ANNALES DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES TOUSE Mathématiques

Z. Gong, L. Grenié

An inequality for local unitary Theta correspondence

Tome XX, nº 1 (2011), p. 167-202.

http://afst.cedram.org/item?id=AFST_2011_6_20_1_167_0

© Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, 2011, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse Mathématiques » (http://afst.cedram.org/), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://afst.cedram.org/legal/). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du

Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques

http://www.cedram.org/

An inequality for local unitary Theta correspondence

Z. $Gong^{(1)}$ and L. $Greni\acute{e}^{(2)}$

ABSTRACT. — Given a representation π of a local unitary group G and another local unitary group H, either the Theta correspondence provides a representation $\theta_H(\pi)$ of H or we set $\theta_H(\pi)=0$. If G is fixed and H varies in a Witt tower, a natural question is: for which H is $\theta_H(\pi)\neq 0$? For given dimension m there are exactly two isometry classes of unitary spaces that we denote H_m^\pm . For $\varepsilon\in\{0,1\}$ let us denote $m_\varepsilon^\pm(\pi)$ the minimal m of the same parity of ε such that $\theta_{H_m^\pm}(\pi)\neq 0$, then we prove that $m_\varepsilon^\pm(\pi)+m_\varepsilon^-(\pi)\geqslant 2n+2$ where n is the dimension of π .

RÉSUMÉ. — Étant donnée une représentation π d'un groupe unitaire local G et un autre groupe unitaire local H, on sait que soit la correspondance Theta fournit une représentation $\theta_H(\pi)$ de H soit on pose $\theta_H(\pi)=0$. Si on fixe G et on laisse H varier dans une tour de Witt, une question naturelle est : pour quels H a-t-on $\theta_H(\pi)\neq 0$? Pour chaque dimension m il y a exactement deux classes d'équivalence d'espaces unitaires que nous dénotons H_m^\pm . Pour $\varepsilon\in\{0;1\}$, dnotons $m_\varepsilon^\pm(\pi)$ le plus petit m de la parité de ε tel que $\theta_{H_m^\pm}(\pi)\neq 0$, alors nous montrons que $m_\varepsilon^+(\pi)+m_\varepsilon^-(\pi)\geqslant 2n+2$ où n est la dimension de π .

^(*) Reçu le 08/12/2009, accepté le 08/09/2010

⁽¹⁾ Lycée annexe à l'Université Fudan, N.383 Rue Guo Quan, Shanghai, Chine ersanzi@gmail.com

⁽²⁾ Università degli Studi di Bergamo, viale Marconi 5, 24044 Dalmine (BG), Italy loic.grenie@gmail.com

1. Introduction

The Theta correspondence is a powerful tool for the study of automorphic and local representations. It has been studied and used in the global and in the local case by various authors, see for instance [Har07], [HKS96], [How], [Kud86], [KR05], [MVW87], [Ral84], [Wal90]. We will restrict ourselves to the local case: we suppose that the base field is a p-adic field with $p \neq 2$. The Theta correspondence builds a duality between the representations of two reductive groups forming a dual pair inside a given symplectic (or metaplectic) group. The theory will be explained in greater detail in section 2. We will be interested in the so-called unitary case where both groups are unitary. To an irreducible representation π of the first group G corresponds at most one representation of the second group H that we denote $\theta(\pi) = \theta(G, H, \pi)$ where $\theta(\pi) = 0$ if there is no representation of H corresponding to π (in the unitary case, θ depends on the choice of a auxiliary character χ , we will thus write θ_{χ} instead of θ in that case). One can fix a representation π of an unitary group G = U(W) and vary the second group H = U(V), where W and V are Hermitian spaces and G and H are their respective unitary groups. One way to vary the space V is to start from a given irreducible space V_0 and to add hyperbolic planes $V_{1,1}$. One obtains a so-called Witt tower of spaces $V_r = V_0 \oplus (V_{1,1})^r$ and groups $H_r = H(V_r)$. We have (up to isometry) four such towers depending on the parity of r and on the sign of the Hasse invariant (see below for its definition). We denote them, with a slight notation shift, $V_{2r+m_0}^{\pm}$ where $m_0 = 0$ or 1, the dimension of $V_{2r+m_0}^{\pm}$ is $2r+m_0$ and \pm is the sign of the Hasse invariant. It is now well known that if $\theta_{\chi}(G, H(V_{2r+m_0}^{\pm}), \pi) \neq 0$ then $\theta_{\chi}(G, H(V_{2r+2+m_0}^{\pm}), \pi) \neq 0$. We can thus consider, for a given m_0 , the two integers $m_{\chi}^{\pm}(\pi)$ which are the minimal $m = 2r + m_0$ such that $\theta_{\chi}(G, H(V_m^{\pm}), \pi) \neq 0$.

We prove here a part of a conjecture of Harris, Kudla and Sweet (see Conjecture 2.7), namely

Theorem 3.10. — Let π be an irreducible admissible representation of G(W) where dim W=n. Then

$$m_{\chi}^{+}(\pi) + m_{\chi}^{-}(\pi) \geqslant 2n + 2$$
.

The conjecture (the Conservation Relation, see Conjecture 2.7) asserts that the inequality is in fact an equality.

In some important cases, Theorem 3.10, combined with the results of [HKS96] on local zeta integrals, suffices to prove stronger results. In parti-

cular, it is known, thanks to [HKS96], that

$$m = \inf(m_{\chi}^{+}(\pi), m_{\chi}^{-}(\pi)) \leq n.$$

When m=n Harris and Kudla use this inequality and Theorem 3.10 to prove the *Dichotomy Conjecture* of [HKS96] ([Har07][Theorem 2.1.7]), which determines whether $m=m_\chi^+(\pi)$ or $m=m_\chi^-(\pi)$ in terms of local root numbers.

The (still-conjectural) Conservation Relation, the Dichotomy Conjecture (now proved), and Kudla's Persistence Principle (Proposition 2.6) go a long way toward providing a complete explicit determination of the local theta correspondence. Resolving the remaining ambiguities will require a better understanding of the poles of local zeta integrals. A key step in the present paper, as in [KR05], is to prove simplicity of these poles for unramified representations. This implies the Conservation Relation when π is the trivial representation, and a doubling argument that goes back to Kudla and Rallis, together with a cocycle calculation, then implies Theorem 3.10.

The inequality proved in Theorem 3.10 is applied in a global situation in [Har07] to study special values of L-functions.

While we were writing this manuscript, Harris brought to our attention that a proof in his article [Har07] was incomplete. Since the arguments are related to the ones explained here, we have added that proof as an appendix to this paper.

The authors would like to thank Michael Harris for suggesting this research and for helping them throughout the project. The second author would like to thank also the team "Formes Automorphes" from the *Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu* for their kind invitation while finishing this paper. We would also like to thank the referee who carefully reviewed this paper and made several useful observations which improved substantially this paper.

2. Notations

This section recalls the local Theta correspondence as in [Kud96] and cites some of the results of [HKS96].

We fix once and for all a non archimedean local field F of residual characteristic different from 2.

The mapping Δ will always be a diagonal embedding, usually from G to $G \times G$ except in one point where it will be precised.

2.1. Heisenberg group

Let W be a vector space with a symplectic form $\langle .,. \rangle$ on which the group $\mathrm{GL}(W)$ will act on the right – accordingly, if f and g are two endomorphisms of W, we will denote $f \circ g$ the endomorphism such that $(f \circ g)(w) = g(f(w))$. We will denote, as usual,

$$\operatorname{Sp}(W) = \{ g \in \operatorname{GL}(W) | \forall (x, y) \in W^2, \langle xg, yg \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \}$$

its isometry group.

Definition 2.1. — The Heisenberg group of W if the group $H(W) = W \ltimes F$ with product

$$(w_1,t_1)(w_2,t_2)=(w_1+w_2,t_1+t_2+\frac{1}{2}\langle w_1,w_2\rangle)$$
.

The centre of H(W) is $\{(0,t)|t\in F\}$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ acts on H(W) via its action on W:

$$(w,t)^g=(wg,t)\ .$$

We recall

THEOREM 2.2 (STONE-VON NEUMANN). — Let ψ be a non trivial unitary character of F. There exists, up to isomorphism, one smooth irreducible representation (ρ_{ψ}, S) of H(W) such that

$$\rho_{\psi}((0,t)) = \psi(t) \cdot \mathrm{id}_{S} .$$

If we fix such a representation (ρ_{ψ}, S) , then for any $g \in \operatorname{Sp}(g)$, the representation $h \longmapsto \rho_{\psi}^g(h) = \rho_{\psi}(h^g)$ is a representation of H(W) with the same central character, which means that it must be isomorphic to ρ_{ψ} . Hence there is an isomorphism $A(g) \in \operatorname{GL}(S)$, unique up to a scalar, such that

$$\forall h \in H, \quad A(g)^{-1} \rho_{\psi}(h) A(g) = \rho_{\psi}^{g}(h).$$
 (2.1)

The group

$$\operatorname{Mp}(W) = \{(g, A(g))| \text{ equation } (1) \text{ holds}\}$$

is independent of the choice of ψ and is a central extension of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$ by \mathbf{C}^{\times} :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mp}(W) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sp}(W) \longrightarrow 1$$
.

The group Mp(W) has a natural representation, called the Weil representation, ω_{ψ} on S given by

$$\omega_{\psi} : \operatorname{Mp}(W) \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}(S)$$

 $(g, A(g)) \longmapsto A(g)$

2.2. The Schrödinger model of the Weil representation

The natural mapping $(g, A(g)) \mapsto A(g)$ defines a representation of Mp(W) which has several models. We are interested in the so-called Schrödinger model.

Let Y be a Lagrangian of W, i.e. a maximal isotropic subspace of W and $W = X \oplus Y$ a complete polarisation of W. We consider Y as a degenerate symplectic space and see $H(Y) = Y \ltimes F$ as a maximal abelian subgroup of H(W). We consider the extension ψ_Y of the character ψ from F to H(Y) defined by $\psi_Y(y,t) = \psi(t)$. Let

$$S_Y = \operatorname{Ind}_{H(Y)}^{H(W)} \psi_Y$$
.

We recall that S_Y is the space of the functions $f: H(W) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\forall h \in H, \forall h_1 \in H(Y), f(h_1h) = \psi_Y(h_1)f(h)$$

and such that there exists a compact open subgroup L of W satisfying

$$\forall h \in H, \forall l \in L, f(h(l, 0)) = f(h)$$
.

We fix an isomorphism of S_Y with the space $\mathcal{S}(X)$ of Schwartz functions on X by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} S_Y & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}(X) \\ f & \longmapsto & \varphi \colon X & \to & \mathbf{C} \\ & x & \mapsto & \varphi(x) = f(x,0). \end{array}$$

The group H(W) acts on S_Y by right translation while it acts on $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ by

$$\left(\rho(x+y,t)\varphi\right)(x_0) = \psi\left(t+\langle x_0,y\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle x,y\rangle\right)\varphi(x_0+x)$$

where $x + y \in W$ is with $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Then (see [MVW87]) $(\rho, \mathcal{S}(X))$ is a model for the Weil representation.

We specify the operator ω_{ψ} as follows. We identify an element $w \in W$ with the row vector $(x,y) \in X \oplus Y$. An element $g \in \operatorname{Sp}(W)$ will be of

the form $g = \binom{a \ b}{c \ d}$ with $a \in \operatorname{End}(X)$, $b \in \operatorname{Hom}(X,Y)$, $c \in \operatorname{Hom}(Y,X)$ and $d \in \operatorname{End}(Y)$. Let $P_Y = \{g \in \operatorname{Sp}(W) | c = 0\}$ be the maximal parabolic subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ that stabilises Y and $N_Y = \{g \in P_Y | d = \operatorname{id}_Y\}$ its unipotent radical. We have a Levi subgroup $M_Y = \{g \in P_Y | b = 0\}$ of P_Y and $P_Y = M_Y N_Y$.

We define the following natural mappings:

$$m: \operatorname{GL}(X) \longrightarrow M_Y$$

$$a \longmapsto m(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{\vee} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$n: \operatorname{Her}(X, Y) \longrightarrow N_Y$$

$$b \longmapsto n(b) = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{id}_X & b \\ 0 & \operatorname{id}_Y \end{pmatrix}$$

where a^{\vee} is the inverse of the dual of a and $\operatorname{Her}(X,Y)$ is the subset of those $b \in \operatorname{Hom}(X,Y)$ which are Hermitian (in both cases we identify the dual of $X \oplus Y$ with $Y \oplus X$ using $\langle ., . \rangle$).

PROPOSITION 2.3 ([Kud96, Proposition 2.3, p.8). — Let $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ $\in \operatorname{Sp}(g)$. The operator r(g) of $\mathcal{S}(X)$ defined by

$$r(g)(\varphi)(x) = \int_{\mathrm{Ker}c^{\backslash Y}} \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle xa, xb\rangle - \langle xb, yc\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle yc, yd\rangle\right) \varphi(xa + yc) \mathrm{d}\mu_g(y)$$

is proportional to A(g) and moreover is unitary for a unique Haar measure $d\mu_g(y)$ on Ker $c^{\setminus Y}$.

2.3. Dual reductive pairs

Definition 2.4. — A dual reductive pair (G, G') in Sp(W) is a pair of subgroups of Sp(W) such that both G and G' are reductive and

$$\operatorname{Cent}_{\operatorname{Sp}(W)}(G) = G'$$
 and $\operatorname{Cent}_{\operatorname{Sp}(W)}(G') = G$.

If (G, G') is a dual reductive pair in $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$, we denote \widetilde{G} and \widetilde{G}' the pullbacks of the subgroups in $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$. As seen in [MVW87], there exists a natural morphism

$$j: \widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}' \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mp}(W)$$

such that the restriction of j to $\mathbf{C}^{\times} \times \mathbf{C}^{\times}$ is the product.

We consider the pullback $(j^*(\omega_{\psi}), S)$ of ω_{ψ} to $\widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}'$. We note that the central character for both \widetilde{G} and \widetilde{G}' is the identity:

$$\omega_{\psi}(j(z_1, z_2)) = z_1 z_2 \cdot \mathrm{id}_S .$$

Let π be an irreducible admissible representation of \widetilde{G} such that the central character of π is the identity. If

$$\mathcal{N}(\pi) = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\widetilde{G}}(S,\pi)} \operatorname{Ker} \lambda$$

then $S(\pi) = S/\mathcal{N}(\pi)$ is the largest quotient of S on which \widetilde{G} acts by π . The action of \widetilde{G}' on S commutes with the action of \widetilde{G} so that \widetilde{G}' acts on $S(\pi)$ and thus $S(\pi)$ is a representation of $\widetilde{G} \times \widetilde{G}'$. There exists (see [MVW87]) a smooth representation $\Theta_{\psi}(\pi)$ of G', unique up to isomorphism, such that

$$S(\pi) \simeq \pi \otimes \Theta_{\psi}(\pi)$$
.

The principal result of the theory is the following

Theorem 2.5 (Howe duality principle). — Let F be a non archimedean local field with residual characteristic different from 2 and let π be an irreducible admissible representation of \widetilde{G} .

- i) If $\Theta_{\psi}(\pi) \neq 0$, then it is an admissible representation of \widetilde{G}' of finite length.
- ii) If $\Theta_{\psi}(\pi) \neq 0$, there exists a unique \widetilde{G}' -submodule $\Theta_{\psi}^{0}(\pi)$ such that the quotient

$$\theta_{\psi}(\pi) = \Theta_{\psi}(\pi)/\Theta_{\psi}^{0}(\pi)$$

is irreducible. If $\Theta_{\psi}(\pi) = 0$, we let $\theta_{\psi}(\pi) = 0$.

iii) If two irreducible admissible representations π_1 and π_2 of \widetilde{G} are such that $\theta_{\psi}(\pi_1) \simeq \theta_{\psi}(\pi_2) \neq 0$ then $\pi_1 \simeq \pi_2$.

2.4. The unitary case

Let E/F be a quadratic extension and $\epsilon_{E/F}$ the corresponding quadratic character of F^{\times} .

We fix a quadratic space W of dimension n with skew-Hermitian form

$$\langle \dots \rangle : W \times W \longrightarrow E$$

(linear in the second argument). We will denote G(W) its isometry group.

Let V be a quadratic space of dimension m with Hermitian form

$$(.|.): V \times V \longrightarrow E$$

(linear in the second argument). We will denote

$$G(V) = \{g \in \operatorname{GL}(V) | \forall v, w \in V, (gv|gw) = (v|w)\}\$$

the isometry group of V. The space V will vary in the remaining of the paper.

Let $\mathbb{W} = R_{E/F}(V \otimes_E W)$ with symplectic form

$$\langle \langle .,. \rangle \rangle : \qquad \mathbb{W} \otimes \mathbb{W} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad F$$
$$(v_1 \otimes w_1, v_2 \otimes w_2) \qquad \longmapsto \qquad \langle v_1 \otimes w_1, v_2 \otimes w_2 \rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{E/F} ((v_1, v_2) \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle) .$$

The pair (G(V), G(W)) is a dual reductive pair in $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathbb{W})$. We have a natural inclusion

$$i: G(V) \times G(W) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{W})$$

 $(q, h) \longmapsto i(q, h) = q \otimes h.$

For any pair of characters $\chi = (\chi_m, \chi_n)$ of E^{\times} such that

$$\chi_n \mid_{F^{\times}} = \epsilon_{E/F}^n , \quad \chi_m \mid_{F^{\times}} = \epsilon_{E/F}^m ,$$

one can define, see [Kud94, Proposition 4.8, p.396], a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\imath}_{\chi}: G(V) \times G(W) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mp}(\mathbb{W})$$

lifting i (the homomorphism $\tilde{\imath}_{\chi}$ does depend on χ). Since the context will usually make clear which of χ_m and χ_n is considered, we will often use χ instead of χ_m or χ_n . Moreover we define $\imath_{V,\chi}$ (resp. $\imath_{W,\chi}$) the restriction of \imath_{χ} to $G(V) \times 1$ (resp. $1 \times G(W)$).

We will denote ω_{ψ} the Weil representation of Mp(W) and ω_{χ} its pullback through $\tilde{\imath}_{\chi}$. As before, if π is an irreducible admissible representation of G(V), we get a representation $\Theta_{\chi}(\pi, V)$ of G(W) such that

$$S(\pi) \simeq \pi \otimes \Theta_{\chi}(\pi, V)$$

and if $\Theta_{\chi}(\pi, V) \neq 0$, we say that π appears in the local Theta correspondence for the pair (G(V), G(W)). This condition depends on χ_m but not on χ_n . As above we define $\theta_{\chi}(\pi, V)$ to be the unique irreducible quotient of $\Theta_{\chi}(\pi, V)$ (or 0 if $\Theta_{\chi}(\pi, V) = 0$).

Witt towers. For a fixed dimension m, there are two equivalence classes of Hermitian spaces of dimension m over E. These two classes are distinguished by their Hasse invariant

$$\epsilon(V) = \epsilon_{E/F} \left((-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} \det V \right) .$$

We thus get two families of spaces V_m^{\pm} where the sign is the sign of the Hasse invariant. As Hermitian spaces we have $V_{m+2}^{\pm} \simeq V_m^{\pm} \oplus V_{1,1}$, where $V_{1,1}$ is an hyperbolic plane and the direct sum is orthogonal. We thus get four so-called Witt towers

$$V_{2r}^{+} = V_{0}^{+} \oplus (V_{1,1})^{r}, \ V_{2r+2}^{-} = V_{2}^{-} \oplus (V_{1,1})^{r},$$

$$V_{2r+1}^{+} = V_{1}^{+} \oplus (V_{1,1})^{r}, \ V_{2r+1}^{-} = V_{1}^{-} \oplus (V_{1,1})^{r}$$

where V_0^+ is the null vector space, V_2^- is an anisotropic 2-dimensional Hermitian space and V_1^{\pm} are one dimensional anisotropic Hermitian spaces. In each case the integer r is the Witt index of the corresponding Hermitian space¹.

We have

Proposition 2.6 [HKS96], [Kud96]. — Consider a Witt tower $\{V_m^\epsilon\}$ with $\epsilon=\pm.$

- i) (Persistence) If $\theta_{\gamma}(\pi, V_m^{\epsilon}) \neq 0$ then $\theta_{\gamma}(\pi, V_{m+2}^{\epsilon}) \neq 0$.
- ii) (Stable range) We have $\theta_{\chi}(\pi, V_m^{\epsilon}) \neq 0$ if the Weil index r_0 of V_m is such that $r_0 \geq n$.

We fix $m_0 \in \{0,1\}$ and a character χ of E^{\times} such that $\chi_{|F^{\times}} = \epsilon_{E/F}^{m_0}$ and we consider the two towers V_m^{\pm} with m of the parity of m_0 (if $m_0 = 0$ we disregard V_0^- which does not exist). Let $m_{\chi}^{\pm}(\pi)$ be the smallest m such that

$$\theta_{\chi}(\pi, V_m^{\pm}) \neq 0$$
.

Based on several examples, we have

Conjecture 2.7 (Conservation relation, [HKS96, Speculations 7.5 and 7.6], [KR05, Conjecture 3.6]). — If π is an irreducible admissible representation of G(W), then

$$m_{\chi}^{+}(\pi) + m_{\chi}^{-}(\pi) = 2n + 2$$
.

⁽¹⁾ We recall that the Witt index of a quadratic space is the dimension of a maximal totally isotropic subspace

2.5. Degenerate principal series

Let W_+ and W_- be two copies of W with respectively the same form as W and its opposite. We keep the pair of characters $\chi = (\chi_m, \chi_n)$. We fix for the space $W_+ \oplus W_-$ the complete polarisation $X \oplus Y$ where $X = \{(w, -w)|w \in W\}$ and $Y = \{(w, w)|w \in W\} = \Delta(W)$ (recall that Δ is the diagonal embedding of W in $W_+ \oplus W_-$). We let then

and we consider the representation $\omega_{V,W_+\oplus W_-}$, χ of $G(V)\times G(W_+\oplus W_-)$ induced by the Weil representation of $\mathbb{W}_+\oplus \mathbb{W}_-$ on $S=\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X})\simeq \mathcal{S}(V^n)$. Let $R_n(V,\chi)$ be the maximal quotient of S on which G(V) acts by the character χ_m . The space $R_n(V,\chi)$ can be seen as a representation of $G(W)\times G(W)$ via the natural embedding

$$i: G(W) \times G(W) = G(W_+) \times G(W_-) \hookrightarrow G(W_+ \oplus W_-)$$
.

From now on, we will denote $G = G_n = G(W)$ and $\tilde{G} = \tilde{G}_n = G(W_+ \oplus W_-)$ so that $i: G \times G \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}$.

We then have

PROPOSITION 2.8 ([HKS96], Proposition 3.1 and discussion before). — If π be an irreducible admissible representation of G(W), then

$$\Theta_{\gamma}(\pi, V) \neq 0 \iff \operatorname{Hom}_{G \times G}(R_n(V, \chi), \pi \otimes (\chi_m \cdot \pi^{\vee})) \neq 0$$
.

Let P_Y be the parabolic subgroup of \tilde{G} stabilising Y. We will denote M_Y its maximal Levi subgroup and N_Y its unipotent radical. As for the symplectic case, M_Y and N_Y are parametrised respectively by $\mathrm{GL}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Her}(X,Y)$.

For $s \in \mathbf{C}$ and χ a character of E^{\times} , let

$$I_n(s,\chi) = \operatorname{Ind}_{P_Y}^{\tilde{G}} \chi |.|^s$$

be the degenerate principal series (the induction is unitary and the elements of $I_n(s,\chi)$ are locally constant functions $\Phi(g,s)$).

We can identify $R_n(V,\chi)$ as a subspace of some $I_n(s,\chi)$ by sending an element $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ to the function $g \longmapsto \omega_{\chi}(g)\varphi(0)$ – (we recall that we denote $\omega_{\chi} = \omega_{\psi} \circ \tilde{\imath}_{V,\chi}$). The spaces $R_n(V_m^{\pm},\chi)$ allows us to decompose the various $I_n(s,\chi)$ as explained by the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.9 ([KS97, Theorem 1.2, p.257]). — Let V_m^{\pm} be an m-dimensional unitary space and Hasse invariant \pm . Let $s_0 = \frac{m-n}{2}$ and χ a character of E^{\times} such that $\chi|_{F^{\times}} = \epsilon_{E/F}^m$.

- i) If $m \leqslant n$, i.e. if $s_0 \leqslant 0$, then the modules $R_n(V_m^{\pm}, \chi)$ are irreducible and $R_n(V_m^+, \chi) \oplus R_n(V_m^-, \chi)$ is the maximal completely reducible submodule of $I_n(s_0, \chi)$.
- ii) If m = n, i.e. if $s_0 = 0$, then $I_n(0, \chi) = R_n(V_n^+, \chi) \oplus R_n(V_n^-, \chi)$.
- iii) If n < m < 2n, i.e. if $0 < s_0 < \frac{n}{2}$, then $I_n(s_0, \chi) = R_n(V_m^+, \chi) + R_n(V_m^-, \chi)$ and $R_n(V_m^+, \chi) \cap R_n(V_m^-, \chi)$ is the unique irreducible submodule of $I_n(s_0, \chi)$.
- iv) If m = 2n, i.e. if $s_0 = \frac{n}{2}$, then $I_n(s_0, \chi) = R_n(V_{2n}^+, \chi)$, $R_n(V_{2n}^-, \chi)$ is of codimension 1 and is the unique irreducible submodule of $I_n(s_0, \chi)$.
- v) If m > 2n, i.e. if $s_0 > \frac{n}{2}$, then $I_n(s_0, \chi) = R_n(V_m^{\pm}, \chi)$ is irreducible.

In all other cases $I_n(s,\chi)$ is irreducible.

To refine the aforementioned decompositions we begin with the Bruhat decomposition of \tilde{G} :

$$\tilde{G} = \prod_{j=0}^{n} P_Y \omega_j P_Y, \quad \text{with } \omega_j = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-j} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_j\\ 0 & 0 & I_{n-j} & 0\\ 0 & -I_j & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let us introduce, as in [Kud96, p.19] and [Rao93] the mapping

Whenever $\chi|_{F^{\times}} = 1$ we can introduce the character $\chi_{\tilde{G}}$ of \tilde{G}

$$\chi_{\tilde{G}}(g) = \chi(x(g))$$
.

We extend the definition of R_n as follows:

$$R_n(V_0^+,\chi) = R_n(0,\chi) = \mathbf{C} \cdot \chi_{\tilde{G}}$$

and $R_n(V_0^+, \chi)$ is a submodule of dimension 1 of $I_n(-\frac{n}{2}, \chi)$ (we are, at least formally, in the case *i*) of Proposition 2.9). As a last step, we define the intertwining operators

$$M_n(s,\chi):I_n(s,\chi)\longrightarrow I_n(-s,\chi)$$

by the integral

$$M_n(s,\chi)(\Phi) = \int_{N_Y} \Phi(w_n u g, s) du = \int_{\text{Her}(X,Y)} \Phi(w_n n(b)g, s) db ,$$

which is convergent for $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{n}{2}$ and by meromorphic continuation for $s \in \mathbf{C}$. The Haar measure $\mathrm{d} b$ is chosen self-dual with respect to the Fourier transform

$$\hat{\phi}(y) = \int \phi(b)\psi(\text{Tr}(by))db$$
.

We normalise $M_n(s,\chi)$ using

$$a(s,\chi) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} L_F \left(2s + j - (n-1), \chi \epsilon_{E/F}^j \right)$$

and then $M_n^*(s,\chi) = \frac{1}{a(s,\chi)} M_n(s,\chi)$ is holomorphic and non zero (see [KS97, Proposition 3.2]).

PROPOSITION 2.10 [KS97]. — Let V_m^{\pm} be the m-dimensional unitary space of dimension m and Hasse invariant \pm . Let $s_0 = \frac{m-n}{2}$ and χ a character of E^{\times} such that $\chi|_{F^{\times}} = \epsilon_{E/F}^m$.

- i) If m = 0, i.e. if $s_0 = -\frac{n}{2}$, then $\operatorname{Ker}(M_n^*(-\frac{n}{2}, \chi)) = R_n(V_0^+, \chi)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(M_n^*(-\frac{n}{2}, \chi)) = R_n(V_{2n}^-, \chi)$.
- ii) If $1 \leq m < n$, i.e. if $-\frac{n}{2} < s_0 < 0$, then $\operatorname{Ker}(M_n^*(s_0, \chi)) = R_n(V_m^+, \chi) \oplus R_n(V_m^-, \chi)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(M_n^*(s_0, \chi)) = R_n(V_{2n-m}^+, \chi) \cap R_n(V_{2n-m}^-, \chi)$.
- iii) If $n \leq m < 2n$, i.e. if $0 \leq s_0 < \frac{n}{2}$, then $\operatorname{Ker}(M_n^*(s_0, \chi)) = R_n(V_m^+, \chi) \cap R_n(V_m^-, \chi)$, $M_n^*(s_0, \chi)(R_n(V_m^+, \chi)) = R_n(V_{2n-m}^+, \chi)$ thus we have $\operatorname{Im}(M_n^*(s_0, \chi)) = R_n(V_{2n-m}^+, \chi) \oplus R_n(V_{2n-m}^-, \chi)$.
- iv) If m = 2n, i.e. if $s_0 = \frac{n}{2}$, then $\operatorname{Ker}(M_n^*(\frac{n}{2}, \chi)) = R_n(V_{2n}^-, \chi)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(M_n^*(\frac{n}{2}, \chi)) = M_n^*(\frac{n}{2}, \chi)(R_n(V_{2n}^+), \chi) = R_n(V_0^+, \chi)$.

2.6. Local Zeta integral

The last element we will use is the local Zeta integral of a representation. We fix π an irreducible admissible representation of G(W).

Definition 2.11. — A matrix coefficient of π is a linear combination of functions of the form

$$\phi(g) = \langle \pi(g)\xi, \xi^{\vee} \rangle$$

where ξ and ξ^{\vee} are vectors of the space of π and π^{\vee} respectively.

Moreover if ξ_{\circ} and ξ_{\circ}^{\vee} are preassigned spherical vectors of π and π^{\vee} , we let

$$\phi^{\circ}(g) = \langle \pi(g)\xi_{\circ}, \xi_{\circ}^{\vee} \rangle$$
.

We parametrise the space of matrix coefficients with the space of $\pi \otimes \pi^{\vee}$ through the obvious projection. If $s \in \mathbf{C}$ with Re s large enough, $\xi \in \pi$, $\xi^{\vee} \in \pi^{\vee}$, $\Phi \in I_n(s,\chi)$, let

$$Z(s,\chi,\pi,\xi\otimes\xi^{\vee},\Phi) = \int_{G} \langle \pi(g)\xi,\xi^{\vee} \rangle \Phi(i(g,I_n),s) dg$$

and extend it linearly to the space of matrix coefficients of π . We fix a maximal compact subgroup K of \tilde{G} .

DEFINITION 2.12. — A standard section Φ is a mapping from \mathbf{C} to the set of functions from \tilde{G} to \mathbf{C} such that $\forall s \in \mathbf{C}$, $\Phi(g,s) = \Phi(s)(g) \in I_n(s,\chi)$ and, moreover, $\Phi(s)|_K$ is independent of s.

It is rather obvious that any element $\Phi(g,s) \in I_n(s,\chi)$ can be inserted in a (unique) standard section. The Zeta integral above defines, for Re s sufficiently large, an intertwining operator

$$Z(s,\chi,\pi) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}(I_n(s,\chi),\pi\otimes(\chi\cdot\pi^\vee))$$
.

If Φ is a standard section, this operator can be meromorphically extended for all $s \in \mathbf{C}$ to an operator

$$Z^*(s,\chi,\pi) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}(I_n(s,\chi),\pi\otimes(\chi\cdot\pi^\vee))$$
.

3. Our results

3.1. Decomposition of the degenerate principal series

Let $\Omega(W_+\oplus W_-$) be the Grassmannian of the Lagrangians of $W_+\oplus W_-$. We can identify

$$P_Y \backslash G(W_+ \oplus W_-) \simeq \Omega(W_+ \oplus W_-)$$

using the map $P_Y \cdot g \longmapsto Yg$. There is a right action of $i(G(W) \times G(W))$ on $\Omega(W_+ \oplus W_-)$ which orbits are parametrised by the elements of the decomposition

$$G(W_+ \oplus W_-) = \prod_{r=0}^{r_0} P_Y \delta_r i(G(W) \times G(W))$$

where r_0 is the Witt index of W. The aforementioned orbits are of the form

$$\Omega_r = P_Y \backslash P_Y \delta_r i(G(W) \times G(W))$$
.

The orbit Ω_r is made of the Lagrangians Z such that dim $Z \cap W_+ = \dim Z \cap W_- = r$. The only open orbit is that of Y, which is Ω_0 , while the only closed one is that of Ω_{r_0} and the closure of the orbit Ω_r is

$$\overline{\Omega}_r = \coprod_{j>r} \Omega_j .$$

We consider the filtration

$$I_n(s,\chi) = I_n^{(r_0)}(s,\chi) \supset \cdots \supset I_n^{(1)}(s,\chi) \supset I_n^{(0)}(s,\chi)$$
,

where

$$I_n^{(r)}(s,\chi) = \{ \Phi \in I_n(s,\chi) | \Phi |_{\overline{\Omega}_{n+1}} = 0 \}$$
.

Let

$$Q_n^{(r)}(s,\chi) = I_n^{(r)}(s,\chi)/I_n^{(r-1)}(s,\chi)$$

be the successive quotients of the filtration. All $I_n^{(r)}(s,\chi)$ and $Q_n^{(r)}(s,\chi)$ are $G\times G$ -stable.

Let T_W be the Witt tower containing W. For any $W' \in T_W$ of dimension $n' = n - 2r \leq n$, let $G_{n'} = G(W')$. We identify W' with a subspace of W isomorphic to W'. There is a Witt decomposition

$$W = U' \oplus W' \oplus U$$

where U and U' are dual isotropic subspaces of dimension r. Let P_r be the parabolic subgroup of G stabilising U. The Levi subgroup of P_r is isomorphic to $GL(U) \times G_{n'}$ so that, if we denote M_r its Levi component and N_r its unipotent radical, we have isomorphisms

$$M_r \simeq \mathrm{GL}(U) \times G_{n'}$$
 (3.2)
 $P_r \simeq (\mathrm{GL}(U) \times G_{n'}) \ltimes N_r.$

Note in particular for r = 0 that $U = U' = \{0\}$, W' = W and $P_0 = G_n = G$.

Let

$$\operatorname{St}_r = i^{-1}(\delta_r^{-1} P_Y \delta_r \cap i(G \times G))$$

be the stabiliser of $P_Y \delta_r$ in $i^{-1}(P_Y) \backslash G \times G$.

LEMMA 3.1. — For a convenient choice of δ_r (specified in Equation (3.3) below), we have

$$\operatorname{St}_r = (\operatorname{GL}(U) \times \operatorname{GL}(U) \times \Delta(G_{n'})) \ltimes (N_r \times N_r) \subset P_r \times P_r$$
.

Moreover

$$Q_n^{(r)}(s,\chi) \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{P_r \times P_r}^{G \times G} \left(\chi |.|^{s+\frac{r}{2}} \otimes \chi |.|^{s+\frac{r}{2}} \otimes \left(\mathcal{S}(G_{n'}) \cdot (\mathbf{1} \otimes \chi) \right) \right)$$

where the action of $G_{n'} \times G_{n'}$ on the space $\mathcal{S}(G_{n'}) \cdot (\mathbf{1} \otimes \chi)$ is given by $(g_1, g_2)\varphi(g) = \chi(\det g_2)\varphi(g_2^{-1}gg_1)$.

Proof. — We let
$$G' = G_{n'}$$
.

Recall the Witt decomposition

$$W = U' \oplus W' \oplus U$$

and consider the Lagrangian

$$Z = U \times \{0\} \oplus \Delta(W') \oplus \{0\} \times U$$

in $W_+ \oplus W_-$. Since the action of \tilde{G} on $\Omega(W_+ \oplus W_-)$ is transitive, there exists $\delta_r \in \tilde{G}$ such that $Z = Y \delta_r$. Since any linear map from Y to Z can be extended to an element of \tilde{G} , we can furthermore require that

$$\forall v \in U', \delta_r|_{\Delta(U')}(v, v) = (0, vd) \in \{0\} \times U$$

$$\delta_r|_{\Delta(W')} = \mathrm{id}_{\Delta(W')}$$

$$\forall u \in U, \ \delta_r|_{\Delta(U)}(u, u) = (u, 0) \in U \times \{0\}$$

$$(3.3)$$

where $d: U' \longrightarrow U$ is any isomorphism. Note in particular that $\delta_0 = \mathrm{id}_G$. Following [Kud96, Proof of Proposition 2.1, p.68], we find that there is a bijection between the orbit Ω_r of Z and the set

$$\{(Z_+,Z_-,\lambda)\}$$

where Z_{\pm} is an isotropic subspace of W_{\pm} of dimension r and

$$\lambda: Z_+^{\perp}/Z_+ \longrightarrow Z_-^{\perp}/Z_-$$

is an isometry². The action of $(g_+, g_-) \in G \times G$ on this set is given by

$$(g_+, g_-)(Z_+, Z_-, \lambda) = (Z_+g_+, Z_-g_-, g_+^{-1} \circ \lambda \circ g_-)$$
.

The stabiliser of (Z_+, Z_-, λ) is

$$\{(g_+,g_-)\in G\times G|g_\pm \text{ stabilises } Z_\pm \text{ and } g_+^{-1}\circ\lambda\circ g_-=\lambda\}$$
.

In our situation and with our choice of δ_r , we have $Z_+ = Z_- = U, Z_+^{\perp}/Z_+ = W'$ and $\lambda = \mathrm{id}_{W'}$. Hence, denoting $\mathrm{pr}_{W'}$ the projection on W' parallel to $U' \oplus U$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{St}_r &= \left\{ (g_+, g_-) \in P_r \times P_r \middle| g_+ \middle|_{W' + U} \circ \operatorname{pr}_{W'} = g_- \middle|_{W' + U} \circ \operatorname{pr}_{W'} \right\} \\ &= \left(\operatorname{GL}(U) \times \operatorname{GL}(U) \times \Delta(G') \right) \ltimes \left(N_r \times N_r \right) \,. \end{aligned}$$

For further reference, an element of P_r has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix}
a & b & c \\
0 & e & b^* \\
0 & 0 & a^{\vee}
\end{pmatrix}$$

where b^* depends on b, a and e and where c satisfies an equation depending on a, b and e. We thus have

$$g_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{\pm} & b_{\pm} & c_{\pm} \\ 0 & e_{\pm} & b_{\pm}^* \\ 0 & 0 & a_{+}^{\vee} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (3.4)

and the condition $g_{+}|_{W'+U} \circ \operatorname{pr}_{W'} = g_{-}|_{W'+U} \circ \operatorname{pr}_{W'}$ is simply $e_{+} = e_{-}$.

The description of the stabiliser allows us to describe the induced representations. If $\tilde{g} \in \operatorname{St}_r$, then $p(\tilde{g}) = \delta_r i(\tilde{g}) \delta_r^{-1} = n \cdot m(a_r(\tilde{g})) \in P_Y$. Let $\xi_{s,r}$ be the character of St_r defined by $\xi_{s,r}(\tilde{g}) = \chi(a_r(\tilde{g})) |\det a_r(\tilde{g})|^{s+\frac{r}{2}}$. Consider the morphism of $G \times G$ -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_n^{(r)}(s,\chi) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{St}_r}^{G \times G}(\xi_{s,r}) \\ \overline{f} & \longmapsto & \phi_{\overline{f}}(g_1,g_2) = \int_{N_r'} f(\delta_r n(u) i(g_1,g_2)) \mathrm{d}u \end{array}$$

where $f \in I_n^{(r)}(s,\chi)$ is a representative of \overline{f} . This morphism is an isomorphism (see [HKS96, Equation (4.9), p.963]). Let $\tilde{g}=(g_+,g_-)$ be an element of St_r decomposed as in (3.4). Then $\det(a_r(\tilde{g})) = \det a_+ \det a_- \det e_+$ (where we recall that $e_+ = e_-$). Since $e_+ \in G'$, $|\det e_+| = 1$ hence

$$\begin{split} Q_n^{(r)}(s,\chi) &\simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{St}_r}^{G\times G}(\chi|\,.\,|^{s+\frac{r}{2}}\otimes\chi|\,.\,|^{s+\frac{r}{2}}\otimes\chi) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{P_r\times P_r}^{G\times G}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{St}_r}^{P_r\times P_r}(\chi|\,.\,|^{s+\frac{r}{2}}\otimes\chi|\,.\,|^{s+\frac{r}{2}}\otimes\chi)\right) \,\,. \end{split}$$

⁽²⁾ in [Kud96] it is an anti-isometry but, since W_- has the opposite form of W_+ , here λ is an isometry.

The induction from St_r to $P_r \times P_r$ is an induction from $\Delta(G')$ to $G' \times G'$. Moreover, if $f \in \operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta(G')}^{G' \times G'} \chi$ then $f(h_1, h_2) = \chi(h_2) f(h_2^{-1} h_1, 1)$. Hence

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta(G')}^{G'\times G'}\chi\simeq\mathcal{S}(G')\cdot(\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi)$$

where the action of $G' \times G'$ on $\mathcal{S}(G') \cdot (\mathbf{1} \otimes \chi)$ is given by

$$\rho(g_1, g_2)\varphi(g) = \chi(\det g_2)\varphi(g_2^{-1}gg_1)$$
.

Hence

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{St}_r}^{P_r \times P_r}(\chi|.|^{s+\frac{r}{2}} \otimes \chi|.|^{s+\frac{r}{2}} \otimes \chi) \simeq \chi|.|^{s+\frac{r}{2}} \otimes \chi|.|^{s+\frac{r}{2}} \otimes \operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta(G')}^{G' \times G'} \chi$$
$$\simeq \chi|.|^{s+\frac{r}{2}} \otimes \chi|.|^{s+\frac{r}{2}} \otimes (\mathcal{S}(G') \cdot (\mathbf{1} \otimes \chi)).$$

The result follows. \Box

3.2. Simplicity of poles

We prove in our case the result of [KR05, section 5]. We follow the same method. We denote χ_0 the trivial character of F^{\times} .

Proposition 3.2. — Let $\mathfrak{z}_s \in \mathcal{H}(G/\!/K) \otimes \mathbf{C}[q^s,q^{-s}]$ be the element defined by

$$\mathfrak{z}_s = \prod_{i=1}^{r_0} (1 - q^{-s - \frac{1}{2}} t_i) (1 - q^{-s - \frac{1}{2}} t_i^{-1}) .$$

where we recall that $\mathcal{H}(G//K) \simeq \mathbf{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n^{-1}]^{W_G}$. For an unramified representation π of G, let $\pi(\mathfrak{z}_s)$ be the scalar by which \mathfrak{z}_s acts on the unramified vector in π . Then for all matrix coefficients ϕ of π and all standard sections $\Phi(s) \in I_n(s)$, the function

$$\pi(\mathfrak{z}_s) \cdot Z(s, \chi_0, \pi, \phi, \Phi)$$

is an entire function of s.

Proof of Proposition 3.2.— We divide the proof into four steps.

3.2.1. Step 1

By linearity of Z, we can limit ourselves to the case where ϕ is of the form

$$\phi(g) = \langle \pi(g)\pi(g_1)\xi_{\circ}, \pi^{\vee}(g_2)\xi_{\circ}^{\vee} \rangle$$

where ξ_{\circ} and ξ_{\circ}^{\vee} are spherical vectors in π and π^{\vee} and $g_1, g_2 \in G$. Then we have

$$Z(s,\chi_0,\pi,\phi,\Phi) = \int_G \langle \pi(g)\pi(g_1)\xi_\circ, \pi^\vee(g_2)\xi_\circ^\vee \rangle \Phi_s(i(g,I_n)) \mathrm{d}g$$

$$= \int_G \langle \pi(g)\xi_\circ, \xi_\circ^\vee \rangle \Phi_s(i(g_2gg_1^{-1},I_n)) \mathrm{d}g$$

$$= |\det g_2|^{s+r_0-\frac{1}{2}} \int_G \phi^\circ(g)\Phi_s(i(g,I_n)i(g_1^{-1},g_2^{-1})) \mathrm{d}g$$

$$= |\det g_2|^{s+r_0-\frac{1}{2}} \int_G \phi^\circ(g)\Phi_s(i(g,I_n)i(g_1^{-1},g_2^{-1})) \mathrm{d}g$$

since $|\det g_2| = 1$ and ϕ° is bi-K invariant, for all $k_1, k_2 \in K$,

$$= \int_{G} \phi^{\circ}(g) \Phi_{s}(i(k_{2}^{-1}gk_{1}, I_{n})i(g_{1}^{-1}, g_{2}^{-1})) dg$$

$$= \int_{G} \phi^{\circ}(g) \Phi_{s}(i(g, I_{n})i(k_{1}, k_{2})i(g_{1}^{-1}, g_{2}^{-1})) dg$$

and thus

$$= \int_{G} \phi^{\circ}(g) \Psi_{s}(i(g, I_{n})) \mathrm{d}g$$

where, for any $h \in H = G_{2n}$,

$$\Psi_s(h) := \int_{K \times K} \Phi_s(hi(k_1, k_2)i(g_1^{-1}, g_2^{-1})) dk_1 dk_2.$$
 (3.6)

Note that Ψ_s is $K \times K$ -invariant section of $I_n(s)$ which is not necessarily standard.

3.2.2. Step 2

We consider the algebra

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{C}[X, X^{-1}] \otimes \mathcal{H}(G /\!/ K) \simeq \mathbf{C}[X, X^{-1}] \otimes \mathbf{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n^{-1}]^{W_G},$$

where $\mathcal{H}(G/\!/K)$ is the K-spherical Hecke algebra of G and the element $\mathfrak{z}\in\mathcal{A}$ defined as:

$$\mathfrak{z} = \prod_{i=1}^{r_0} (1 - Xq^{-\frac{1}{2}}t_i)(1 - Xq^{-\frac{1}{2}}t_i^{-1}) .$$

We let $G \times G$ act on $I_n(s)$ through i. We extend this action to $\mathcal{H}(G/\!\!/ K) \times \mathcal{H}(G/\!\!/ K)$ and we let any $\phi \in \mathcal{H}(G/\!\!/ K)$ act as $(\phi, 1) \in \mathcal{H}(G/\!\!/ K) \times \mathcal{H}(G/\!\!/ K)$. We define the action of \mathcal{A} on the space $I_n(s)^{K \times 1}$ of $K \times 1$ -fixed vectors of $I_n(s)$ by the aforementioned action of $\mathcal{H}(G/\!\!/ K)$ and by $X \cdot \varphi = q^{-s} \varphi$ for any $\varphi \in I_n(S)$. Note that action of $1 \times G$ commutes with the action of \mathcal{A} .

PROPOSITION 3.3. — For any standard section Φ_s with associated section Ψ_s defined by (3.6), we have

$$\Psi_s * \mathfrak{z} \in I_n^{(0)}(s)^{K \times K}$$
.

Proof of Proposition 3.3.— We want to show the the image of $\Psi_s * \mathfrak{z}$ in each $Q_n^{(r)}(s) = Q_n^{(r)}(s, \chi_0)$ is 0 for $0 < r \le r_0$. As an illustration, we will do the first step separately in the case of a split Hermitian space (in particular $n = 2r_0$). Consider the projection induced by restriction to the closed orbit:

$$\operatorname{pr}_{r_0}: I_n(s) = I_n^{(r_0)}(s) \longrightarrow Q_n^{(r_0)}(s) \simeq \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{r_0}}^G\left(|.|^{s+\frac{r_0}{2}}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{r_0}}^G\left(|.|^{s+\frac{r_0}{2}}\right) \\ \Phi_s \longmapsto \left(\left(g_1, g_2\right) \mapsto \Phi_s(i(g_1, g_2))\right).$$

If we let \mathfrak{z} act only on the first term of the tensor product on the right side, we have

$$\operatorname{pr}_{r_0}(\Psi_s * \mathfrak{z}) = \operatorname{pr}_{r_0}(\Psi_s) * \mathfrak{z} .$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{r_0}}^G(|.|^{s+\frac{r_0}{2}}) \subset \operatorname{Ind}_B^G(\lambda)$$

where B is the standard Borel subgroup of G and λ is the unramified principal series representation with Satake parameter

$$(q^{s+r_0-\frac{1}{2}}, q^{s+r_0-\frac{3}{2}}, \dots, q^{s+\frac{1}{2}})$$
.

The element \mathfrak{z} acts on the K-fixed vector of this representation by the scalar

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r_0} (1 - q^{-s - \frac{1}{2}} q^{s + r_0 + \frac{1}{2} - i}) (1 - q^{-s - \frac{1}{2}} q^{-s - r_0 - \frac{1}{2} + i}) = 0.$$

This means that $\operatorname{pr}_{r_0}(\Psi_s * \mathfrak{z}) = 0$ i.e. that $\Psi_s * \mathfrak{z} \in I_n^{(r_0 - 1)}(s)$.

More generally, if we restrict the orbit of a section to Ω_r , we obtain a map

$$\operatorname{pr}_r: I_n(s) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{P_r \times P_r}^{G \times G} (|.|^{s + \frac{r}{2}} \otimes |.|^{s + \frac{r}{2}} \otimes C(G_{n-2r})) =: B_r(s)$$

where $C(G_{n-2r})$ is the space of smooth functions on G_{n-2r} . There is a non-degenerate pairing between $Q_n^{(r)}(s)$ and $B_r(-s-r)$ given by

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \int_{P_r \times P_r \setminus G \times G} \langle f_1(g_1, g_2), f_2(g_1, g_2) \rangle_{G_{n-r}} d\mu(g_1) d\mu(g_2) ,$$

where the internal pairing is the integration over G_{n-r} and the external integral is the invariant functional for functions which transform on the

left according to the square of the modulus character. A straightforward density argument shows that $\phi \in Q_n^{(r)}(s)$ is 0 if and only if it pairs to zero against all elements of the subspace $Q_n^{(r)}(-s-r) \subset B_r(-s-r)$. In addition if $\phi \in Q_n^{(r)}(s)^{K \times K}$ we can limit ourselves to the elements of $Q_n^{(r)}(-s-r)^{K \times K}$. Let $f_s \in Q_n^{(r)}(-s-r)^{K \times K}$ and $\mathfrak{z}_s = \mathfrak{z}|_{X-g^{-s}}$. We have

$$\langle \operatorname{pr}_r(\Psi_s \ast \mathfrak{z}), f_2 \rangle = \langle \operatorname{pr}_r(\Psi_s) \ast \mathfrak{z}_s, f_s \rangle = \langle \operatorname{pr}_r(\Psi_s), f_s \ast \mathfrak{z}_s^\vee \rangle \ .$$

LEMMA 3.4. — For any $f_s \in Q_n^{(r)}(-s-r)^{K \times K}$ we have

$$f_s * \mathfrak{z}_s^{\vee} = 0$$
.

Proof of Lemma 3.4. — Since f_s is an element of a parabolic induction and is fixed by a maximal compact, it is determined by its value at the identity element I_n . It is not difficult to see that $f_s(I_n) \in \mathcal{S}(G)^{K_{n-r} \times K_{n-r}}$ where $K_{n-r} = G_{n-r} \cap K$. Let τ be an irreducible admissible representation of G_{n-r} . The action of $\mathcal{S}(G_{n-r})$ on τ determines a $G_{n-r} \times G_{n-r}$ -equivariant map

$$\mu_{\tau}: \mathcal{S}(G_{n-r}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^{\operatorname{smooth}}(\tau, \tau) \simeq \tau^{\vee} \otimes \tau$$

where $\operatorname{Hom}^{\operatorname{smooth}}$ is the space of vector-space homomorphisms fixed by a compact open subgroup of $G_{n-r} \times G_{n-r}$. The two factors of $G_{n-r} \times G_{n-r}$ act respectively by pre- and post-multiplication on the elements of $\operatorname{Hom}^{\operatorname{smooth}}(\tau,\tau)$ so that each has finite dimensional image. A function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(G_{n-r})^{K_{n-r} \times K_{n-r}}$ is nonzero if and only if there exists an irreducible admissible representation τ such that $\tau(\varphi) \neq 0$, i.e. such that $\mu_{\tau}(\varphi) \neq 0$.

Consider $f_s * \mathfrak{z}_s^{\vee}$. Let τ be, as above, an irreducible admissible representation of G_{n-r} . The map μ_{τ} induces

$$\operatorname{Ind}(\mu_{\tau}): \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{r} \times P_{r}}^{G \times G} \left(|.|^{-s - \frac{r}{2}} \otimes |.|^{-s - \frac{r}{2}} \otimes \mathcal{S}(G_{n-r}) \right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{P_{r} \times P_{r}}^{G \times G} \left(|.|^{-s - \frac{r}{2}} \otimes |.|^{-s - \frac{r}{2}} \otimes \tau^{\vee} \otimes \tau \right)$$

which satisfies $\operatorname{Ind}(\mu_{\tau})(f_s)(I_n) = \mu_{\tau}(f_s(I_n))$. The latter induced representation is isomorphic to

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{P_r}^G(|.|^{-s-\frac{r}{2}}\otimes\tau^{\vee})\otimes\operatorname{Ind}_{P_r}^G(|.|^{-s-\frac{r}{2}}\otimes\tau)$$

which can be embedded in

$$\operatorname{Ind}_B^G \lambda_1 \otimes \operatorname{Ind}_B^G \lambda_2$$

where the Satake parameters are

$$\lambda_1 = (q^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}, q^{-s-\frac{3}{2}}, \dots, q^{-s+\frac{1}{2}-r}, q^{-\nu_1}, \dots, q^{-\nu_{n-r}})$$

$$\lambda_2 = (q^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}, q^{-s-\frac{3}{2}}, \dots, q^{-s+\frac{1}{2}-r}, q^{\nu_1}, \dots, q^{\nu_{n-r}})$$

(where $(q^{\nu_1}, \ldots, q^{\nu_{n-r}})$ is the Satake parameter of τ). The operator \mathfrak{z}_s^{\vee} acts on the unique line of $K \times K$ -invariant vectors of this representation by the scalar

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 - q^{-s} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{s - \frac{1}{2} + i}) (1 - q^{-s} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{-s + \frac{1}{2} - i}) \cdot (factor) = 0.$$

But $\operatorname{Ind}(\mu_{\tau})(f_s)$ is a $K \times K$ -invariant vector in this representation so that $\operatorname{Ind}(\mu_{\tau})(f_s) * \mathfrak{z}_s = 0$ and

$$\mu_{\tau}(f_s * \mathfrak{z}_s^{\vee}(I_n)) = \operatorname{Ind}(\mu_{\tau})(f_s * \mathfrak{z}_s^{\vee})(I_n)$$
$$= (\operatorname{Ind}(\mu_{\tau})(f_s * \mathfrak{z}_s^{\vee}))(I_n)$$
$$= 0$$

Since this is true for all τ , we have $f_s * \mathfrak{z}_s^{\vee}(I_n) = 0$ and thus $f_s * \mathfrak{z}_s^{\vee} = 0$.

We have $\operatorname{pr}_r(\Psi_s * \mathfrak{z}) = 0$ for all r > 0, which means that the support of $\Psi_s * \mathfrak{z}$ is included in Ω_0 , which concludes the proof of Proposition 3.3.

3.2.3. Step 3

Consider the isomorphism

$$\operatorname{pr}_0: I_n(s) \longrightarrow Q_n^{(0)}(G) \simeq \mathcal{S}(G)$$
.

Proposition 3.3 shows that, for a fixed s, we have $\operatorname{pr}_0(\Psi_s * \mathfrak{z}) \in \mathcal{S}(G)^{K \times K}$. Its support could vary with s. The following proposition shows that the support of $\operatorname{pr}_0(\Psi_s * \mathfrak{z})$ is bounded uniformly in s.

Lemma 3.5. — We have

$$\operatorname{pr}_0(\Psi_s * \mathfrak{z}) \in \mathbf{C}[q^s, q^{-s}] \otimes \mathcal{S}(G)^{K \times K} = \mathbf{C}[q^s, q^{-s}] \otimes \mathcal{H}(G /\!/ K)$$
.

Proof of Lemma 3.5.— Using the Cartan decomposition, write

$$\operatorname{pr}_0(\Psi_s * \mathfrak{z}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_{\lambda}(s) L_{\lambda} ,$$

where L_{λ} is the characteristic function of the double coset $Kg_{\lambda}K$ and Λ is the usual semigroup.

Lemma 3.6. — We have

$$c_{\lambda}(s) \in \mathbf{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$$

and thus is an entire function of s.

Proof. — We have

$$c_{\lambda}(s) \cdot ||L_{\lambda}||^{2} = \int_{G} (\Psi_{s} * \mathfrak{z})(i(g, I_{n})) \cdot L_{\lambda}(g) dg.$$
 (3.7)

The integral on the right is a (finite) linear combination, with coefficients in $\mathbf{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$ of integrals of the form

$$\int_{G} \int_{G} (\Psi_{s} * \mathfrak{z})(i(g, I_{n})i(g_{0}, I_{n})) \cdot L_{\mu}(g_{0}) dg_{0} \cdot L_{\lambda}(g) dg \qquad (3.8)$$

$$= \int_{G} \int_{G} (\Psi_{s} * \mathfrak{z})(i(g_{0}, I_{n})) \cdot L_{\mu}(g^{-1}g_{0}) \cdot L_{\lambda}(g) dg_{0}dg$$

$$= \int_{G} \int_{G} (\Psi_{s} * \mathfrak{z})(i(g_{0}, I_{n})) \cdot \varphi(g_{0}) dg_{0}$$

where φ is a function depending on λ and μ . Since this function is a (finite) linear combination of characteristic functions of cosets gK, the integral in the last line of (3.8) is a (finite) linear combination with coefficients in $\mathbf{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$ of integrals of the form

$$\int_{K} \int_{K \times K} \Phi_{s} (i(gk, I_{n})i(k_{1}, k_{2})i(g_{1}^{-1}, g_{2}^{-1})) dk_{1} dk_{2} dk.$$

But Φ_s is standard, hence it is right-invariant under a fixed compact open subgroup H, uniformly in s. This means that the set of g necessary to obtain the full integral (3.7) is finite and fixed. The elements g_1 and g_2 are fixed by the matrix coefficient ϕ we are considering and thus the integral (3.7) is a (finite) linear combination of $q^{\ell s}$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let then Λ_1 be the set of $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $c_{\lambda} \neq 0$ and for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ let

$$D_{\lambda} = \{ s \in \mathbf{C} : c_{\lambda}(s) = 0 \} .$$

If $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$ then D_{λ} is a numerable subset of \mathbf{C} . Hence $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} D_{\lambda}$ is numerable and thus different from \mathbf{C} . Let $s_0 \in \mathbf{C}$ be such that $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda_1, \ c_{\lambda}(s_0) \neq 0$. Since

$$\operatorname{pr}_0(\Psi_{s_0} * \mathfrak{z}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} c_{\lambda}(s_0) \cdot L_{\lambda}$$

has compact support, Λ_1 is finite and thus for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{pr}_0(\Psi_s * \mathfrak{z})$ has support in $\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} L_{\lambda}$.

3.2.4. Step 4

Going back to the Zeta integral in (3.5), we define

$$Z^*(s,\chi_0,\pi,\phi,\Phi) = \int_G \phi^{\circ}(g)(\Psi_s * \mathfrak{z})(i(g,I_n)) dg.$$

This integral is equal to the scalar by which $\operatorname{pr}_0(\Psi_s * \mathfrak{z})$ acts on ξ_\circ and is thus an entire function of s because it is an element of $\mathbf{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$. On the other hand, if $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ is large enough we can unfold

$$Z^*(s, \chi_0, \pi, \phi, \Phi) = \pi(\mathfrak{z}_s) \int_G \phi^{\circ}(g) \Psi_s(i(g, I_n)) dg$$
$$= \pi(\mathfrak{z}_s) Z(s, \chi_0, \pi, \phi, \Phi)$$

where $\pi(\mathfrak{z}_s)$ is the scalar by which $\mathfrak{z}_s = \mathfrak{z}\big|_{X=q^{-s}}$ acts on the spherical vector of π . Since $Z^*(s,\chi_0,\pi,\phi,\Phi)$ is an entire function of s, this completes the proof or Proposition 3.2.

3.3. The conjecture holds for the trivial representation in the even dimensional tower

DEFINITION 3.7 ([HKS96, Definition 4.6, p.963]). — For $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, χ a character and π and irreducible admissible representation of G, we say that π occurs in the boundary at the point $s = s_0$ if

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}(Q_n^{(r)}(s_0,\chi),\pi\otimes(\chi\cdot\pi^\vee))\neq 0$$

for some r > 0.

PROPOSITION 3.8. — Let $\pi = 1$ the trivial representation of G, ϖ_E an uniformiser of E and $q_E = |\varpi_E|$. We will denote $X^u(E^\times)$ the set of unramified characters of E^\times . Let

$$X(\mathbf{1}) \neq \left\{ (s, \chi) \in \mathbf{C} \times X^u(E^\times) \, \middle| \, \chi(\varpi_E) = (-1)^k, s = \frac{n}{2} - r - \frac{ki\pi}{\log q_E}, 1 \leqslant r \leqslant r_0 \right\}$$
 with $1 \leqslant r \leqslant r_0$ and $k \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Then **1** appears in the boundary at s if and only if $(s, \chi) \in X(\mathbf{1})$. Moreover if $(s_0, \chi) \notin X(\mathbf{1})$, for any standard section Φ the operator $Z(s, \chi, \mathbf{1})$ is holomorphic at $s = s_0$ and

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}(I_n(s_0,\chi),\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi)=\mathbf{C}\cdot Z(s,\chi,\mathbf{1})$$
.

Proof. — We know from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}(Q_n^{(r)}(s,\chi),\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi) \\ &= \operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{P_r\times P_r}^{G\times G}\left(\chi|.|^{s+\frac{r}{2}}\otimes\chi|.|^{s+\frac{r}{2}}\otimes\left(\mathcal{S}(G')\cdot(\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi)\right)\right),\;\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi\right) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}\left(\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi^{-1},\operatorname{Ind}_{P_r\times P_r}^{G\times G}\left(\chi^{-1}|.|^{-s-\frac{r}{2}}\otimes\chi^{-1}|.|^{-s-\frac{r}{2}}\otimes\left(\operatorname{C}^\infty(G')\cdot(\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi^{-1})\right)\right)\right) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{M_r\times M_r}\left(\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi^{-1},\chi^{-1}|.|^{-s-\frac{r}{2}+\frac{n-r}{2}}\otimes\chi^{-1}|.|^{-s-\frac{r}{2}+\frac{n-r}{2}}\otimes\left(\operatorname{C}^\infty(G')\cdot(\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi^{-1})\right)\right) \end{split}$$

because the Jacquet module for $\mathbf{1} \otimes \chi^{-1}$ is $\mathbf{1} \otimes \chi^{-1}$ (as a representation of M_r).

Now if g corresponds to (a, g') in Equation (3.2) then $\det g = \det a \overline{\det a^{-1}}$ det g' so that $\chi(\det g) = \chi(\det a)^2 \chi(\det g')$ but $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{G' \times G'}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \chi^{-1}, \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(G') \cdot (\mathbf{1} \otimes \chi^{-1})) = 1$ (see [HKS96, end of section 4, p.964] for general π). Thus

$$\simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}(U) \times \operatorname{GL}(U)} \left(\mathbf{1} \otimes \chi^{-2}, \chi^{-1} | \, . \, |^{-s + \frac{n}{2} - r} \otimes \chi^{-1} | \, . \, |^{-s + \frac{n}{2} - r} \right)$$

It follows that π occurs in the boundary at s if and only if χ is unramified, $\chi(\varpi_E) = (-1)^k$ and $(s - \frac{n}{2} + r) \log q_E + ki\pi = 0$, as required.

Suppose $(s_0, \chi) \notin X(\mathbf{1})$, i.e. **1** does not appear in the boundary. Let k be the maximum order of the pole of the Z integral in $s = s_0$ (as Φ varies). Thus

$$Z(s,\chi,\mathbf{1},\Phi) = \frac{\tau_{-k}(s,\chi,\mathbf{1},\Phi)}{(s-s_0)^k} + \dots + \tau_0(s,\chi,\mathbf{1},\Phi) + \dots$$

where the τ_i are holomorphic functions of s in a neighbourhood of s_0 and τ_{-k} is non-zero. The leading term τ_{-k} is itself an intertwining operator. If we had k > 0, that is, if the Z integral had a pole in $s = s_0$, the restriction of τ_{-k} to $I_n^{(0)}(s_0, \chi)$ would be zero because the Z integral is convergent on

$$I_n^{(0)}(s_0,\chi) = Q_n^{(0)}(s,\chi) \simeq \mathcal{S}(G) \cdot (\mathbf{1} \otimes \chi)$$

thus convergent for every standard section $\Phi(s)$ such that $\Phi \in I_n^{(0)}(s,\chi)$. This means that we would have a non-zero intertwining operator in $\operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}(Q_n^{(r)}(s,\chi),\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi)$ for some r>0, which is impossible by hypothesis. Thus $k\leqslant 0$, i.e. the integral is entire for any $\Phi\in I_n(s_0,\chi)$. Moreover, $Z(s_0,\chi,\mathbf{1})$ is a non-zero intertwining operator between $I_n^{(0)}(s_0,\chi)$ and $\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi$, which means that $\operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}(I_n^{(0)}(s_0,\chi),\mathbf{1}\otimes\chi)$ is non zero, thus has dimension 1, and that $Z(s_0,\chi,\mathbf{1})$ is its basis.

Let $\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G \times G}(I_n(s_0, \chi), \mathbf{1} \otimes \chi)$. Its restriction $\bar{\lambda}$ to $I_n^{(0)}(s_0, \chi)$ is a multiple of $Z(s_0, \chi, \mathbf{1})$. Since $\mathbf{1}$ is supposed not to appear in the boundary, if $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\bar{\lambda} \neq 0$, i.e. $\bar{\lambda} = cZ(s_0, \chi, \mathbf{1})$ for some $c \neq 0$. Since $\lambda - cZ(s_0, \chi, \mathbf{1})$ is zero on $I_n^{(0)}(s_0, \chi)$, it must be zero everywhere, i.e. $\lambda = cZ(s_0, \chi, \mathbf{1})$.

THEOREM 3.9. — Let m be an even integer and χ_0 the trivial character of E^{\times} , then

$$\forall m \leqslant 2n, \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{G \times G}(R_n(V_m^-, \chi_0), \mathbf{1}) = 0 ,$$

so that by (ii) of Proposition 2.6

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}(R_n(V_{2n+2}^-,\chi_0),\mathbf{1})\neq 0$$

and thus $m_{\chi_0}^-(\mathbf{1}) = 2n + 2$. Since $m_{\chi_0}^+(\mathbf{1}) = 0$, we have

$$m_{\chi_0}^+(\mathbf{1}) + m_{\chi_0}^-(\mathbf{1}) = 2n + 2$$
.

Proof. — By (i) of Proposition 2.6, it suffices to prove that

$$\text{Hom}_{G\times G}(R_n(V_{2n}^-,\chi_0),\mathbf{1})=0$$
.

From Proposition 3.8 we know that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}\left(I_n\left(-\frac{n}{2},\chi_0\right),\mathbf{1}\right)$$

is non zero and is generated by

$$Z\left(-\frac{n}{2},\chi_0,\mathbf{1}\right)$$

which is holomorphic at $-\frac{n}{2}$. The element of $I_n(-\frac{n}{2},\chi_0)$ equal to 1 on K is $\chi_{0,\tilde{G}}$. As seen in [Li92, Theorem 3.1, p.186] and [LR05, Proposition 3, p.333] we have

$$Z\left(-\frac{n}{2}, \chi_0, \mathbf{1}, \phi^{\circ}, \chi_{0,\tilde{G}}\right) \neq 0$$

and thus $Z(-\frac{n}{2},\chi_0,\mathbf{1})(\chi_{0,\tilde{G}})\neq 0$. Let

$$\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G \times G}(R_n(V_{2n}^-, \chi_0), \mathbf{1})$$

and

$$\tilde{\phi} = \phi \circ M_n^* \left(-\frac{n}{2}, \chi_0 \right) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G \times G} \left(I_n \left(-\frac{n}{2}, \chi_0 \right), \mathbf{1} \right) .$$

We have $\chi_{0,\tilde{G}} \in R_n(V_0^+,\chi_{0,\tilde{G}}) = \ker M_n^*(-\frac{n}{2},\chi_0)$ so that $\tilde{\phi}(\chi_{0,\tilde{G}}) = 0$. This means that $\tilde{\phi} = 0$ because it is a multiple of $Z(-\frac{n}{2},\chi_0,\mathbf{1})$. We know from Proposition 2.10 that the mapping

$$M_n^*\left(-\frac{n}{2},\chi_0\right):I_n\left(-\frac{n}{2},\chi_0\right)\longrightarrow R_n(V_{2n}^-,\chi_0)$$

is surjective so that $\phi = 0$.

3.4. Half of the conjecture

Theorem 3.10. — Let π be an irreducible admissible representation of G(W), then

$$m_{\chi}^{+}(\pi) + m_{\chi}^{-}(\pi) \geqslant 2n + 2$$
.

Proof. — Fix $m_0 \in \{0,1\}$, a character χ of E^{\times} such that $\chi|_{F^{\times}} = \epsilon_{E/F}^{m_0}$ and suppose we have two Hermitian spaces V_a^+ and V_b^- such that

$$\theta_{\chi}(\pi, V_a^+) \neq 0$$
 and $\theta_{\chi}(\pi, V_b^-) \neq 0$,

with dim $V_a^+ = a$, dim $V_b^- = b$, a and b of the parity of m_0 , $\epsilon(V_a^+) = 1$ and $\epsilon(V_b^-) = -1$. Let $V_{b,-}^-$ be the same space as V_b^- with opposite form and

$$\mathbb{W}_a = V_a^+ \otimes W, \quad \mathbb{W}_b = V_b^- \otimes W, \quad \mathbb{W}_{b,-} = V_{b,-}^- \otimes W.$$

We denote $\omega_{a,\chi}$ (resp. $\omega_{b,\chi}$, $\omega_{b,-,\chi}$) the representations of G induced by the representations $\omega_{a,\psi}$ (resp. $\omega_{b,\psi}$, $\omega_{b,-,\psi}$) of $\mathrm{Mp}(\mathbb{W}_a)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Mp}(\mathbb{W}_b)$, $\mathrm{Mp}(\mathbb{W}_{b,-})$). By hypothesis on V_a^+ and V_b^- we have two non-zero (and thus surjective) elements

$$\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(\omega_{a,\gamma}, \pi), \quad \mu \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(\omega_{b,\gamma}, \pi)$$
.

Let $g_0 \in GL_F(W)$ be an F-automorphism of W which is conjugate-linear as an E-morphism. Then $Ad(g_0)$ is a MVW involution on G. Conjugating μ and π by $Ad(g_0)$ we get a non-zero morphism

$$\mu^{\vee} \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(\omega_{h_{\mathcal{X}}}^{\vee}, \pi^{\vee})$$

and thus a surjective

$$\nu_0 = \lambda \otimes \mu^{\vee} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G \times G}(\omega_{a,\chi} \otimes \omega_{b,\chi}^{\vee}, \pi \otimes \pi^{\vee})$$
.

We consider the projection of ν_0 on the trivial subquotient and see it as a G-homomorphism through the diagonal action of G. We get a non-zero element

$$\nu \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(\omega_{a,\chi} \otimes \omega_{b,\chi}^{\vee}, \mathbf{1})$$
.

We have

$$\omega_{b,\psi}^{\vee} \simeq \omega_{b,\overline{\psi}} \simeq \omega_{b,-,\psi}.^3$$

On the other hand we can identify $Mp(\mathbb{W}_b)$ and $Mp(\mathbb{W}_{b,-})$ in which case we get the following

⁽³⁾ The first isomorphism holds true because $\omega_{b,\psi}$ is unitary, the second because of the definition of r(g) in 2.3

Lemma 3.11. — We have

$$\tilde{\imath}_{b,\chi} \simeq \tilde{\imath}_{b,-,\chi^{-1}}$$
,

where we added a subscript to $\tilde{\imath}$ to remember which Hermitian space is involved.

Proof. — The space V_b^- can be decomposed as an orthogonal direct sum of a split space and zero, one or two anisotropic lines. Since the splitting $\tilde{\imath}$ is additive, we consider separately the split and the anisotropic case.

We first consider the case in which V_b^- is split. We will need some additional notations (see [HKS96, n.10, p.950]). For any additive character η of F and $a \in F$ we will let η_a be the character such that $\eta_a(x) = \eta(ax)$, $\gamma_F(\eta) \in \mu_8$ is the Weil index of the quadratic character $x \longmapsto \eta(x^2)$ and $\gamma_F(a,\eta) = \frac{\gamma_F(\eta_a)}{\gamma_F(\eta)}$. Recall that (see [HKS96, n.11, p.950])

$$\gamma_F(ab, \eta) = (a, b)_F \gamma_F(a, \eta) \gamma_F(b, \eta)$$
.

Let η be the character such that $\eta(x) = \psi(\frac{1}{2}x)$ (i.e. $\eta = \psi_{\frac{1}{2}}$). For $g \in G$, we denote j(g) the integer such that $i(g, I_n) \in P_Y \delta_{j(g)} i(G \times G)$. Since V_b^- is split we have (see [HKS96, 1.15, p.953]),

$$\tilde{\imath}_{b,\chi}(g) = (\imath_b(g), \beta_{V_b^-,\chi}(g))$$

with

$$\beta_{V_{\cdot^{-},Y}}(g) = \chi(x(g))\gamma_F(\eta \circ RV)^{-j(g)}$$

where

$$\gamma_F(\eta \circ RV) = (\Delta, \det V_b^-)_F \gamma_F(-\Delta, \eta)^b \gamma_F(-1, \eta)^{-b}.$$

Let

$$\varphi: \operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{W}_b) \times \mathbf{C}^1 \simeq \operatorname{Mp}(\mathbb{W}_b) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Sp}(\mathbb{W}_{b,-}) \times \mathbf{C}^1 \simeq \operatorname{Mp}(\mathbb{W}_{b,-})$$

$$(g, z) \longmapsto (g, \overline{z})$$

be the identification. Then $\overline{\chi(x(g))} = \chi^{-1}(x(g))$ and

$$\overline{\gamma_F(-\Delta,\eta)\gamma_F(-1,\eta)^{-1}} = \overline{\left(\frac{\gamma_F(\eta_{-\Delta})}{\gamma_F(\eta_{-1})}\right)} = \frac{\gamma_F(\eta_{\Delta})}{\gamma_F(\eta_1)} = \gamma_F(\Delta,\eta)\gamma_F(1,\eta)^{-1}$$
$$= (\Delta,-1)_F\gamma_F(-\Delta,\eta)(-1,-1)_F\gamma_F(-1,\eta)^{-1}$$
$$= (\Delta,-1)_F\gamma_F(-\Delta,\eta)\gamma_F(-1,\eta)^{-1}$$

⁽⁴⁾ for this single proof, we fix $\delta \in E^{\times} - F^{\times}$ such that $\Delta = \delta^2 \in F^{\times}$ and use it to identify the Hermitian and skew-Hermitian spaces

thus, since $\det V_{b,-}^- = (-1)^b \det V_b^-$, we have $\overline{\beta_{V_b^-,\chi}(g)} = \beta_{V_b^-,\chi^{-1}}(g)$ and

$$\varphi \circ \tilde{\imath}_{b,\chi} = \tilde{\imath}_{b,-,\chi^{-1}}$$

as claimed.

We now consider the case in which V_b^- is an anisotropic line. We identify V_b^- with E and if $(x,y) \in E^2$, we have $\langle x,y \rangle = \mathbf{a}\overline{x}y$ for some $\mathbf{a} \in F$. If $g \in G(V_b^-) = E^1$, we decompose $g = x + \delta y$ (with $x, y \in F$) and we have (see [Kud94, Proposition 4.8, p.396])

$$\beta_{V_b^-,\chi}(g) = \chi(\delta(g-1))\gamma_F(2\mathbf{a}y(x-1),\eta)\gamma_F(\eta)(\Delta, -2y(1-x))_F$$

= $\chi(\delta(g-1))\gamma_F(\eta_{2\mathbf{a}y(x-1)})(\Delta, -2y(1-x))_F$

and

$$\beta_{V_{h_{-}}^{-},\chi}(g) = \chi(\delta(g-1))\gamma_F(\eta_{-2\mathbf{a}y(x-1)})(\Delta,-2y(1-x))_F$$
.

It is immediate that $\overline{\beta_{V_{h_{-}},\chi^{-1}}(g)} = \beta_{V_{h_{-}},\chi}(g)$ and

$$\varphi \circ \tilde{\imath}_{b,\chi} = \tilde{\imath}_{b,-,\chi^{-1}}$$

as claimed. \square

Let

$$V_{a.b.-} = V_a^+ \oplus V_{b.-}^-, \quad \mathbb{W}_{a.b.-} = \mathbb{W}_a \oplus \mathbb{W}_{b.-}$$

and let, as before, χ_0 be the trivial character of E^{\times} . We denote, as above, $\omega_{a,b,-,\chi_0}$ the representation of G induced by the Weil representation $\omega_{a,b,-,\psi}$. Let

$$\tilde{\imath}: \operatorname{Mp}(\mathbb{W}_a) \times \operatorname{Mp}(\mathbb{W}_{b,-}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mp}(\mathbb{W}_{a,b,-})$$

be the natural map whose restriction to ${f C}^1$ is the product. Then

$$\tilde{\imath}^*\omega_{a,b,-,\psi} = \omega_{a,\psi} \otimes \omega_{b,-,\psi}$$
.

According to [HKS96, Lemma 5.2, p.964],

$$\tilde{\imath}_{a,b,-,\chi_0} = \tilde{\imath} \circ (\tilde{\imath}_{a,\chi} \times \tilde{\imath}_{b,-,\chi^{-1}}) \circ \Delta : G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mp}(\mathbb{W}_{a,b,-}).$$

Thus as a representation of G we have

$$\omega_{a,\chi} \otimes \omega_{b,-,\chi^{-1}} \simeq \omega_{a,b,-,\chi_0}$$
.

We thus have a non-zero element

$$\nu \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(\omega_{a,\chi} \otimes \omega_{b,\chi}^{\vee}, \mathbf{1}) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_G(\omega_{a,b,-,\chi_0}, \mathbf{1})$$
.

We have dim $V_{a,b,-} = a + b$ even. Let us compute $\epsilon(V_{a,b,-})$:

$$\epsilon(V_{a,b,-}) = (-1)^{\frac{(a+b)(a+b-1)}{2}} \det V_{a,b,-}
= (-1)^{\frac{a(a-1)+ab+ba+b(b-1)}{2}} \det V_a^+ \det V_{b,-}^-
= (-1)^{\frac{a(a-1)+b(b-1)}{2}+ab} \det V_a^+ (-1)^b \det V_b^-
= (-1)^{ab+b} (-1)^{\frac{a(a-1)}{2}} \det V_a^+ (-1)^{\frac{b(b-1)}{2}} \det V_b^-
= (-1)^{ab+b} \epsilon(V_a^+) \epsilon(V_b^-) .$$

Since both ab and b have the parity of m_0 we have $\epsilon(V_{a,b,-}) = \epsilon(V_a^+)\epsilon(V_b^-) = -1$. Thus, according to Theorem 3.9

$$a+b \ge 2n+2$$

as needed. \square

3.5. Criterion

DEFINITION 3.12. — For a given $m \in \{0,...,2n\}$, let m' = 2n - m. The space $V_{m'}^{\pm}$ is said to be complementary to V_{m}^{\pm} (the space V_{2n}^{-} has no complementary).

Remark 3.13. — If $V_{m'}^{\pm}$ is complementary of V_m^{\pm} , then $s_0' = \frac{m'-n}{2} = \frac{2n-m-n}{2} = \frac{n-m}{2} = -s_0$.

THEOREM 3.14. — Fix $m_0 \in \{0,1\}$ and a character χ of E^{\times} such that $\chi|_{F^{\times}} = \epsilon_{E/F}^{m_0}$. Suppose that

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}(I_n(s_0,\chi),\pi\otimes(\chi\cdot\pi^\vee))=1$$

for all s_0 in

$$\begin{cases}
\left\{-\frac{n}{2}, 1 - \frac{n}{2}, \dots, \frac{n}{2} - 1, \frac{n}{2}\right\} & if \ m_0 = 0 \\
\left\{\frac{1-n}{2}, \frac{3-n}{2}, \dots, \frac{n-3}{2}, \frac{n-1}{2}\right\} & if \ m_0 = 1,
\end{cases}$$

i.e. for all $s_0 \in \frac{m_0}{2} + \mathbf{Z}$ such that $|s_0| \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Then

$$m_{\chi}^{+}(\pi) + m_{\chi}^{-}(\pi) = 2n + 2$$
.

To prove the theorem, we will need the composition series for $I_n(s_0, \chi)$ in each case where it is reducible. Using [KS97], we give here those series explicitly with indication of the action of the operators $M^*(s_0, \chi)$. In the diagram we have implicitly m' = 2n - m. Note that V_0^- does not exist,

but we define the space $R_n(V_0^-, \chi)$ as the zero-dimensional subspace in $R_n(V_0^+, \chi)$.

In each case an inclusion sign means that the quotient is non-zero and irreducible.

Proof. — Fix $m_0 \in \{0,1\}$ and a character χ of E^{\times} such that $\chi|_{F^{\times}} = \epsilon_{E/F}^{m_0}$. For $0 \leq m' \leq 2n$, we put m = 2n - m' and recall that $s_0 = \frac{m-n}{2}$.

The case $m_{\chi}^{+}(\pi) = 0$ is immediate because it implies $\pi = \mathbf{1}$ and Theorem 3.9 says that $m_{\chi}^{-}(\pi) = 2n + 2$.

If $s_0 \ge 0$ we have $I_n(s_0, \chi) = R_n(V_m^+, \chi) + R_n(V_m^-, \chi)$ and thus, thanks to the hypothesis of the theorem, at least one of

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}(R_n(V_m^{\pm},\chi),\pi\otimes(\chi\cdot\pi^{\vee}))$$

is non zero. Thanks to Proposition 2.8 this in turn means that

$$\min(m_{\chi}^+(\pi), m_{\chi}^-(\pi)) \leqslant n + 1$$

(the bound is n+1 and not n in case m and n have opposite parity). If $s_0 > \frac{n}{2}$ then $I_n(s_0, \chi)$ is irreducible and thus

$$R_n(V_m^{\pm},\chi) = I_n(s_0,\chi)$$
.

Since we have $m > 2n > \min(m_{\chi}^+(\pi), m_{\chi}^-(\pi))$, by the persistence principle (see Proposition 2.6, point (1.)) we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}(R_n(V_m^{\pm},\chi),\pi\otimes(\chi\cdot\pi^{\vee}))\neq 0$$

for one and thus both signs \pm . This means $\max(m_{\chi}^+(\pi), m_{\chi}^-(\pi)) \leq 2n + 2 - m_0$.

Let $\epsilon = \pm$ be such that $m_{\chi}^{\epsilon}(\pi) = \min(m_{\chi}^{+}(\pi), m_{\chi}^{-}(\pi))$. We let m' be $m_{\chi}^{\epsilon}(\pi)$ (and choose m and s_0 accordingly). As observed above, the case m' = 0 has already been proved. If m' = 1, then from Theorem 3.10 we have $m_{\chi}^{-\epsilon}(\pi) \geq 2n+1$ and thus, thanks to the preceding bound, $m_{\chi}^{-\epsilon}(\pi) = 2n+1$ (observe that if m' = 1 then $m_0 = 1$).

We now suppose $2 \leqslant m' \leqslant n+1$, i.e. $-\frac{1}{2} \leqslant s_0 \leqslant \frac{n}{2}-1$. By Theorem 3.10 we thus have $m_{\chi}^{-\epsilon}(\pi) \geqslant 2n+2-m' \geqslant n+1$. Since m' is the minimum of $m_{\chi}^{\pm}(\pi)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{G \times G}(R_n(V_{m'-2}^+, \chi) \oplus R_n(V_{m'-2}^-, \chi), \pi \otimes (\chi \cdot \pi^{\vee})) = 0$$
 (3.9)

(here $R_n(V_0^-,\chi)=0$ as defined above). This means that any element of $\operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}(I_n(-s_0-1,\chi),\pi\otimes(\chi\cdot\pi^\vee))$ factors through

$$I_n(-s_0-1,\chi)/R_n(V_m^+,\chi) \oplus R_n(V_m^-,\chi) \simeq \text{Im}M^*(-s_0-1,\chi)$$

and thus

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{G \times G}(\operatorname{Im} M^*(-s_0 - 1, \chi), \pi \otimes (\chi \cdot \pi^{\vee})) = 1.$$

On the other hand, let

$$\mu \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G \times G}(I_n(s_0 + 1, \chi), \pi \otimes (\chi \cdot \pi^{\vee}))$$

with $\mu \neq 0$. Suppose

$$\mu\big|_{R_n(V_{m+2}^{-\epsilon})} = 0 \ .$$

Then, since $\mu \neq 0$ we have

$$\mu\big|_{R_n(V_{m+2}^\epsilon)} = 0 \ ,$$

and thus

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}(R_n(V_{m+2}^{-\epsilon})/R_n(V_{m+2}^{-\epsilon})\cap R_n(V_{m+2}^{\epsilon}), \pi\otimes (\chi\cdot \pi^{\vee}))\neq 0.$$

But $M^*(s_0+1)$ identifies

$$R_n(V_{m+2}^{-\epsilon})/R_n(V_{m+2}^{-\epsilon}) \cap R_n(V_{m+2}^{\epsilon})$$

with $R_n(V_{m'-2}^{-\epsilon})$. This means that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{G\times G}(R_n(V_{m'-2}^{-\epsilon}), \pi\otimes(\chi\cdot\pi^{\vee}))\neq 0$$
.

From (3.9), we know that this is impossible. Hence μ must be non-zero on $R_n(V_{m+2}^{-\epsilon})$ thus

$$m_{\chi}^{-\epsilon}(\pi) \leqslant m + 2 = 2n + 2 - m'$$
.

We thus have $m_{\chi}^{+}(\pi) + m_{\chi}^{-}(\pi) = 2n + 2$ as claimed. \square

APPENDIX

A. Completion of a proof

As announced in the introduction, we want to add a missing statement in the proof of [Har07, Theorem 3.4, p.128]. In the proof of the theorem, one should check that the spherical vector of the representation $I_n(s, \alpha^*)$ belongs to $R_n(V_m^+)$ for almost all places v. We prove it here in the following lemma.

LEMMA A.1. — We suppose E/F, V, W, m, n, G, H, \mathbb{W} , \mathbb{X} , \mathbb{Y} , χ and ψ are as above. We suppose in addition that E/F, χ and ψ are unramified. Then for any $s = \frac{m-n}{2}$ the spherical vector of $I_n(s,\chi)$ is in $R_n(V_m^+,\chi)$.

Proof. — The spherical vector of $I_n(s,\chi)$ is the unique element Φ° such that $\Phi^{\circ}(K) = \{1\}$. Thus one only needs to check that there is an element in $\Phi \in R_n(V_m^+,\chi)$ such that $\Phi(K) = \{1\}$. Remember that

$$R_n(V_m^+, \chi) = \{g \longmapsto \omega_{\chi}(g)\varphi(0) : \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X})\}\ .$$

We let V be any of the two spaces V_m^{\pm} . The action of G over the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X})$ can be summarised by (see [KS97, top of p.280]):

$$\omega_{\chi}(m(a))\varphi(x) = \chi(\det a)|\det a|_{E}^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi(x \cdot a)$$

$$\omega_{\chi}(n(b))\varphi(x) = \psi(\operatorname{tr}((x,x)b))\varphi(x)$$

$$\omega_{\chi}(\delta_{r})\varphi(x) = \gamma^{-r} \int_{V_{r}} \psi\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{E/F}\operatorname{tr}(x'',z)\right)\varphi(x'+z)\mathrm{d}z$$

with the following conventions for the last integral: V is decomposed as $V^{n-r} \oplus V^r$, x = x' + x'' according to this decomposition and the Haar measure dz is the r-power of the Haar measure of V which is self-dual for the Fourier transform defined by the pairing $\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{E/F}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and γ is a quotient of Weil indexes of quadratic forms.

If $k \in P \cap K$, we obviously have $\omega_{\chi}(k)\varphi(0) = \varphi(0)$. An element $f \in I_n(0,\chi)$ is spherical if and only if $\forall k \in K$, $f(k) = f(I_n) \neq 0$. Thus the spherical vector of $I_n(0,\chi)$ will be in $R_n(V,\chi)$ if and only if $\omega_{\chi}(\delta_r)\varphi(0) = \varphi(0)$ for all r (and $\varphi(0) \neq 0$).

We now suppose that $V = V^+$; remember that the uniformiser ϖ of F is an uniformiser for E. We choose an orthonormal basis (v_1, \ldots, v_n) of V.

We first compute the Haar measure of V. Let V_{\circ} be the \mathcal{O}_E -module generated by $(v_1, ..., v_n)$ in V and φ° its characteristic function. After identification of V^* with V thanks to $\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{E/F}(\cdot, \cdot)$, the Fourier transform of φ°

is

$$\widehat{\varphi^{\circ}}(y) = \int_{V} \psi \big(\operatorname{Tr}_{E/F}(x, y) \big) \varphi(x) dx .$$

We readily see that $\widehat{\varphi}^{\circ} = \mu(\mathcal{O}_{\circ})\varphi^{\circ}$ so that

$$\widehat{\widehat{\varphi}^{\circ}} = \mu(\mathcal{O}_{\circ})^2 \varphi^{\circ}$$

which means that the measure has to be normalised by $\mu(\mathcal{O}_{\circ}) = 1$.

We now compute γ in both cases for W: Hermitian or skew-Hermitian. Its precise definition, taken from [Kud94, Theorem 3.1, p.378, case 3_+], is as follows. Fix $\delta \in E^{\times}$ be such that $E = F(\delta)$ and $\Delta = \delta^2 \in F^{\times}$. Then

$$\gamma = (\det V, \Delta)_F \gamma_F (-\Delta, \eta)^m \gamma_F (-1, \eta)^{-m} .$$

Since E/F is unramified, Δ has valuation 0. Looking at [Rao93, Prop A.11, p.369] we readily see that $\gamma_F(-\Delta, \eta) = \gamma_F(-1, \eta) = 1$. One should note that the correct formula for $\gamma_F(a, \eta)$ in Proposition A.11 should be

$$\gamma_F(a,\eta) = \left(\frac{\bar{u}}{\overline{F}}\right)^{\alpha(\eta)} \cdot \left\{ \left(\frac{\bar{u}}{\overline{F}}\right) \gamma_{\overline{F}}(\bar{\eta}) \right\}^{\alpha(a)}$$

but that does not change anything for us because $\alpha(\eta) = 0$ anyway. Since $V = V^+$, we have $(\det V, \Delta)_F = 1$ and thus $\gamma = 1$. Observe that this remains true if W is skew-Hermitian (case 3_- of [Kud94]) because the definition of γ differs between the two cases by a scaling by δ for V and the product by $\chi(\delta)$; since δ has valuation 0 this does not change γ .

This allows us to slightly reformulate [Har07, Theorem 3.2, p.125], since one hypothesis is now proved.

Th. 3.2 (Harris).—Let G = GU(W), a unitary group with signature (r,s) at infinity, and let π be a cuspidal automorphic representation of G. We assume $\pi \otimes \chi$ occurs in anti-holomorphic cohomology $\bar{H}^{rs}(Sh(W), E_{\mu})$ where μ is the highest weight of a finite-dimensional representation of G. Let χ , α be algebraic Hecke characters of K^{\times} of type η_k and η_{κ}^{-1} , respectively. Let s_0 be an integer which is critical for the L-function $L^{mot,S}(s,\pi \otimes \chi,St,\alpha)$; i.e. s_0 satisfies the inequalities (3.3.8.1) of [Har97]:

$$(**) \qquad \frac{n-\kappa}{2} \leqslant s_0 \leqslant \min(q_{s+1}(\mu) + k - \kappa - \mathcal{Q}(\mu), p_s(\mu - k - \mathcal{P}(\mu)),$$

Define $m = 2s_0 - \kappa$. Let α^* denote the unitary character $\alpha/|\alpha|$ and assume

$$(3.2.1) \alpha^*|_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}} = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{K}}^m.$$

Suppose there is a positive-definite hermitian space V of dimension m and a finite set S of finite primes such that

- (a) For every finite v in S, π_v does not occur in the boundary at s_0 for α_v^* , and π_v is ambiguous for m and α^* ;
- (b) For every finite v, $\Theta_{\alpha^*}(\pi_v \otimes \chi_v, V_v) \neq 0$;
- (c) For every finite v outside S, all data $(\pi_v, \chi_v, \alpha_v, and$ the additive character ψ_v) are unramified.

Then

- (i) One can find a factorizable vector $\phi_f \in I_n(s, \alpha^*)_f$ such that for every finite $v, \phi_v \in R_n(V_v, \alpha^*)$ and ϕ_f takes values in $(2\pi i)^{(s_0+\kappa)n}L \cdot \mathbb{Q}^{ab}$ and two factorizable vectors $\varphi \in \pi \otimes \chi$, $\varphi' \in \alpha^* \cdot (\pi \otimes \chi)^{\vee}$ arithmetic over the field of definition $E(\pi)$ of π_f .
 - (ii) Suppose φ is as in (i). Then

$$L^{mot,S}(s_0, \pi \otimes \chi, St, \alpha) \sim_{E(\pi, \chi^{(2)} \cdot \alpha); \mathcal{K}} P(s_0, k, \kappa, \pi, \varphi, \chi, \alpha)$$

where $P(s_0, k, \kappa, \pi, \varphi, \chi, \alpha)$ is the period

$$(2\pi i)^{s_0 n - \frac{nw}{2} + k(r-s) + \kappa s} g(\varepsilon_K^{[\frac{n}{2}]}) \cdot \pi^c P^{(s)}(\pi, *, \varphi) g(\alpha_0)^s p((\chi^{(2)} \cdot \alpha)^{\vee}, 1)^{r-s}$$

appearing in Theorem 3.5.13 of [Har97].

Proof. — With respect to the original theorem we just removed the existence of factorizable vectors in $\pi \otimes \chi$ and $\alpha^* \cdot (\pi \otimes \chi)^\vee$, the existence of ϕ_f and, accordingly, condition (a). The fact that there are factorizable vectors in $\pi \otimes \chi$ and $\alpha^* \cdot (\pi \otimes \chi)^\vee$ is well known. We know that for any v such that no data ramifies (neither the extension nor the characters), then the spherical vector ϕ_v° is in $R_n(V_{m,v}^+)$. However for all but finitely many v, we have $V_v \simeq V_{m,v}^+$. Denote S' the set of primes that are either infinite or such that some data ramify or such that $V_v \not\simeq V_{m,v}^+$. Then for $v \not\in S'$, let $\phi_v = \phi_v^\circ$ the spherical vector. For any finite $v \in S'$, let ϕ_v be any element of $Soc_{n,m}(s)$. Then $\phi_f = \otimes \phi_v \in I_n(s, \alpha^*)_f$ satisfies condition (a) of [Har07, Theorem 3.2]. Thus the hypotheses of Harris' Theorem are verified.

Bibliography

- [Har97] HARRIS (M.). L-functions and periods of polarized regular motives, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 483, p. 75-161 (1997).
- [Har07] —, Cohomological automorphic forms on unitary groups. II. Period relations and values of L-functions, Harmonic analysis, group representations, automorphic forms and invariant theory, Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap., vol. 12, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, p. 89-149 (2007). MR MR2401812
- [HKS96] HARRIS (M.), KUDLA (S. S.), and SWEET (W. J.). Theta dichotomy for unitary groups, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9, no. 4, p. 941-1004 (1996). MR MR1327161 (96m:11041)
- [How] Howe (R.). L^2 duality for stable reductive dual pairs, preprint.
- [KR05] KUDLA (S. S.) and RALLIS (S.). On first occurrence in the local theta correspondence, Automorphic representations, L-functions and applications: progress and prospects, Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 11, de Gruyter, Berlin, p. 273-308 (2005). MR MR2192827 (2007d:22028)
- [KS97] Kudla (S. S.) and Sweet Jr. (W. J.). Degenerate principal series representations for U(n;n), Israel J. Math. 98, p. 253-306 (1997). MR MR1459856 (98h:22021)
- [Kud86] Kudla (S. S.). On the local theta-correspondence, Invent. Math. 83, no. 2, p. 229-255 (1986). MR MR818351 (87e:22037)
- [Kud94] —, Splitting metaplectic covers of dual reductive pairs, Israel Journal of Mathematics 87, p. 361-401 (1994).
- [Kud96] —, Notes on the local theta correspondence, Available on Kudla's home page, http://www.math.utoronto.ca/ssk/castle.pdf, (1996).
- [Li92] Li (J.S.). Nonvanishing theorems for the cohomology of certain arithmetic quotients, J. Reine Angew. Math. 428, p. 177-217 (1992). MR MR1166512 (93e:11067)
- [LR05] LAPID (E. M.) and RALLIS (S.). On the local factors of representations of classical groups, Automorphic representations, L-functions and applications: progress and prospects, Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 11, de Gruyter, Berlin, p. 309-359 (2005). MR MR2192828 (2006j:11071)
- [MVW87] MOEGLIN (C.), VIGNERAS (M.-F.) and WALDSPURGER (J.-L.). Correspondance de Howe sur un corps p-adique, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1291, SpringerVerlag, Berlin, (1987).
- [Ral84] Rallis (S.). On the Howe duality conjecture, Compositio Math. 51, no. 3, p. 333-399 (1984). MR MR743016 (85g:22034)
- [Rao93] RANGA RAO (R.). On some explicit formulas in the theory of the Weil representation, Pacific J. Math. 157 (1993), p. 335-371.
- [Wal90] WALDSPURGER (J.-L.). Démonstration d'une conjecture de dualité de Howe dans le cas p-adique, p ≠ 2, Festscrift in honor of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday (Stephen Gelbart, Roger Howe, and P. Sarnak, eds.), Israel Mathematical Conference Proceedings, vol. 2, The Weizmann science press of Israel, 1990, p. 267-324. MR MR1159105 (93h:22035)