the space of locally constant functions with compact support on the set of all oriented apartments of $X_{k}$ endowed with a certain topology (see below). This will be done via a Radon transform that "integrates" 1-cochains over each apartment of $X_{k}$.

Recall that an apartment of $X$ is a doubly infinite geodesic of $X$, that is the image in $X$ of an injective sequence of vertices $\left(s_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z},\left\{s_{k}, s_{k+1}\right\}$ is an edge of $X$.

An oriented apartment $\tilde{A}$ of $X$ is by definition a pair $(A, \epsilon)$, where $A$ is an apartment of $X$ and $\epsilon$ is an orientation of $A$ as a simplicial complex. Our group $G$ acts on oriented apartments via $g \cdot(A, \epsilon)=(g A, g \epsilon)$, where $g \epsilon$ is the unique orientation on $A$ satisfying $[g a: g s]_{g \epsilon}=[a: s]_{\epsilon}$, for all $a \in A^{1}$, $s \in A^{0}$. The group $G$ acts transitively on the set of oriented apartments.

Let $T$ be the diagonal torus of $G$ (the image of the diagonal torus of $\mathrm{GL}(2, F)$ in $G)$. The $G$-set $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ of oriented apartments in $X$ is isomorphic to $G / T$. Indeed the stabilizer of an oriented apartement $(A, \epsilon)$, that is the set of $g \in G$ such that $g A=A$ and $g \epsilon=\epsilon$, is conjugate to $T$. We endow $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ with the topology corresponding to the quotient topology of $G / T$. In particular for any $\tilde{A}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and any open (compact) subgroup $K$ of $G, K . \tilde{A}=\{k \tilde{A} ; k \in K\}$ is an open (compact) neighbourhood of $\tilde{A}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $k$ be a nonnegative integer. By definition the (oriented) apartment of $\tilde{X}_{k}$ corresponding to $\tilde{A}$ is the 1-dimensional subsimplicial complex $\tilde{A}_{k}$ of $\tilde{X}_{k}$ whose edges (resp. vertices) are the $(k+1$ )-paths $a$ (resp. $k$-paths $s$ ) in $X$ contained in $\tilde{A}$ and such that the orientations of $a$ (resp. $s$ ) and $\tilde{A}$ are compatible. We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k}$ the set of apartments of $\tilde{X}_{k}$. Then $\tilde{A} \mapsto \tilde{A}_{k}$ is a $G$-equivariant bijection between $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{k}$ which allows us to identify both $G$-sets.

Let $k$ be a non-negative integer and $a$ be an edge of $\tilde{X}_{k}$. Define a subset $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{a}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ by

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{a}=\left\{\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}} ; a \in \tilde{A}^{1}\right\}
$$

(5.1.1) LEMMA. - i) With the notation as above, we have $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{a}=\Gamma_{a} \tilde{A}_{o}$, for any $\widetilde{A}_{o}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{a}$. In particular $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{a}$ is a compact open subset of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.
ii) The set $\left\{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{a} ; k \geqslant 0, a \in \tilde{X}_{k}^{1}\right\}$ is a basis of the topology of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ formed of compact open subsets.

The equality $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{a}=\Gamma_{a} \tilde{A}_{o}$ follows from the fact that $\Gamma_{a}$ acts transitively on the apartments of $X$ containing the path $a$. For ii), we must prove that any open subset $\Omega$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ contains $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{a}$ for some $k \geqslant 0$ and $a \in \tilde{X}_{k}^{1}$. Replacing $\Omega$ by $g \Omega$ for some $g \in G$ we may assume that it contains the oriented apartment $\tilde{A}_{\text {st }}$ corresponding to the coset $1 . T \in G / T$. For $r \geqslant 1$, let $K_{r}$ be the image in $G$ of the following congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(2, F)$ :

$$
\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) ; a, d \in 1+\mathfrak{p}^{r}, b, c \in \mathfrak{p}^{r}\right\} .
$$

Take $r$ large enough so that $K_{r} \tilde{A}_{\text {st }} \subset \Omega$. Let $T^{o}$ be the maximal compact open subgroup of $T$. It stabilizes $A_{\text {st }}$ pointwise, whence it fixes $\tilde{A}_{\text {st }}$. So $K_{r} T^{o} \tilde{A}_{\mathrm{st}} \subset \Omega$. The subgroup $K_{r} T^{o}$ is $\Gamma_{a}$ for some $a \in \tilde{A}_{\mathrm{st}}^{1}$ and we are done.
5.2. Fix $k \geqslant 0$. We define a (geodesic) Radon transform

$$
\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}_{k}: C_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}),
$$
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where $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ is the space of functions on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, by

$$
\mathcal{R}(\omega)(\tilde{A})=\sum_{a \in \tilde{A}^{1}} \omega(a)
$$

Note that the image of a 1-cochain $\omega$ whose support is reduced to a single edge $a_{o}$ is $\omega\left(a_{o}\right) \operatorname{Char}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{a_{o}}}$, where Char denotes a characteristic function. So the image of $\mathcal{R}$ actually lies in the space $C_{c}^{0}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ of locally constant functions with compact support on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Clearly $\mathcal{R}$ is $G$-equivariant.
(5.2.1) Lemma. - For all $f \in C_{c}^{0}\left(\tilde{X}_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right)$, we have $\mathcal{R}(d f)=0$.

Indeed if $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{R}(d f)(\tilde{A})=\sum_{a \in \tilde{A}^{1}} f\left(a^{+}\right)-f\left(a^{-}\right) \\
=\sum_{a \in \tilde{A}^{1}} f\left(a^{+}\right)-\sum_{a \in \tilde{A}^{1}} f\left(a^{-}\right)=\sum_{s \in \tilde{A}^{0}} f(s)-\sum_{s \in \tilde{A}^{0}} f(s)=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

since the map $\tilde{A}^{1} \longrightarrow \tilde{A}^{0}, a \mapsto a^{+}$(resp. $a \mapsto a^{-}$) is a bijection.
(5.2.2) Proposition. - i) The following sequence of $G$-modules is exact:

$$
C_{c}^{0}\left(\tilde{X}_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right) \xrightarrow{d} C_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} C_{c}^{0}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) .
$$

In other words $\mathcal{R}$ induces an injective map:

$$
\overline{\mathcal{R}}: H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right) \longrightarrow C_{c}^{0}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})
$$

ii) Moreover we have:

$$
\bigcup_{k \geqslant 0} \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{k}\left(H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right)=C_{c}^{0}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})
$$

Point ii) follows from the fact that $C_{c}^{0}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ is generated as a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space by the functions $\operatorname{Char}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{a}}$, where $a \in \tilde{X}_{k}^{1}$ and $k \geqslant 0$.

To prove i) we need to introduce some more concepts. A path $p$ in $\tilde{X}_{k}$ is a sequence of edges $a_{u}, u=0, \ldots, l-1$, such that for $u=0, \ldots, l-2, a_{u}$ and
$a_{u+1}$ share a vertex. Abusing the notation we shall write $p=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right)$, where for $u=0, \ldots, l-1,\left\{a_{u}^{+}, a_{u}^{-}\right\}=\left\{x_{u}, x_{u+1}\right\}$, keeping in mind that when $k=0$ two neighbour vertices do not determine a unique edge. We define "incidence coefficients" $\left[p: a_{u}\right] \in\{ \pm 1\}, u=0, \ldots, l-1$, by $\left[p: a_{u}\right]=1$ if and only if $a_{u}^{-}=x_{u}$ and $a_{u}^{+}=x_{u+1}$.

Let $\omega \in C_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ be in the kernel of $\mathcal{R}$. The "integral" of $\omega$ along $p$ is by definition

$$
\int_{p} \omega=\sum_{u=0, \ldots, l-1}\left[p: a_{u}\right] \omega\left(a_{u}\right)
$$

(5.2.3) Lemma. - With the notation as above, if $p$ is a loop, i.e. if $a_{0}$ and $a_{l-1}$ share the vertex $x_{l}=x_{0}$, then

$$
\int_{p} \omega=0
$$

We first show that the lemma implies proposition (5.2.2). Fix $s_{o} \in \tilde{X}_{k}^{0}$ and $\alpha_{o} \in \mathbb{C}$. For any $x \in \tilde{X}_{k}^{0}$, we set

$$
f(x)=\alpha_{o}+\int_{p} \omega
$$

where $p=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{l}\right)$ is any path satisfying $x_{0}=s_{o}$ and $x_{l}=x$. We claim that $f(x)$ does not depend on the choice of $p$. Indeed let $q=\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ be another path satisfying the same assumptions and set $q-p=\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{m+l+1}\right)$, where $z_{u}=x_{u}$, for $u=0, \ldots, l$, and $z_{u}=y_{m+l+1-u}$, for $u=l+1, \ldots, l+$ $1+m$. Then one easily checks that

$$
\left(\alpha_{o}+\int_{q} \omega\right)-\left(\alpha_{o}+\int_{p} \omega\right)=\int_{q-p} \omega=0
$$

since $q-p$ is a loop.
Let $a \in \tilde{X}_{k}^{1}$ and $p=\left(x_{o}, \ldots, x_{l}\right)$ be a path such that $x_{0}=s_{o}$ and $x_{l}=a^{-}$. Set $q=\left(x_{o}, \ldots, x_{l}, a^{+}\right)$. Then

$$
f\left(a^{+}\right)-f\left(a^{-}\right)=\int_{q} \omega-\int_{p} \omega=[q: a] \omega(a)=\omega(a) .
$$

So we must now prove that one can choose $s_{o}$ and $\alpha_{o}$ so that $f \in$ $C^{0}\left(\tilde{X}_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ has finite support. Let $S_{k}$ be the support of $\omega$ in $\tilde{X}_{k}^{1}$ and set

$$
S:=\bigcup_{a \in S_{k}} \operatorname{cvx}(a) \subset X
$$

where $\operatorname{cvx}(a)$ denotes the convex hull of $a$ in (the geometric realization of) $X$. Then $S$ is a bounded subset of $X$. Let $t$ be a vertex in $S$ and $\delta$ be an integer large enough so that $S \subset X(t, \delta)$, where $X(t, \delta)$ is the subtree of $X$ whose vertices are at combinatorial distance from $t$ less than or equal to $\delta$. Then the complementary set ${ }^{c} X(t, \delta)$ of $X(t, \delta)$ in $X$ has the following property; for any $k$-path $a \subset{ }^{c} X(t, \delta)$, there exists a half-apartment $A^{+}$ such that $a \subset A^{+} \subset{ }^{c} X(t, \delta)$. Set

$$
S_{k}^{\prime}=\left\{s \in \tilde{X}_{k}^{0} ; \operatorname{cvx}(s) \cap X(t, \delta) \neq \emptyset\right\} .
$$

Choose $s_{o}$ outside $S_{k}^{\prime}$ and set $\alpha_{o}=0$. We are going to prove that the support of $f$ is contained in $S_{k}^{\prime}$. Let $x$ be in $\tilde{X}_{k}^{0} \backslash S_{k}^{\prime}$. Choose half-apartments $A_{o}^{+}$and $A^{+}$so that:

$$
A^{+}, A_{o}^{+} \subset{ }^{c} S \text { and } \operatorname{cvx}\left(s_{o}\right) \subset A_{o}^{+}, \operatorname{cvx}(x) \subset A^{+}
$$

Consider vertices $s_{o}^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime}$ of $\tilde{X}_{k}$ whose convex hulls in $X$ lie in $A_{o}^{+}$and $A^{+}$respectively. One may choose $s_{o}^{\prime}$ (resp. $x^{\prime}$ ) away enough from the origin of $A_{o}^{+}$(resp. of $A^{+}$) so that there exists an apartment $B$ of $X$ containing both $\operatorname{cvx}\left(s_{o}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{cvx}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

First case: the vertices $s_{o}^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime}$ induce the same orientation on $B$ (see figure $1)$ Let $\tilde{B}$ be the corresponding oriented apartment. Let $\tilde{A}_{o}^{+}$be the oriented half-apartment whose orientation is induced by $s_{o}$ and $\tilde{A}^{+}$be the oriented half-apartment whose orientation is induced by $x$. Let $p\left(s_{o}, s_{o}^{\prime}\right)$ (resp. $p\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)$ and $p\left(s_{o}^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)$ ) be the unique injective path in $\tilde{X}_{k}$ joining $s_{o}$ to $s_{o}^{\prime}$ (resp. $x^{\prime}$ to $x, s_{o}^{\prime}$ to $\left.x^{\prime}\right)$ and such that $p\left(s_{o}, s_{o}^{\prime}\right) \subset \tilde{A}_{o}^{+}\left(\right.$resp. $\left.p\left(x^{\prime}, x\right) \subset \tilde{A}^{+}, p\left(s_{o}^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \subset \tilde{B}\right)$. By concatenation, we get a path $p\left(s_{o}, x\right)=p\left(s_{o}, s_{o}^{\prime}\right)+p\left(s_{o}^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)+p\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)$ joining $s_{o}$ and $x$. Since those vertices of $\tilde{X}_{k}$ occuring in $p\left(s_{o}, s_{o}^{\prime}\right)$ or $p\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)$ do not lie in $S$, we have

$$
\int_{p\left(s_{o}, s_{o}^{\prime}\right)} \omega=\int_{p\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)} \omega=0 .
$$

Moreover

$$
\int_{p\left(s_{o}^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)} \omega= \pm \mathcal{R}(\omega)(\tilde{B})=0
$$

by assumption. So

$$
\int_{p\left(s_{o}, x\right)} \omega=0 \text { and } f(x)=\alpha_{o}+\int_{p\left(s_{o}, x\right)} \omega=0
$$

as required.
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Figure 1
Second case: the vertices $s_{o}^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime}$ induce different orientations on $B$ (see figure 2). Then one can choose a third vertex $x^{\prime \prime} \in S_{k}^{\prime}$ such that $s_{o}^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime \prime}$ (resp. $x^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime \prime}$ ) lie in some common apartment $B_{1}$ (resp. $B_{2}$ ) and induce the same orientation on that apartment. We denote by $\tilde{B}_{1}$ and $\tilde{B}_{2}$ the corresponding oriented apartments. Then, with the notation as in the first case, one easily shows that

$$
f(x)=f\left(s_{o}\right)+\int_{p\left(s_{o}, s_{o}^{\prime}\right)} \omega+ \pm \mathcal{R}(\omega)\left(\tilde{B}_{1}\right)+ \pm \mathcal{R}(\omega)\left(\tilde{B}_{2}\right)+\int_{p\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)} \omega=0
$$



Figure 2
Proof of lemma (5.2.3). - It is based on the following easy lemma whose proof is left to the reader.
(5.2.4) Lemma. - Let $U$ be either $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}$ or a finite interval of integers. Let $p=\left(x_{u}\right)_{u \in U}$ be a path in $\tilde{X}_{k}$. Assume that $p$ satisfies one of the following properties:
(P1) For all $u \in U$ such that $u+1 \in U$, we have $a_{u}^{+}=a_{u+1}^{-}$;
(P2) For all $u \in U$ such that $u+1 \in U$, we have $a_{u}^{-}=a_{u+1}^{+}$.

Then $p$ is injective and there is an apartment $\tilde{A}$ containing $p$. In particular p cannot be a loop.

Remark. - A path $p$ satisfies (P1) or (P2) if and only if the sequence of incidence numbers $\left(\left[p: a_{u}\right]\right)_{u}$ is constant.

Let $p=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{l}\right)$ be a loop. We consider the index $u$ as an element of $\mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$. According to the previous lemma, the set $V$ of indices $u \in \mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z}$ such that we have neither $a_{u}^{+}=a_{u+1}^{-}$nor $a_{u}^{-}=a_{u+1}^{+}$is non-empty. Moreover it has cardinal at least 2. Let us first consider the case $\sharp V=2$ (this case indeed occurs when $k=0$ ). We may for instance assume that

$$
a_{0}^{-}=a_{l-1}^{-}=x_{0} \text { and } a_{u_{o}-1}^{+}=a_{u_{o}}^{+}=x_{u_{o}}
$$

for some $u_{o} \in \mathbb{Z} / l \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. Hence we must have

$$
a_{u+1}^{-}=a_{u}^{+}, u=0, \ldots, u_{o}-2 a_{u}^{-}=a_{u+1}^{+}, \quad u=u_{o}, \ldots, l-2 .
$$



Figure 3

Choose a half-apartment $A_{o}^{+}$, whose vertex set is $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{u}, \ldots\right\}$, satisfying:

- for all $u \geqslant 0$, there is an edge $b_{u}$ of $A_{o}^{+}$, such that $b_{u}^{+}=s_{u}, b_{u}^{-}=s_{u+1}$;
- $s_{0}=x_{0}=b_{0}^{+}$.

Similarly choose a half-apartment $A_{u_{o}}^{+}$, whose vertex set is $\left\{t_{0}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{u}, \ldots\right\}$, satisfying:

- for all $u \geqslant 0$, there is an edge $c_{u}$ of $A_{u_{o}}^{+}$such that $c_{u}^{+}=s_{u+1}, c_{u}^{-}=s_{u}$;
- $t_{0}=x_{u_{o}}=c_{0}^{-}$.

Consider the two infinite paths:

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{1}=\left(\ldots, s_{u}, \ldots, s_{1}, s_{0}=x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{u_{o}-1}, x_{u_{o}}=t_{0}, t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{v}, \ldots\right) \\
p_{2}=\left(\ldots, s_{u}, \ldots, s_{1}, s_{0}=x_{0}, x_{l-1}, x_{l-2}, \ldots, x_{u_{o}+1}, x_{u_{o}}=t_{0}, t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{v}, \ldots\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

By lemma (5.2.4) we can find an apartment $\tilde{A}_{1}$ (resp. $\tilde{A}_{2}$ ) whose vertices are those of $p_{1}$ (resp. those of $p_{2}$ ). Since $\omega$ has finite support, we can give an obvious meaning to the integrals:

$$
\int_{p_{1}} \omega \text { and } \int_{p_{2}} \omega .
$$

Moreover we have

$$
\int_{p_{1}} \omega=\mathcal{R}(\omega)\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1}\right)=0 \text { and } \int_{p_{2}} \omega=\mathcal{R}(\omega)\left(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{2}\right)=0
$$

Finally we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{p_{1}} \omega-\int_{p_{2}} \omega=\sum_{u=0, \ldots, u_{o}-1} \omega\left(a_{u}\right)-\sum_{u=l-1, l-2, \ldots, u_{o}} \omega\left(a_{u}\right) \\
= & \sum_{u=0, \ldots, u_{o}-1}\left[p: a_{u}\right] \omega\left(a_{u}\right)+\sum_{u=l-1, l-2, \ldots, u_{o}}\left[p: a_{u}\right] \omega\left(a_{u}\right)=\int_{p} \omega=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

This proof extends to the case $\sharp V>2$ by introducing for all $u \in V$ a half-apartment starting at the vertex $a_{u} \cap a_{u+1}$. The details are left to the reader (see figure 4).


Figure 4
5.3 Uniqueness of the model in $H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ for supercuspidals

Because of the homeomorphism $\tilde{A} \simeq G / T$, the $G$-module $C_{c}^{0}(\tilde{A})$ is isomorphic to $C_{c}^{0}(G / T)$, the space of locally constant complex functions with compact support on $G / T$. The $G$-module $C_{c}^{0}(G / T)$ by definition is the compactly induced representation $c$-ind ${ }_{T}^{G} 1_{T}$ of the trivial character $1_{T}$ of $T$ to $G$. So we may rephrase proposition (5.2.2) in the following way.
(5.3.1) Proposition. - For all $k \geqslant 0$, the Radon transform induces an injective $G$-equivariant map:

$$
H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{k}, \mathbb{C}\right) \longrightarrow c-\operatorname{ind}_{T}^{G} 1_{T}
$$

where $c$-ind denotes a compactly induced representation and $1_{T}$ denotes the trivial character of $T$.
(5.3.2) Theorem. - Let $\left(\pi, \mathcal{V}_{\pi}\right)$ be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $G$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left[\mathcal{V}_{\pi}, H_{c}^{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n(\pi)}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right]=1
$$

This theorem will from the following result due to Waldspurger ([9] Prop. 9', p. 31):
(5.3.3) Theorem (J.-L. Waldspurger). - Let $\left(\pi, \mathcal{V}_{\pi}\right)$ be an irreducible unitary smooth representation of $G$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\pi}, 1_{T}\right)=1
$$

Proof of (5.3.2). - Waldspurger's result together with Frobenius reciprocity imply that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\pi}, \operatorname{Ind}_{T}^{G} 1_{T}\right)=1
$$

where $\operatorname{Ind}_{T}^{G} 1_{T}$ is the representation of $G$ smoothly induced from the trivial character of $T$. This representation is the smooth part of the $G$-module $C(G / T)$ formed of those locally constant functions on $G$ that are stabilized by an open subgroup of $G$. Since $c-\operatorname{ind}_{T}^{G} 1_{T}$ naturally embeds as a sub- $G$ module of $\operatorname{Ind}_{T}^{G} 1_{T}$, we get :

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\pi}, c-\operatorname{ind}_{T}^{G} 1_{T}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\pi}, \operatorname{Ind}_{T}^{G} 1_{T}\right),
$$

and the theorem follows.
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