

# ANNALES DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TOULOUSE Mathématiques

TEODOR BANICA

*Liberation theory for noncommutative homogeneous spaces*

Tome XXVI, n° 1 (2017), p. 127-156.

[http://afst.cedram.org/item?id=AFST\\_2017\\_6\\_26\\_1\\_127\\_0](http://afst.cedram.org/item?id=AFST_2017_6_26_1_127_0)

© Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, 2017, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse Mathématiques » (<http://afst.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://afst.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du  
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques  
<http://www.cedram.org/>

# Liberation theory for noncommutative homogeneous spaces <sup>(\*)</sup>

TEODOR BANICA <sup>(1)</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** — We discuss the liberation question, in the homogeneous space setting. Our first series of results concerns the axiomatization and classification of the families of compact quantum groups  $G = (G_N)$  which are “uniform”, in a suitable sense. We study then the quotient spaces of type  $X = (G_M \times G_N)/(G_L \times G_{M-L} \times G_{N-L})$ , and the liberation operation for them, with a number of algebraic and probabilistic results.

**RÉSUMÉ.** — On étudie le problème de liberation, dans le cadre des espaces homogènes. Notre première série de résultats concerne l’axiomatisation et la classification des familles de groupes quantiques compacts  $G = (G_N)$  qui sont « uniformes », dans un sens convenable. On étudie ensuite les espaces quotient du type  $X = (G_M \times G_N)/(G_L \times G_{M-L} \times G_{N-L})$ , et l’opération de liberation pour ces espaces, avec des résultats de nature algébrique et probabiliste.

## Introduction

The notion of noncommutative space goes back to an old theorem of Gelfand, stating that any commutative  $C^*$ -algebra must be of the form  $C(X)$ , for a certain compact space  $X$ . In view of this result, one can define the category of “noncommutative compact spaces” to be the category of  $C^*$ -algebras, with the arrows reversed. The category of usual compact spaces embeds then covariantly into this category, via  $X \rightarrow C(X)$ .

Once again by using the Gelfand theorem, each noncommutative space  $X$  can be thought of as appearing as “liberation” of its classical version

<sup>(\*)</sup> Reçu le 14 décembre 2015, accepté le 7 mars 2016.

*Keywords:* Liberation theory, Homogeneous space.

*Math. classification:* 46L65, 46L54.

<sup>(1)</sup> Département des Mathématiques, Cergy-Pontoise University, 95000 Cergy-Pontoise, France — teodor.banica@u-cergy.fr

Article proposé par Mireille Capitaine.

$X_{class}$ , which is obtained by dividing the corresponding algebra  $C(X)$  by its commutator ideal.

We will be interested here in the liberation operation, in the algebraic manifold context. Given a family of noncommutative polynomials  $P_i \in \mathbb{C}\langle z_1, \dots, z_N \rangle$ , the associated noncommutative manifold  $X$ , and its classical version  $X_{class}$ , are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \text{Spec} \left( C^* \left( z_1, \dots, z_N \mid P_i(z_1, \dots, z_N) = 0 \right) \right) \\ &\cup \qquad \qquad \qquad \cup \\ X_{class} &= \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N \mid P_i(z_1, \dots, z_N) = 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Here the family of polynomials  $\{P_i\}$  is assumed to be such that the biggest  $C^*$ -norm on the universal  $*$ -algebra  $\langle z_1, \dots, z_N \mid P_i(z_1, \dots, z_N) = 0 \rangle$  is bounded.

The liberation operation  $X_{class} \rightarrow X$  can be axiomatized in the quantum group context, the idea being that the category of pairings, which encodes in an abstract way the commutation relations  $ab = ba$ , must be replaced by a new category of partitions. The theory here, based on Woronowicz's fundamental work in [35], [36], on Wang's free quantum groups [31], [32], on the Weingarten formula [4], [5], [12], [34], and on the liberation philosophy in free probability theory [9], [21], [27], [30], was developed in [8].

In the homogeneous space case, where the general study goes back to [10], [22], [23], some related theory, concerning spaces of type  $X = G_N/G_{N-M}$ , was developed in [6], and then in [7]. Such spaces were shown to have a number of interesting features, making them potential candidates for an algebraic manifold extension of [8], provided that the family of compact quantum groups  $G = (G_N)$  producing them satisfies:

- (1) The “easiness” condition in [8], stating that we must have  $S_N \subset G_N$ , for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , with these inclusions being of a certain special type.
- (2) The “uniformity” condition, stating that we must have  $G_N \cap U_{N-M}^+ = G_{N-M}$ , with respect to the standard embedding  $U_{N-M}^+ \subset U_N^+$ .

We will review here this work, by using some new ideas, from [1], [2], [3]. On one hand, we will replace the easiness assumption by a condition of type  $H_N \subset G_N$ , which will allow us to use a twisting parameter  $q = \pm 1$ . On the other hand, we will study more general quotient spaces, depending on

parameters  $L \leq M \leq N$ , as follows:

$$X = (G_M \times G_N)/(G_L \times G_{M-L} \times G_{N-L}).$$

Our main result will be a verification of the Bercovici–Pata liberation criterion, for certain variables associated  $\chi \in C(X)$ , in a suitable  $L, M, N \rightarrow \infty$  limit.

There are many questions raised by the present work. Here are some of them:

- (1) A first question concerns the full classification of the quantum groups satisfying the above conditions. For some recent advances here, see [15], [25], [28], [29].
- (2) A second question concerns the validity in our setting of the quantum isometry group formula  $G^+(X^+) = G(X)^+$ , in relation with the rigidity results in [11], [16].
- (3) Yet another question regards the possible applications of the present formalism to free probability invariance questions, in the spirit of [13], [18].
- (4) Finally, there are as well several interesting questions in relation with the axiomatization problem for the noncommutative algebraic manifolds [17].

Finally, regarding the general presentation of the paper:

- (1) We use Woronowicz’s quantum group formalism in [35], [36], with the extra axiom  $S^2 = id$ . A reference here is the book [20]. Regarding the noncommutative homogeneous spaces, whose axiomatization is known to run into several difficulties [7], [10], [14], [22], [23], [26], we use here a simplified formalism, best adapted to our examples, that we intend to fully clarify in a forthcoming paper.
- (2) The present work is a technical continuation of [1], [2], [3], [6], [7], with the aim of basically enlarging a list of examples. As already mentioned, the general theory is not available yet. We have therefore opted for an “example-first” presentation. This is actually in tune with the easy quantum group literature, where most of the basic examples were constructed and studied long before [8].

The paper is organized as follows: in Sections 1–2 we discuss the orthogonal and unitary cases, in Sections 3–4 we introduce all the quantum groups that we are interested in, and we study the associated homogeneous spaces, and in Sections 5–6 we discuss probabilistic aspects.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript, and for a number of useful suggestions. This work was partly supported by the “Harmonia” NCN grant 2012/06/M/ST1/00169.

## 1. Partial isometries

In this section and in the next one we discuss the construction of the homogeneous spaces that we are interested in, in the case where the underlying groups or quantum groups are  $O_N, U_N$ , or their twists  $\tilde{O}_N, \tilde{U}_N$ , or their free versions  $O_N^+, U_N^+$ .

We begin with the classical case. Best is to start as follows:

DEFINITION 1.1. — *Associated to any integers  $L \leq M \leq N$  are the spaces*

$$O_{MN}^L = \left\{ T : E \rightarrow F \text{ isometry} \mid E \subset \mathbb{R}^N, F \subset \mathbb{R}^M, \dim_{\mathbb{R}} E = L \right\},$$

$$U_{MN}^L = \left\{ T : E \rightarrow F \text{ isometry} \mid E \subset \mathbb{C}^N, F \subset \mathbb{C}^M, \dim_{\mathbb{C}} E = L \right\},$$

where the notion of isometry is with respect to the usual real/complex scalar products.

As a first observation, at  $L = M = N$  we obtain the groups  $O_N, U_N$ . More generally, at  $M = N$  we obtain the various components of the semigroups  $\tilde{O}_N, \tilde{U}_N$  of partial isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{C}^N$ , studied in [2], which are by definition given by:

$$\tilde{O}_N = \bigcup_{L=0}^N O_{NN}^L, \quad \tilde{U}_N = \bigcup_{L=0}^N U_{NN}^L.$$

Yet another interesting specialization is  $L = M = 1$ . Here the elements of  $O_{1N}^1$  are the isometries  $T : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , with  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  one-dimensional, and such an isometry is uniquely determined by the element  $T^{-1}(1) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , which must belong to the sphere  $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{N-1}$ . Thus, we have  $O_{1N}^1 = S_{\mathbb{R}}^{N-1}$ . Similarly, in the complex case we have  $U_{1N}^1 = S_{\mathbb{C}}^{N-1}$ .

In general, the most convenient is to view the elements of  $O_{MN}^L, U_{MN}^L$  as rectangular matrices, and to use matrix calculus for their study:

PROPOSITION 1.2. — *We have identifications of compact spaces*

$$O_{MN}^L \simeq \left\{ U \in M_{M \times N}(\mathbb{R}) \mid UU^t = \text{projection of trace } L \right\},$$

$$U_{MN}^L \simeq \left\{ U \in M_{M \times N}(\mathbb{C}) \mid UU^* = \text{projection of trace } L \right\},$$

with each partial isometry being identified with the corresponding rectangular matrix.

*Proof.* — We can indeed identify the partial isometries  $T : E \rightarrow F$  with their corresponding extensions  $U : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$ ,  $U : \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^M$ , obtained by setting  $U_{E^\perp} = 0$ , and then identify these latter linear maps  $U$  with the corresponding rectangular matrices.  $\square$

As an illustration, at  $L = M = N$  we recover in this way the usual matrix description of  $O_N, U_N$ . More generally, at  $M = N$  we recover the usual matrix description of  $\tilde{O}_N, \tilde{U}_N$ . See [2]. Finally, at  $L = M = 1$  we obtain the usual description of  $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{N-1}, S_{\mathbb{C}}^{N-1}$ .

Now back to the general case, observe that the isometries  $T : E \rightarrow F$ , or rather their extensions  $U : \mathbb{K}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^M$ , with  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ , obtained by setting  $U_{E^\perp} = 0$ , can be composed with the isometries of  $\mathbb{K}^M, \mathbb{K}^N$ , according to the following scheme:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathbb{K}^N & \xrightarrow{B^*} & \mathbb{K}^N & \cdots \xrightarrow{U} & \mathbb{K}^M & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathbb{K}^M \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 B(E) & \cdots \xrightarrow{} & E & \xrightarrow{T} & F & \cdots \xrightarrow{} & A(F)
 \end{array}$$

In other words, the groups  $O_M \times O_N, U_M \times U_N$  act respectively on  $O_{MN}^L, U_{MN}^L$ . With the identifications in Proposition 1.2 made, the statement here is:

PROPOSITION 1.3. — *We have action maps as follows, which are transitive,*

$$O_M \times O_N \curvearrowright O_{MN}^L : (A, B)U = AUB^t,$$

$$U_M \times U_N \curvearrowright U_{MN}^L : (A, B)U = AUB^*,$$

whose stabilizers are respectively  $O_L \times O_{M-L} \times O_{N-L}$  and  $U_L \times U_{M-L} \times U_{N-L}$ .

*Proof.* — We have indeed action maps as in the statement, which are transitive. Let us compute now the stabilizer  $G$  of the point  $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since the elements  $(A, B) \in G$  satisfy  $AU = UB$ , their components must be of the

form  $A = \begin{pmatrix} x & * \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $B = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ * & b \end{pmatrix}$ . Now since  $A, B$  are both unitaries, these matrices follow to be block-diagonal, and we obtain:

$$G = \left\{ (A, B) \mid A = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

We conclude that the stabilizer of  $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is parametrized by triples  $(x, a, b)$  belonging respectively to  $O_L \times O_{M-L} \times O_{N-L}$  and  $U_L \times U_{M-L} \times U_{N-L}$ , as claimed.  $\square$

Finally, let us work out the quotient space description of  $O_{MN}^L, U_{MN}^L$ :

**THEOREM 1.4.** — *We have isomorphisms of homogeneous spaces as follows,*

$$\begin{aligned} O_{MN}^L &= (O_M \times O_N)/(O_L \times O_{M-L} \times O_{N-L}), \\ U_{MN}^L &= (U_M \times U_N)/(U_L \times U_{M-L} \times U_{N-L}), \end{aligned}$$

with the quotient maps being given by  $(A, B) \rightarrow AUB^*$ , where  $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

*Proof.* — This is just a reformulation of Proposition 1.3 above, by taking into account the fact that the fixed point used in the proof there was  $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .  $\square$

Once again, the basic examples here come from the cases  $L = M = N$  and  $L = M = 1$ , where the quotient spaces at right are respectively  $O_N, U_N$  and  $O_N/O_{N-1}, U_N/U_{N-1}$ . In fact, in the general  $L = M$  case we obtain the following spaces, considered in [7]:

$$\begin{aligned} O_{MN}^M &= (O_M \times O_N)/(O_M \times O_{N-M}) = O_N/O_{N-M}, \\ U_{MN}^M &= (U_M \times U_N)/(U_M \times U_{N-M}) = U_N/U_{N-M}. \end{aligned}$$

For some further information on these spaces, we refer to [2], [7].

## 2. Liberations and twists

We discuss now some noncommutative versions of the above constructions. We use the quantum group formalism of Woronowicz [35], [36], with the extra axiom  $S^2 = id$ . In other words, we consider pairs  $(A, u)$  consisting of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , and a unitary matrix  $u \in M_N(A)$ , such that the following formulæ define morphisms of  $C^*$ -algebras:

$$\Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}, \quad \varepsilon(u_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}, \quad S(u_{ij}) = u_{ji}^*.$$

These morphisms are called comultiplication, counit and antipode. We write  $A = C(G)$ , and call  $G$  a compact matrix quantum group. For full details here, see [20].

We recall from [1], [5] that the compact groups  $O_N, U_N$  can be twisted, by replacing the commutation relations  $ab = ba, ab^* = b^*a$  between the standard coordinates  $u_{ij}(g) = g_{ij}$  with the following commutation/anticommutation relations:

$$ab^\times = \begin{cases} -b^\times a & \text{for } a \neq b \text{ on the same row or column of } u, \\ b^\times a & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here  $b^\times = b, b^*$ , and the precise statement is that these relations, when applied to a matrix  $u = (u_{ij})$  which is orthogonal ( $u = \bar{u}, u^t = u^{-1}$ , where  $\bar{u} = (u_{ij}^*)$ ), respectively biunitary ( $u^* = u^{-1}, u^t = \bar{u}^{-1}$ ) produce quantum groups  $\bar{O}_N, \bar{U}_N$ . See [1], [5].

We can liberate  $O_{MN}^L, U_{MN}^L$ , and then twist them, as follows:

DEFINITION 2.1. — *Associated to any integers  $L \leq M \leq N$  are the algebras*

$$C(O_{MN}^{L+}) = C^*\left((u_{ij})_{i=1,\dots,M,j=1,\dots,N} \mid u = \bar{u}, uu^t = \text{projection of trace } L\right),$$

$$C(U_{MN}^{L+}) = C^*\left((u_{ij})_{i=1,\dots,M,j=1,\dots,N} \mid uu^*, \bar{u}u^t = \text{projections of trace } L\right),$$

and their quotients  $C(\bar{O}_{MN}^L), C(\bar{U}_{MN}^L)$ , obtained by imposing the twisting relations.

Observe that the above universal algebras are well-defined, because the trace conditions, which read  $\sum_{ij} u_{ij}u_{ij}^* = \sum_{ij} u_{ij}^*u_{ij} = L$ , show that we have  $\|u_{ij}\| \leq \sqrt{L}$ .

We have inclusions between the various spaces constructed so far, as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U_{MN}^L & \longrightarrow & U_{MN}^{L+} & \longleftarrow \cdots & \bar{U}_{MN}^L \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \cdots \\ O_{MN}^L & \longrightarrow & O_{MN}^{L+} & \longleftarrow \cdots & \bar{O}_{MN}^L \end{array}$$

Indeed, the inclusions at right follow from definitions, and those at left come from Proposition 1.2, and from the fact that  $O_{MN}^L, U_{MN}^L$  are stable by conjugation.



At the level of basic examples now, we first have the following result:

PROPOSITION 2.2. — *At  $L = M = 1$  we obtain the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 S_{\mathbb{C}}^{N-1} & \longrightarrow & S_{\mathbb{C},+}^{N-1} & \longleftarrow \cdots & \bar{S}_{\mathbb{C}}^{N-1} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 S_{\mathbb{R}}^{N-1} & \longrightarrow & S_{\mathbb{R},+}^{N-1} & \longleftarrow \cdots & \bar{S}_{\mathbb{R}}^{N-1}
 \end{array}$$

*consisting of the liberations and twists of the spheres  $S_{\mathbb{R}}^{N-1}, S_{\mathbb{C}}^{N-1}$ .*

*Proof.* — We recall from [1] that the various spheres are constructed as follows, with the symbol  $\times$  standing for “commutative”, “twisted” and “free”, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
 C(S_{\mathbb{R},\times}^{N-1}) &= C_{\times}^* \left( z_1, \dots, z_N \mid z_i = z_i^*, \sum_i z_i^2 = 1 \right), \\
 C(S_{\mathbb{C},\times}^{N-1}) &= C_{\times}^* \left( z_1, \dots, z_N \mid \sum_i z_i z_i^* = \sum_i z_i^* z_i = 1 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now by comparing with the definition of  $O_{1N}^{1\times}, U_{1N}^{1\times}$ , this proves our claim.  $\square$

We have as well the following result, once again making the link with [1]:

PROPOSITION 2.3. — *At  $L = M = N$  we obtain the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 U_N & \longrightarrow & U_N^+ & \longleftarrow \cdots & \bar{U}_N \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 O_N & \longrightarrow & O_N^+ & \longleftarrow \cdots & \bar{O}_N
 \end{array}$$

*consisting of the liberations and twists of the groups  $O_N, U_N$ .*

*Proof.* — We recall from [1] that the various quantum groups are constructed as follows, with the symbol  $\times$  standing once again for “commutative”, “twisted” and “free”:

$$\begin{aligned}
 C(O_N^{\times}) &= C_{\times}^* \left( (u_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N} \mid u = \bar{u}, uu^t = u^t u = 1 \right), \\
 C(U_N^{\times}) &= C_{\times}^* \left( (u_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N} \mid uu^* = u^* u = 1, \bar{u}u^t = u^t \bar{u} = 1 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, according to Proposition 1.2 and to Definition 2.1 above, we have the following presentation results:

$$\begin{aligned} C(O_{NN}^{N \times}) &= C_{\times}^* \left( (u_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N} \mid u = \bar{u}, uu^t = \text{projection of trace } N \right), \\ C(U_{NN}^{N \times}) &= C_{\times}^* \left( (u_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N} \mid uu^*, \bar{u}u^t = \text{projections of trace } N \right). \end{aligned}$$

We use now the standard fact that if  $p = aa^*$  is a projection then  $q = a^*a$  is a projection too. Together with  $Tr(uu^*) = Tr(u^t\bar{u})$  and  $Tr(\bar{u}u^t) = Tr(u^*u)$ , this gives:

$$\begin{aligned} C(O_{NN}^{N \times}) &= C_{\times}^* \left( (u_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N} \mid u = \bar{u}, uu^t, u^t u = \text{projections of trace } N \right), \\ C(U_{NN}^{N \times}) &= C_{\times}^* \left( (u_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N} \mid uu^*, u^*u, \bar{u}u^t, u^t\bar{u} = \text{projections of trace } N \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that, in tensor product notation, and by using the normalized trace, the conditions at right are all of the form  $(tr \otimes id)p = 1$ , with  $p = uu^*, u^*u, \bar{u}u^t, u^t\bar{u}$ . We therefore obtain  $(tr \otimes \varphi)(1 - p) = 0$  for any faithful state  $\varphi$ , and it follows that the projections  $p = uu^*, u^*u, \bar{u}u^t, u^t\bar{u}$  must be all equal to the identity, as desired.  $\square$

Regarding now the homogeneous space structure of  $O_{MN}^{L \times}, U_{MN}^{L \times}$ , the situation here is more complicated in the twisted and free cases than in the classical case. See [1], [7].

The classical results have, however, some partial extensions. In order to formulate a result, we use the standard coaction formalism for the compact quantum groups, as in [7]. Also, given two noncommutative compact spaces  $X, Y$ , we define their product  $X \times Y$  via the formula  $C(X \times Y) = C(X) \otimes C(Y)$ , with the tensor product being the maximal one. Finally, we use the standard fact that when  $G, H$  are compact matrix quantum groups, then so is their product  $G \times H$ . For more on these topics, see [7], [20].

With these conventions, we have the following result:

PROPOSITION 2.4. — *The spaces  $U_{MN}^{L \times}$  have the following properties:*

- (1) *We have an action  $U_M^{\times} \times U_N^{\times} \curvearrowright U_{MN}^{L \times}$ , given by  $u_{ij} \rightarrow \sum_{kl} a_{ik} \otimes b_{jl}^* \otimes u_{kl} M$*
- (2) *We have a map  $U_M^{\times} \times U_N^{\times} \rightarrow U_{MN}^{L \times}$ , given by  $u_{ij} \rightarrow \sum_{l \leq L} a_{il} \otimes b_{jl}^*$ .*

*Similar results hold for the spaces  $O_{MN}^{L \times}$ , with all the  $*$  exponents removed.*

*Proof.* — In the classical case, the transpose of the action map  $U_M \times U_N \curvearrowright U_{MN}^L$  and of the quotient map  $U_M \times U_N \rightarrow U_{MN}^L$  are as follows, where  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &\rightarrow ((A, B, U) \rightarrow \varphi(AUB^*)), \\ \varphi &\rightarrow ((A, B) \rightarrow \varphi(AJB^*)).\end{aligned}$$

But with  $\varphi = u_{ij}$  we obtain precisely the formulæ in the statement. The proof in the orthogonal case is similar. Regarding now the free case, the proof goes as follows:

(1) Assuming  $uu^*u = u$ , with  $U_{ij} = \sum_{kl} a_{ik} \otimes b_{jl}^* \otimes u_{kl}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(UU^*U)_{ij} &= \sum_{pq} \sum_{klmnst} a_{ik} a_{qm}^* a_{qs} \otimes b_{pl}^* b_{pn} b_{jt}^* \otimes u_{kl} u_{mn}^* u_{st}, \\ &= \sum_{klmt} a_{ik} \otimes b_{jt}^* \otimes u_{kl} u_{ml}^* u_{mt} = \sum_{kt} a_{ik} \otimes b_{jt}^* \otimes u_{kt} = U_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

Also, assuming that we have  $\sum_{ij} u_{ij} u_{ij}^* = L$ , we obtain:

$$\sum_{ij} U_{ij} U_{ij}^* = \sum_{ij} \sum_{klst} a_{ik} a_{is}^* \otimes b_{jl}^* b_{jt} \otimes u_{kl} u_{st}^* = \sum_{kl} 1 \otimes 1 \otimes u_{kl} u_{kl}^* = L.$$

(2) Assuming  $uu^*u = u$ , with  $V_{ij} = \sum_{l \leq L} a_{il} \otimes b_{jl}^*$  we have:

$$(VV^*V)_{ij} = \sum_{pq} \sum_{x,y,z \leq L} a_{ix} a_{qy}^* a_{qz} \otimes b_{px}^* b_{py} b_{jz}^* = \sum_{x \leq L} a_{ix} \otimes b_{jx}^* = V_{ij}.$$

Also, assuming that we have  $\sum_{ij} u_{ij} u_{ij}^* = L$ , we obtain:

$$\sum_{ij} V_{ij} V_{ij}^* = \sum_{ij} \sum_{l,s \leq L} a_{il} a_{is}^* \otimes b_{jl}^* b_{js} = \sum_{l \leq L} 1 = L.$$

By removing all the  $*$  exponents, we obtain as well the orthogonal results.

In the twisted case the proof is similar. Let us first discuss the orthogonal case. The twisting relations can be written as follows:

$$u_{ij} u_{pq} = (-1)^{\delta_{ip} + \delta_{jq}} u_{pq} u_{ij}.$$

With this formula in hand, the verification of the extra relations goes as follows:

(1) With  $U_{ij} = \sum_{kl} a_{ik} \otimes b_{jl} \otimes u_{kl}$  we have, as desired:

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_{ij}U_{pq} &= \sum_{klmn} a_{ik}a_{pm} \otimes b_{jl}b_{qn} \otimes u_{kl}u_{mn} \\
 &= \sum_{klmn} (-1)^{\delta_{ip}+\delta_{km}} a_{pm}a_{ik} \otimes (-1)^{\delta_{jq}+\delta_{ln}} b_{qn}b_{jl} \otimes (-1)^{\delta_{km}+\delta_{ln}} u_{mn}u_{kl} \\
 &= \sum_{klmn} (-1)^{\delta_{ip}+\delta_{jq}} a_{pm}a_{ik} \otimes b_{qn}b_{jl} \otimes u_{mn}u_{kl} = (-1)^{\delta_{ip}+\delta_{jq}} U_{pq}U_{ij}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) With  $V_{ij} = \sum_{l \leq L} a_{il} \otimes b_{jl}$  we have as well, as desired:

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{ij}V_{pq} &= \sum_{l,m \leq L} a_{il}a_{pm} \otimes b_{jl}b_{qm} \\
 &= \sum_{l,m \leq L} (-1)^{\delta_{ip}+\delta_{lm}} a_{pm}a_{il} \otimes (-1)^{\delta_{jq}+\delta_{lm}} b_{qm}b_{jl} \\
 &= \sum_{l,m \leq L} (-1)^{\delta_{ip}+\delta_{jq}} a_{pm}a_{il} \otimes b_{qm}b_{jl} = (-1)^{\delta_{ip}+\delta_{jq}} V_{pq}V_{ij}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof in the unitary case is similar, by adding  $*$  exponents where needed.  $\square$

Let us examine now the relation between the above maps. In the classical case, given a quotient space  $X = G/H$ , the associated action and quotient maps are given by:

$$\begin{cases} a : G \times X \rightarrow X & : (g, g'H) \rightarrow gg'H \\ p : G \rightarrow X & : g \rightarrow gH \end{cases}$$

Thus we have  $a(g, p(g')) = p(gg')$ . In our context, a similar result holds:

**THEOREM 2.5.** — *With  $G = G_M \times G_N$  and  $X = G_{MN}^L$ , where  $G_N = O_N^\times, U_N^\times$ , we have*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G & \xrightarrow{m} & G \\ \text{id} \times p \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ G \times X & \xrightarrow{a} & X \end{array}$$

where  $a, p$  are the action map and the map constructed in Proposition 2.4.

*Proof.* — At the level of the associated algebras of functions, we must prove that the following diagram commutes, where  $\Phi, \pi$  are morphisms of

algebras induced by  $a, p$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C(X) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & C(G \times X) \\
 \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow id \otimes \pi \\
 C(G) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C(G \times G)
 \end{array}$$

When going right, and then down, the composition is as follows:

$$(id \otimes \pi)\Phi(u_{ij}) = (id \otimes \pi) \sum_{kl} a_{ik} \otimes b_{jl}^* \otimes u_{kl} = \sum_{kl} \sum_{s \leq L} a_{ik} \otimes b_{jl}^* \otimes a_{ks} \otimes b_{ls}^*.$$

On the other hand, when going down, and then right, the composition is as follows, where  $F_{23}$  is the flip between the second and the third components:

$$\Delta\pi(u_{ij}) = F_{23}(\Delta \otimes \Delta) \sum_{s \leq L} a_{is} \otimes b_{js}^* = F_{23} \left( \sum_{s \leq L} \sum_{kl} a_{ik} \otimes a_{ks} \otimes b_{jl}^* \otimes b_{ls}^* \right).$$

Thus the above diagram commutes indeed, and this gives the result.  $\square$

In general, going beyond Theorem 2.5 leads to some non-trivial questions. A first issue comes from the fact that the inclusions  $G_L \times G_{M-L} \times G_{N-L} \subset G_M \times G_N$  are not well-defined, in the free case. There are as well some analytic issues, coming from the fact that the maps in Proposition 2.4(2) are in general not surjective. See [1], [7].

### 3. Uniform quantum groups

We discuss in this section a generalization of the above constructions. For this purpose, we first need to axiomatize a suitable class of compact quantum groups, generalizing the classical groups  $O_N, U_N$ , their twists  $\bar{O}_N, \bar{U}_N$ , and their free versions  $O_N^+, U_N^+$ .

Let  $P(k, l)$  the set of partitions between an upper row of  $k$  points, and a lower row of  $l$  points, with each leg colored black or white, and with  $k, l$  standing for the corresponding ‘‘colored integers’’. We have the following notion, which appears as a straightforward generalization of the corresponding orthogonal notion from [8]:

DEFINITION 3.1. — *A category of partitions is a collection of sets  $D = \bigcup_{kl} D(k, l)$ , with  $D(k, l) \subset P(k, l)$ , which contains the identity, and is stable under:*

- (1) *The horizontal concatenation operation  $\otimes$ .*
- (2) *The vertical concatenation  $\circ$ , after deleting closed strings in the middle.*
- (3) *The upside-down turning operation  $*$  (with reversing of the colors).*

Here the vertical concatenation operation assumes of course that the colors match. Regarding the identity, the precise condition is that  $D(\circ, \circ)$  contains the “white” identity  $\circlearrowleft$ . By using (3) we see that  $D(\bullet, \bullet)$  contains the “black” identity  $\bullet$ , and then by using (1) we see that each  $D(k, k)$  contains its corresponding (colored) identity.

The basic example of such a category is  $P$  itself. Yet another basic example is the category  $NC$  of noncrossing partitions. There are of course many other examples. We refer to [8] for the uncolored case, and to [28], [29] for the general colored case.

As explained in [8], [19], [29], such categories produce quantum groups. In this paper, however, we will need a modification of this construction, in order to cover as well the twists. We use for this purpose a number of findings from our recent papers [1], [3].

As explained in [1], [3], in order to cover the twists of the quantum groups in [8] we must restrict attention to the categories  $D \subset P_{\text{even}}$ , where  $P_{\text{even}} \subset P$  is the category of partitions having all blocks of even size. Such partitions act on tensors, as follows:

DEFINITION 3.2. — *Associated to any  $\pi \in P_{\text{even}}(k, l)$  are the linear maps*

$$T_\pi(e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}) = \sum_{j: \ker \binom{i}{j} \leq \pi} e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_l},$$

$$\bar{T}_\pi(e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}) = \sum_{\tau \leq \pi} \varepsilon(\tau) \sum_{j: \ker \binom{i}{j} = \tau} e_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{j_l},$$

where  $\{e_i\}$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , and  $\varepsilon : P_{\text{even}} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is the signature map.

Here the kernel of a multi-index  $\binom{i}{j} = \binom{i_1 \dots i_k}{j_1 \dots j_l}$  is the partition obtained by joining the sets of equal indices. Thus, the condition  $\ker \binom{i}{j} \leq \pi$  simply tells us that the strings of  $\pi$  must join equal indices. As for the signature map  $\varepsilon : P_{\text{even}} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , this is a canonical extension of the usual signature map  $\varepsilon : S_\infty \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , constructed in [1].

Here are a few examples of such linear maps, taken from [1]:

$$T_{\cap}(1) = \bar{T}_{\cap}(1) = \sum_i e_i \otimes e_i, \quad T_{\cup}(e_i \otimes e_j) = \bar{T}_{\cup}(e_i \otimes e_j) = \delta_{ij},$$

$$T_{\chi}(e_i \otimes e_j) = e_j \otimes e_i, \quad \bar{T}_{\chi}(e_i \otimes e_j) = \begin{cases} e_j \otimes e_i & \text{for } i = j, \\ -e_j \otimes e_i & \text{for } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

In general,  $T_{\pi}, \bar{T}_{\pi}$  can be thought of as coming from a twisting parameter  $q = \pm 1$ . As explained in [1], for  $\pi \in NC_{\text{even}}$  we have  $\tau \leq \pi \implies \varepsilon(\tau) = 1$ , and so  $T_{\pi} = \bar{T}_{\pi}$ . In general, however, the maps  $T_{\pi}, \bar{T}_{\pi}$  are different. We refer to [1] for full details here.

We have the following “ $q$ -easiness” notion, inspired from [8]:

DEFINITION 3.3. — *A compact quantum group  $G \subset U_N^+$  is called quizzly when*

$$\text{Hom}(u^{\otimes k}, u^{\otimes l}) = \text{span}(\dot{T}_{\pi} \mid \pi \in D(k, l)),$$

for any colored integers  $k, l$ , for a certain category of partitions  $D \subset P_{\text{even}}$ .

Here the dot stands for a fixed value of  $q = \pm 1$ , with the maps  $T_{\pi}$  being used at  $q = 1$ , and with the maps  $\bar{T}_{\pi}$  being used at  $q = -1$ . Also, the “colored” tensor powers  $u^{\otimes k}, u^{\otimes l}$  are defined by tensoring the corepresentations  $u^{\circ} = u$  and  $u^{\bullet} = \bar{u}$ .

At the level of basic examples, we have the following result:

PROPOSITION 3.4. — *The following are quizzly quantum groups,*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U_N & \longrightarrow & U_N^+ & \longleftarrow \cdots & \bar{U}_N \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ O_N & \longrightarrow & O_N^+ & \longleftarrow \cdots & \bar{O}_N \end{array}$$

with  $q \in \{-1, 1\}$  being by definition 1 at left,  $-1$  at right, and  $\pm 1$  in the middle.

*Proof.* — As explained in [1], [8], the above quantum groups appear indeed from the following categories of partitions, with  $q \in \{-1, 1\}$  being as

in the statement:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{P}_2 & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{NC}_2 & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_2 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 P_2 & \longleftarrow & NC_2 & \cdots & \longrightarrow & P_2
 \end{array}$$

Here  $P_2$  is the set of all pairings,  $\mathcal{P}_2 \subset P_2$  is the set of “matching” pairings, whose upper and lower strings connect  $\circ\bullet$ , and whose through strings connect  $\circ\circ$  or  $\bullet\bullet$ , and  $NC_2, \mathcal{NC}_2$  are the corresponding subsets of noncrossing pairings. See [1], [8].  $\square$

Consider now the group  $H_N^s = \mathbb{Z}_s \wr S_N$ , with  $s \in \{2, 4, \dots, \infty\}$ , which consists of the permutation matrices  $\sigma \in S_N$  with nonzero entries multiplied by elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_s$ . This group has a free analogue,  $H_N^{s+} = \mathbb{Z}_s \wr_* S_N^+$ , constructed in [4], as follows:

$$C(H_N^{s+}) = C^* \left( (u_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,N} \mid u_{ij}u_{ij}^* = u_{ij}^*u_{ij} = p_{ij} = \text{magic}, u_{ij}^s = p_{ij} \right).$$

Here the “magic” condition states that the entries of  $p = (p_{ij})$  are projections, summing up to 1 on each row and column, and the last condition,  $u_{ij}^s = p_{ij}$ , disappears by definition at  $s = \infty$ . Observe that the classical version of  $H_N^{s+}$  is indeed  $H_N^s$ . See [4].

The  $s = 2, \infty$  specializations of  $H_N^s, H_N^{s+}$ , denoted respectively  $H_N, H_N^+$  and  $K_N, K_N^+$ , are the quantum groups in [5], and their complex analogues. We have:

PROPOSITION 3.5. — *The following are quizzly quantum groups, at both  $q = \pm 1$ ,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_N & \longrightarrow & K_N^+ \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 H_N^s & \longrightarrow & H_N^{s+} \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 H_N & \longrightarrow & H_N^+
 \end{array}$$

where  $H_N^s = \mathbb{Z}_s \wr S_N$ , and where  $H_N^{s+} = \mathbb{Z}_s \wr_* S_N^+$ , with  $s \in \{2, 4, \dots, \infty\}$ .



*Proof.* — As explained in [3], [4], the above quantum groups appear indeed, with parameter  $q = \pm 1$  as in the statement, from the following categories of partitions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{P}_{\text{even}} & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{NC}_{\text{even}} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 P_{\text{even}}^s & \longleftarrow & NC_{\text{even}}^s \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 P_{\text{even}} & \longleftarrow & NC_{\text{even}}
 \end{array}$$

Here  $P_{\text{even}}^s \subset P_{\text{even}}$  is the set of partitions having the property that, in each block, the number of white legs equals the number of black legs, modulo  $s$ , with all legs counted with coefficient  $+$  up, and  $-$  down. At right we have the subset  $NC_{\text{even}}^s = P_{\text{even}}^s \cap NC$ , and the lower and upper objects are the corresponding specializations at  $s = 2, \infty$ .  $\square$

We recall that the free complexification  $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{u})$  of a compact matrix quantum group  $(G, u)$  is obtained by considering the subalgebra  $C(\tilde{G}) \subset C(\mathbb{T}) * C(G)$  generated by the entries of  $\tilde{u} = zu$ , where  $z$  is the standard generator of  $C(\mathbb{T})$ . See [24].

With this convention, we have the following extra example, from [29]:

PROPOSITION 3.6. — *The quantum group  $K_N^{++} = \tilde{K}_N^+$  appears as an intermediate object*

$$K_N^+ \subset K_N^{++} \subset U_N^+,$$

*with both inclusions being proper, and is quizzy, equal to its own twist.*

*Proof.* — By composing the canonical inclusion  $C(K_N^{++}) \subset C(\mathbb{T}) * C(K_N^+)$  with  $\varepsilon * id$  we obtain a morphism  $C(K_N^{++}) \rightarrow C(K_N^+)$  mapping  $\tilde{u}_{ij} \rightarrow u_{ij}$ , so we have inclusions as in the statement. Since the elements  $p_{ij} = \tilde{u}_{ij}^* \tilde{u}_{ij} = zu_{ij} u_{ij}^* z^*$  and  $q_{ij} = \tilde{u}_{ij}^* \tilde{u}_{ij} = u_{ij}^* u_{ij}$  are projections, and are not equal, both these inclusions are proper.

Regarding the easiness claim, this follows from the general theory of the representations of free complexifications [24]. To be more precise, as explained in [29], the associated category  $\mathcal{NC}_{\text{even}}^-$  is that of the even noncrossing partitions which, when rotated on one line, have alternating colors in each block. Observe that the inclusions in the statement correspond then to the inclusions at the partition level, which are as follows:

$$\mathcal{NC}_{\text{even}} \supset \mathcal{NC}_{\text{even}}^- \supset \mathcal{NC}_2,$$

Finally, since  $\mathcal{NC}_{even}^- \subset \mathcal{NC}_{even}$ , the quantum group  $K_N^{++}$  equals its own twist.  $\square$

The above examples are in fact the only ones that we are interested in, in the classical/twisted and free cases. In order to axiomatize these objects, we use:

PROPOSITION 3.7. — *For a quizzly quantum group  $H_N \subset G_N \subset U_N^+$ , coming from a category of partitions  $\mathcal{NC}_2 \subset D \subset P_{even}$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $D$  is stable by removing blocks.
- (2)  $G_N \cap U_{N-M}^+ = G_{N-M}$ , for any  $M \leq N$ .

If these conditions are satisfied, we call both  $G = (G_N)$  and  $D$  “uniform”.

*Proof.* — This was proved in [7] in the orthogonal case, and the proof in general is similar. Assume that we have a subgroup  $K \subset U_{N-M}^+$ , with fundamental representation  $v$ , and consider the  $N \times N$  matrix  $\tilde{v} = \text{diag}(v, 1_M)$ . Then, for any  $\pi \in P_{even}$ , we have:

$$T_\pi \in \text{Hom}(\tilde{v}^{\otimes k}, \tilde{v}^{\otimes l}) \iff T_{\pi'} \in \text{Hom}(v^{\otimes k'}, v^{\otimes l'}), \forall \pi' \subset \pi.$$


With this formula in hand, we deduce that given a subgroup  $G \subset U_N^+$ , with fundamental representation denoted  $u$ , the algebra of functions on  $K = G \cap U_{N-M}^+$  is given by:

$$C(K) = C(U_{N-M}^+) / \langle T \in \text{Hom}(\tilde{v}^{\otimes k}, \tilde{v}^{\otimes l}), \forall T \in \text{Hom}(u^{\otimes k}, u^{\otimes l}) \rangle.$$

Thus, we have  $G_N \cap U_{N-M}^+ = G'_{N-M}$ , where  $G' = (G'_N)$  is the easy quantum group associated to the category  $D'$  generated by all the subpartitions of the partitions in  $D$ . In particular  $G_N \cap U_{N-M}^+ = G_{N-M}$  for any  $M \leq N$  is equivalent to  $D = D'$ , as claimed.  $\square$

Observe that the quantum groups in Propositions 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6 are all uniform. We have in fact the following result, where by “classical/twisted” and “free” we mean  $\lambda \in D$  and  $D \subset \mathcal{NC}_{even}$ , where  $D \subset P_{even}$  is the associated category of partitions:

THEOREM 3.8. — *The uniform classical/twisted and free quantum groups are*




where  $H_N^s = \mathbb{Z}_s \wr S_N$ ,  $H_N^{s+} = \mathbb{Z}_s \wr_* S_N^+$ , with  $s \in \{2, 4, \dots, \infty\}$ , and  $K_N^{++} = \tilde{K}_N^+$ .

*Proof.* — This is a consequence of the recent classification results in [28], [29], the idea being as follows. First, the diagram in the statement being obtained by merging the examples in Propositions 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6, all the above quantum groups are quizzly.

The uniformity condition is clear as well, for each of the quantum groups under consideration. Finally, all these quantum groups are either classical/twisted or free.

In order to prove now the converse, in view of the twisting results in [2], it is enough to deal with the  $q = 1$  case. So, consider a uniform category of partitions  $D \subset P_{\text{even}}$ , as in Proposition 3.7. We must prove that in the classical/free cases, the solutions are:



To be more precise, in the classical case, where  $\chi \in D$ , we must prove that the only solutions are the categories  $P_2, \mathcal{P}_2, P_{\text{even}}^s$ , and that in the free case, where  $D \subset \mathcal{NC}_{\text{even}}$ , we must prove that the only solutions are the categories  $\mathcal{NC}_2, \mathcal{NC}_2^-, \mathcal{NC}_{\text{even}}, \mathcal{NC}_{\text{even}}^s$ .

We jointly investigate these two problems. Let  $B$  be the set of all possible labelled blocks in  $D$ , having no upper legs. Observe that  $B$  is stable under the switching of colors operation,  $\circ \leftrightarrow \bullet$ . We have two possible situations, as follows:

(1)  $B$  consists of pairings only. Here the pairings in question can be either all labelled pairings, namely  $\circ\text{---}\circ$ ,  $\circ\text{---}\bullet$ ,  $\bullet\text{---}\circ$ ,  $\bullet\text{---}\bullet$ , or just the matching ones, namely  $\circ\text{---}\bullet$ ,  $\bullet\text{---}\circ$ , and we obtain here  $P_2, \mathcal{P}_2$  in the classical case, and  $\mathcal{NC}_2, \mathcal{NC}_2$  in the free case.

(2)  $B$  has at least one block of size  $\geq 4$ . In this case we can let  $s \in \{2, 4, \dots, \infty\}$  to be the length of the smallest  $\circ \dots \circ$  block, and we obtain in this way the category  $P_{even}^s$  in the classical case, and the categories  $\mathcal{NC}_{even}^-, \mathcal{NC}_{even}^s$  in the free case. See [28].  $\square$

The above occurrence of  $K_N^{++}$  is quite an issue, and reminds the “forgotten series” from the orthogonal case [8], found later on, in [33]. Note that this forgotten series has disappeared in the present setting, due to the uniformity axiom. In the unitary case, however, it is quite unclear on how to add an extra axiom, as to avoid  $K_N^{++}$ .

In what follows we will rather ignore  $K_N^{++}$ , and focus instead on  $K_N^+$ , known since the Bercovici–Pata computations in [4] to be the “correct” liberation of  $K_N$ .

In general now, the full classification of the uniform quizzly quantum groups remains an open problem. For the ongoing program here, we refer to [15], [25], [28], [29].

#### 4. Homogeneous spaces

In this section we associate noncommutative homogeneous spaces, as in Sections 1 and 2 above, to the quantum groups considered in Section 3. We will be mostly interested in the quantum groups from Theorem 3.8, so let us first discuss, with full details, the case of the quantum groups  $H_N^s, H_N^{s+}$  appearing there. As in [2], we use:

DEFINITION 4.1. — *Associated to any partial permutation,  $\sigma : I \simeq J$  with  $I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$  and  $J \subset \{1, \dots, M\}$ , is the real/complex partial isometry*

$$T_\sigma : \text{span} \left( e_i \mid i \in I \right) \rightarrow \text{span} \left( e_j \mid j \in J \right),$$

*given on the standard basis elements by  $T_\sigma(e_i) = e_{\sigma(i)}$ .*

We denote by  $S_{MN}^L$  the set of partial permutations  $\sigma : I \simeq J$  as above, with range  $I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$  and target  $J \subset \{1, \dots, M\}$ , and with  $L = |I| = |J|$ . See [2].

In analogy with the decomposition result  $H_N^s = \mathbb{Z}_s \wr S_N$ , we have:

PROPOSITION 4.2. — *The space of partial permutations signed by elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_s$ ,*

$$H_{MN}^{sL} = \left\{ T(e_i) = w_i e_{\sigma(i)} \mid \sigma \in S_{MN}^L, w_i \in \mathbb{Z}_s \right\},$$

*is isomorphic to the quotient space  $(H_M^s \times H_N^s) / (H_L^s \times H_{M-L}^s \times H_{N-L}^s)$ .*

*Proof.* — This follows by adapting the computations in the proof of Proposition 1.3 above. Indeed, we have an action map as follows, which is transitive:

$$H_M^s \times H_N^s \curvearrowright H_{MN}^{sL} \quad : \quad (A, B)U = AUB^*,$$

The stabilizer of the point  $U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  follows to be the group  $H_L^s \times H_{M-L}^s \times H_{N-L}^s$ , embedded via  $(x, a, b) \rightarrow [\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}]$ , and this gives the result.  $\square$

In the free case now, the idea is similar, by using inspiration from the construction of the quantum group  $H_N^{s+} = \mathbb{Z}_s \wr_* S_N^+$  in [4]. The result here is as follows:

PROPOSITION 4.3. — *The noncommutative space  $H_{MN}^{sL+}$  associated to the algebra*

$$C(H_{MN}^{sL+}) = C(U_{MN}^{L+}) / \langle u_{ij} u_{ij}^* = u_{ij}^* u_{ij} = p_{ij} = \text{projections}, u_{ij}^s = p_{ij} \rangle$$

*has an action map, and is the target of a quotient map, as in Theorem 2.5 above.*

*Proof.* — We must show that if the variables  $u_{ij}$  satisfy the relations in the statement, then these relations are satisfied as well for the following variables:

$$U_{ij} = \sum_{kl} a_{ik} \otimes b_{jl}^* \otimes u_{kl}, \quad V_{ij} = \sum_{l \leq L} a_{il} \otimes b_{jl}^*.$$

Since the standard coordinates  $a_{ij}, b_{ij}$  on the quantum groups  $H_M^{s+}, H_N^{s+}$  satisfy the relations  $xy = xy^* = 0$ , for any  $x \neq y$  on the same row or column of  $a, b$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{ij} U_{ij}^* &= \sum_{klmn} a_{ik} a_{im}^* \otimes b_{jl}^* b_{jm} \otimes u_{kl} u_{mn}^* = \sum_{kl} a_{ik} a_{ik}^* \otimes b_{jl}^* b_{jl} \otimes u_{kl} u_{kl}^*, \\ V_{ij} V_{ij}^* &= \sum_{l, r \leq L} a_{il} a_{ir}^* \otimes b_{jl}^* b_{jr} = \sum_{l \leq L} a_{il} a_{il}^* \otimes b_{jl}^* b_{jl}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in terms of the projections  $x_{ij} = a_{ij}a_{ij}^*$ ,  $y_{ij} = b_{ij}b_{ij}^*$ ,  $p_{ij} = u_{ij}u_{ij}^*$ , we have:

$$U_{ij}U_{ij}^* = \sum_{kl} x_{ik} \otimes y_{jl} \otimes p_{kl}, \quad V_{ij}V_{ij}^* = \sum_{l \leq L} x_{il} \otimes y_{jl}.$$

By repeating the computation, we conclude that these elements are projections. Also, a similar computation shows that  $U_{ij}^*U_{ij}$ ,  $V_{ij}^*V_{ij}$  are given by the same formulæ.

Finally, once again by using the relations of type  $xy = xy^* = 0$ , we have:

$$U_{ij}^s = \sum_{k_r, l_r} a_{ik_1} \dots a_{ik_s} \otimes b_{j_l_1}^* \dots b_{j_l_s}^* \otimes u_{k_1 l_1} \dots u_{k_s l_s} = \sum_{kl} a_{ik}^s \otimes (b_{jl}^*)^s \otimes u_{kl}^s,$$

$$V_{ij}^s = \sum_{l_r \leq L} a_{i l_1} \dots a_{i l_s} \otimes b_{j_l_1}^* \dots b_{j_l_s}^* = \sum_{l \leq L} a_{il}^s \otimes (b_{jl}^*)^s.$$

Thus the conditions of type  $u_{ij}^s = p_{ij}$  are satisfied as well, and we are done.  $\square$

Let us discuss now the general case. We use the Kronecker symbols  $\delta_\pi(i) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  from [1], depending on a twisting parameter  $q = \pm 1$ , constructed as follows:

$$\delta_\sigma(i) = \begin{cases} \delta_{\ker i \leq \sigma} & (\text{untwisted case}) \\ \varepsilon(\ker i) \delta_{\ker i \leq \sigma} & (\text{twisted case}). \end{cases}$$

With this convention, we have the following result:

PROPOSITION 4.4. — *The various spaces  $G_{MN}^L$  constructed so far appear by imposing to the standard coordinates of  $U_{MN}^{L+}$  the relations*

$$\sum_{i_1 \dots i_s} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_s} \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\sigma(j) u_{i_1 j_1}^{e_1} \dots u_{i_s j_s}^{e_s} = L^{|\pi \vee \sigma|},$$

with  $s = (e_1, \dots, e_s)$  ranging over all the colored integers, and with  $\pi, \sigma \in D(0, s)$ .

*Proof.* — According to the various constructions in Section 1 and 2 and in the beginning of this section, the relations defining  $G_{MN}^L$  can be written as follows, with  $\sigma$  ranging over a family of generators, with no upper legs, of the corresponding category of partitions  $D$ :

$$\sum_{j_1 \dots j_s} \delta_\sigma(j) u_{i_1 j_1}^{e_1} \dots u_{i_s j_s}^{e_s} = \delta_\sigma(i).$$

We therefore obtain the relations in the statement, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i_1 \dots i_s} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_s} \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\sigma(j) u_{i_1 j_1}^{e_1} \dots u_{i_s j_s}^{e_s} &= \sum_{i_1 \dots i_s} \delta_\pi(i) \sum_{j_1 \dots j_s} \delta_\sigma(j) u_{i_1 j_1}^{e_1} \dots u_{i_s j_s}^{e_s} \\
 &= \sum_{i_1 \dots i_s} \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\sigma(i) = \sum_{\tau \leq \pi \vee \sigma} \sum_{\ker i = \tau} (\pm 1)^2 \\
 &= \sum_{\tau \leq \pi \vee \sigma} \sum_{\ker i = \tau} 1 = L^{|\pi \vee \sigma|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

As for the converse, this follows by using the relations in the statement, by keeping  $\pi$  fixed, and by making  $\sigma$  vary over all the partitions in the category.  $\square$

In the general case now, where  $G = (G_N)$  is an arbitrary uniform quizzzy quantum group, we can construct spaces  $G_{MN}^L$  by using the above relations, and we have:

**THEOREM 4.5.** — *The spaces  $G_{MN}^L \subset U_{MN}^{L+}$  constructed by imposing the relations*

$$\sum_{i_1 \dots i_s} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_s} \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\sigma(j) u_{i_1 j_1}^{e_1} \dots u_{i_s j_s}^{e_s} = L^{|\pi \vee \sigma|},$$

with  $\pi, \sigma$  ranging over all the partitions in the associated category, having no upper legs, are subject to an action map/quotient map diagram, as in Theorem 2.5.

*Proof.* — We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 2.4. We must prove that, if the variables  $u_{ij}$  satisfy the relations in the statement, then so do the following variables:

$$U_{ij} = \sum_{kl} a_{ik} \otimes b_{jl}^* \otimes u_{kl}, \quad V_{ij} = \sum_{l \leq L} a_{il} \otimes b_{jl}^*.$$

Regarding the variables  $U_{ij}$ , the computation here goes as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i_1 \dots i_s} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_s} \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\sigma(j) U_{i_1 j_1}^{e_1} \dots U_{i_s j_s}^{e_s} \\
 &= \sum_{i_1 \dots i_s} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_s} \sum_{k_1 \dots k_s} \sum_{l_1 \dots l_s} \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\sigma(j) a_{i_1 k_1}^{e_1} \dots a_{i_s k_s}^{e_s} \\
 &\quad \otimes (b_{j_s l_s}^{e_s} \dots b_{j_1 l_1}^{e_1})^* \otimes u_{k_1 l_1}^{e_1} \dots u_{k_s l_s}^{e_s} \\
 &= \sum_{k_1 \dots k_s} \sum_{l_1 \dots l_s} \delta_\pi(k) \delta_\sigma(l) u_{k_1 l_1}^{e_1} \dots u_{k_s l_s}^{e_s} = L^{|\pi \vee \sigma|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the variables  $V_{ij}$  the proof is similar, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i_1 \dots i_s} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_s} \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\sigma(j) V_{i_1 j_1}^{e_1} \dots V_{i_s j_s}^{e_s} \\ &= \sum_{i_1 \dots i_s} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_s} \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_s \leq L} \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\sigma(j) a_{i_1 l_1}^{e_1} \dots a_{i_s l_s}^{e_s} \otimes (b_{j_s l_s}^{e_s} \dots b_{j_1 l_1}^{e_1})^* \\ &= \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_s \leq L} \delta_\pi(l) \delta_\sigma(l) = L^{|\pi \vee \sigma|}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have constructed an action map, and a quotient map, as in Proposition 2.4 above, and the commutation of the diagram in Theorem 2.5 is then trivial.  $\square$

The above results generalize some of the constructions in [3]. As explained in [3], there are many interesting questions regarding such spaces, and their quantum isometry groups. In what follows we will advance on some related topics, of probabilistic nature.

## 5. Integration theory

In the remainder of this paper we discuss the integration over  $G_{MN}^L$ , with a number of explicit formulæ. Our main result will be the fact that the operations of type  $G_{MN}^L \rightarrow G_{MN}^{L+}$  are indeed “liberations”, in the sense of the Bercovici–Pata bijection [9].

The integration over  $G_{MN}^L$  is best introduced as follows:

DEFINITION 5.1. — *The integration functional of  $G_{MN}^L$  is the composition*

$$\text{tr} : C(G_{MN}^L) \rightarrow C(G_M \times G_N) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

*of the representation  $u_{ij} \rightarrow \sum_{l \leq L} a_{il} \otimes b_{jl}^*$  with the Haar functional of  $G_M \times G_N$ .*

Here we use the standard fact, proved by Woronowicz in [35], that any compact quantum group  $G$  has a Haar integration functional,  $\int_G : C(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , which is by definition the unique positive unital trace subject to the following invariance relations:

$$\left( \int_G \otimes \text{id} \right) \Delta \varphi = \left( \text{id} \otimes \int_G \right) \Delta \varphi = \int_G \varphi.$$

Observe that in the case  $L = M = N$  we obtain the integration over  $G_N$ . Also, at  $L = M = 1$  we obtain the integration over the sphere. More



generally, at any  $L = M$  we obtain the integration over the corresponding row algebra of  $G_M$ , discussed in [7].

In the general case now, we first have the following result:

PROPOSITION 5.2. — *The integration functional  $tr$  has the invariance property*

$$(id \otimes tr)\Phi(x) = tr(x)1,$$

with respect to the coaction map given by  $\Phi(u_{ij}) = \sum_{kl} a_{ik} \otimes b_{jl}^* \otimes u_{kl}$ .

*Proof.* — We restrict the attention to the orthogonal case, the proof in the unitary case being similar. We must check the following formula:

$$(id \otimes tr)\Phi(u_{i_1 j_1} \dots u_{i_s j_s}) = tr(u_{i_1 j_1} \dots u_{i_s j_s}).$$

Let us compute the left term. This is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= (id \otimes tr) \sum_{k_r l_r} a_{i_1 k_1} \dots a_{i_s k_s} \otimes b_{j_1 l_1}^* \dots b_{j_s l_s}^* \otimes u_{k_1 l_1} \dots u_{k_s l_s} \\ &= \sum_{k_r l_r} \sum_{m_r \leq L} a_{i_1 k_1} \dots a_{i_s k_s} \\ &\quad \otimes b_{j_1 l_1}^* \dots b_{j_s l_s}^* \int_{G_M} a_{k_1 m_1} \dots a_{k_s m_s} \int_{G_N} b_{l_1 m_1}^* \dots b_{l_s m_s}^* \\ &= \sum_{m_r \leq L} \sum_{k_r} a_{i_1 k_1} \dots a_{i_s k_s} \int_{G_M} a_{k_1 m_1} \dots a_{k_s m_s} \\ &\quad \otimes \sum_{l_r} b_{j_1 l_1}^* \dots b_{j_s l_s}^* \int_{G_N} b_{l_1 m_1}^* \dots b_{l_s m_s}^*. \end{aligned}$$

By using now the invariance property of the Haar functionals of  $G_M, G_N$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \sum_{m_r \leq L} \left( id \otimes \int_{G_M} \right) \Delta(a_{i_1 m_1} \dots a_{i_s m_s}) \otimes \left( id \otimes \int_{G_N} \right) \Delta(b_{j_1 m_1}^* \dots b_{j_s m_s}^*) \\ &= \sum_{m_r \leq L} \int_{G_M} a_{i_1 m_1} \dots a_{i_s m_s} \otimes \int_{G_N} b_{j_1 m_1}^* \dots b_{j_s m_s}^* \\ &= \left( \int_{G_M} \otimes \int_{G_N} \right) \sum_{m_r \leq L} a_{i_1 m_1} \dots a_{i_s m_s} \otimes b_{j_1 m_1}^* \dots b_{j_s m_s}^*. \end{aligned}$$

But this gives the formula in the statement, and we are done.  $\square$

We will prove now that  $tr$  is in fact the unique positive unital invariant trace on  $C(G_{MN}^L)$ . For this purpose, we will need the Weingarten formula. We recall from Section 4 above that the generalized Kronecker symbols are constructed as follows:

$$\delta_\sigma(i) = \begin{cases} \delta_{\ker i \leq \sigma} & \text{(untwisted case)} \\ \varepsilon(\ker i) \delta_{\ker i \leq \sigma} & \text{(twisted case).} \end{cases}$$

With this convention, the integration formula is as follows:

**THEOREM 5.3.** — *We have the Weingarten type formula*

$$\int_{G_{MN}^L} u_{i_1 j_1} \dots u_{i_s j_s} = \sum_{\pi \sigma \tau \nu} L^{|\sigma \vee \nu|} \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\tau(j) W_{sM}(\pi, \sigma) W_{sN}(\tau, \nu),$$

where  $W_{sM} = G_{sM}^{-1}$ , with  $G_{sM}(\pi, \sigma) = M^{|\pi \vee \sigma|}$ .

*Proof.* — We make use of the usual quantum group Weingarten formula, for which we refer to [1], [8]. By using this formula for  $G_M, G_N$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G_{MN}^L} u_{i_1 j_1} \dots u_{i_s j_s} \\ &= \sum_{l_1 \dots l_s \leq L} \int_{G_M} a_{i_1 l_1} \dots a_{i_s l_s} \int_{G_N} b_{j_1 l_1}^* \dots b_{j_s l_s}^* \\ &= \sum_{l_1 \dots l_s \leq L} \sum_{\pi \sigma} \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\sigma(l) W_{sM}(\pi, \sigma) \sum_{\tau \nu} \delta_\tau(j) \delta_\nu(l) W_{sN}(\tau, \nu) \\ &= \sum_{\pi \sigma \tau \nu} \left( \sum_{l_1 \dots l_s \leq L} \delta_\sigma(l) \delta_\nu(l) \right) \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\tau(j) W_{sM}(\pi, \sigma) W_{sN}(\tau, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute now the coefficient appearing in the last formula. Since the signature map takes  $\pm 1$  values, for any multi-index  $l = (l_1, \dots, l_s)$  we have:

$$\delta_\sigma(l) \delta_\nu(l) = \delta_{\ker l \leq \sigma} \varepsilon(\ker l) \cdot \delta_{\ker l \leq \nu} \varepsilon(\ker l) = \delta_{\ker l \leq \sigma \vee \nu}.$$

Thus the coefficient is  $L^{|\sigma \vee \nu|}$ , and we obtain the formula in the statement.  $\square$

We can now derive an abstract characterization of  $tr$ , as follows:

**PROPOSITION 5.4.** — *The integration functional  $tr$  constructed above is the unique positive unital  $C^*$ -algebra trace  $C(G_{MN}^L) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is invariant under the action of  $G_M \times G_N$ .*

*Proof.* — We use the method in [7], the point being to show that  $tr$  has the following ergodicity property:

$$\left( \int_{G_M} \otimes \int_{G_N} \otimes id \right) \Phi = tr(\cdot)1.$$

We restrict the attention to the orthogonal case, the proof in the unitary case being similar. We must verify that the following holds:

$$\left( \int_{G_M} \otimes \int_{G_N} \otimes id \right) \Phi(u_{i_1 j_1} \dots u_{i_k j_k}) = tr(u_{i_1 j_1} \dots u_{i_k j_k})1.$$

By using the Weingarten formula, the left term can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \sum_{k_1 \dots k_s} \sum_{l_1 \dots l_s} \int_{G_M} a_{i_1 k_1} \dots a_{i_s k_s} \int_{G_N} b_{j_1 l_1} \dots b_{j_s l_s} \cdot u_{k_1 l_1} \dots u_{k_s l_s} \\ &= \sum_{k_1 \dots k_s} \sum_{l_1 \dots l_s} \sum_{\pi \sigma} \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\sigma(k) W_{sM}(\pi, \sigma) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\tau \nu} \delta_\tau(j) \delta_\nu(l) W_{sN}(\tau, \nu) \cdot u_{k_1 l_1} \dots u_{k_s l_s} \\ &= \sum_{\pi \sigma \tau \nu} \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\tau(j) W_{sM}(\pi, \sigma) W_{sN}(\tau, \nu) \sum_{k_1 \dots k_s} \sum_{l_1 \dots l_s} \delta_\sigma(k) \delta_\nu(l) u_{k_1 l_1} \dots u_{k_s l_s}. \end{aligned}$$

By using now the formula in Theorem 4.5 above, we obtain:

$$X = \sum_{\pi \sigma \tau \nu} L^{|\sigma \vee \nu|} \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\tau(j) W_{sM}(\pi, \sigma) W_{sN}(\tau, \nu).$$

Now by comparing with the formula in Theorem 5.3, this proves our claim.

Assume now that  $\tau : C(G_{MN}^L) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the invariance condition. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \left( \int_{G_M} \otimes \int_{G_N} \otimes id \right) \Phi(x) &= \left( \int_{G_M} \otimes \int_{G_N} \otimes \tau \right) \Phi(x) \\ &= \left( \int_{G_M} \otimes \int_{G_N} \right) (id \otimes \tau) \Phi(x) \\ &= \left( \int_{G_M} \otimes \int_{G_N} \right) (\tau(x)1) = \tau(x). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, according to the formula established above, we have as well:

$$\tau \left( \int_{G_M} \otimes \int_{G_N} \otimes id \right) \Phi(x) = \tau(tr(x)1) = tr(x).$$

Thus we obtain  $\tau = tr$ , and this finishes the proof.  $\square$

## 6. Probabilistic aspects

We discuss now the precise computation of the laws of certain linear combinations of coordinates. A set of coordinates  $\{u_{ij}\}$  is called “non-overlapping” if each horizontal index  $i$  and each vertical index  $j$  appears at most once. With this convention, we have:

PROPOSITION 6.1. — *For a sum  $\chi_E = \sum_{(ij) \in E} u_{ij}$  of non-overlapping coordinates we have*

$$\int_{G_{MN}^L} \chi_E^s = \sum_{\pi\sigma\tau\nu} K^{|\pi\nu\tau|} L^{|\sigma\nu\nu|} W_{sM}(\pi, \sigma) W_{sN}(\tau, \nu),$$

where  $K = |E|$  is the cardinality of the indexing set.

*Proof.* — In terms of  $K = |E|$ , we can write  $E = \{(\alpha(i), \beta(i))\}$ , for certain embeddings  $\alpha : \{1, \dots, K\} \subset \{1, \dots, M\}$  and  $\beta : \{1, \dots, K\} \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ . In terms of these maps  $\alpha, \beta$ , the moment in the statement is given by:

$$M_s = \int_{G_{MN}^L} \left( \sum_{i \leq K} u_{\alpha(i)\beta(i)} \right)^s.$$

By using the Weingarten formula, we can write this quantity as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_s &= \int_{G_{MN}^L} \sum_{i_1 \dots i_s \leq K} u_{\alpha(i_1)\beta(i_1)} \dots u_{\alpha(i_s)\beta(i_s)} \\ &= \sum_{i_1 \dots i_s \leq K} \sum_{\pi\sigma\tau\nu} L^{|\sigma\nu\nu|} \delta_\pi(\alpha(i_1), \dots, \alpha(i_s)) \delta_\tau(\beta(i_1), \dots, \beta(i_s)) \\ &\hspace{15em} \times W_{sM}(\pi, \sigma) W_{sN}(\tau, \nu) \\ &= \sum_{\pi\sigma\tau\nu} \left( \sum_{i_1 \dots i_s \leq K} \delta_\pi(i) \delta_\tau(i) \right) L^{|\sigma\nu\nu|} W_{sM}(\pi, \sigma) W_{sN}(\tau, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

But, as explained in the proof of Theorem 5.3, the coefficient on the left in the last formula equals  $K^{|\pi\nu\tau|}$ . We therefore obtain the formula in the statement.  $\square$

We can further advance in the classical/twisted and free cases, where the Weingarten theory for the corresponding quantum groups is available from [1], [4], [8]. The result here, which justifies our various “liberation” claims, is as follows:

THEOREM 6.2. — *In the context of the liberation operations  $O_{MN}^L \rightarrow O_{MN}^{L+}$ ,  $U_{MN}^L \rightarrow U_{MN}^{L+}$ ,  $H_{MN}^{sL} \rightarrow H_{MN}^{sL+}$ , the laws of the sums of non-overlapping coordinates,*

$$\chi_E = \sum_{(ij) \in E} u_{ij},$$

*are in Bercovici–Pata bijection, in the  $|E| = \kappa N, L = \lambda N, M = \mu N, N \rightarrow \infty$  limit.*

*Proof.* — We use the general theory in [1], [4], [8]. According to Proposition 6.1 above, in terms of  $K = |E|$ , the moments of the variables in the statement are given by:

$$M_s = \sum_{\pi\sigma\tau\nu} K^{|\pi \vee \tau|} L^{|\sigma \vee \nu|} W_{sM}(\pi, \sigma) W_{sN}(\tau, \nu).$$

We use now two standard facts, namely the fact that in the  $N \rightarrow \infty$  limit the Weingarten matrix  $W_{sN}$  is concentrated on the diagonal, and the fact that we have  $|\pi \vee \sigma| \leq \frac{|\pi|+|\sigma|}{2}$ , with equality precisely when  $\pi = \sigma$ . See [8]. In the regime  $K = \kappa N, L = \lambda N, M = \mu N, N \rightarrow \infty$  from the statement, we therefore obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} M_s &\simeq \sum_{\pi\tau} K^{|\pi \vee \tau|} L^{|\pi \vee \tau|} M^{-|\pi|} N^{-|\tau|} \\ &\simeq \sum_{\pi} K^{|\pi|} L^{|\pi|} M^{-|\pi|} N^{-|\pi|} \\ &= \sum_{\pi} \left( \frac{\kappa\lambda}{\mu} \right)^{|\pi|}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to interpret this formula, we use general theory from [4], [21]:

(1) For  $G_N = O_N, \bar{O}_N/O_N^+$ , the above variables  $\chi_E$  follow to be asymptotically Gaussian/semicircular, of parameter  $\frac{\kappa\lambda}{\mu}$ , and hence in Bercovici–Pata bijection.

(2) For  $G_N = U_N, \bar{U}_N/U_N^+$  the situation is similar, with  $\chi_E$  being asymptotically complex Gaussian/circular, of parameter  $\frac{\kappa\lambda}{\mu}$ , and in Bercovici–Pata bijection.

(3) Finally, for  $G_N = H_N^s/H_N^{s+}$ , the variables  $\chi_E$  are asymptotically Bessel/free Bessel of parameter  $\frac{\kappa\lambda}{\mu}$ , and once again in Bercovici–Pata bijection.  $\square$

The convergence in the above result is of course in moments, and we do not know whether some stronger convergence results can be formulated. Nor do we know whether one can use linear combinations of coordinates which

are more general than the sums  $\chi_E$  that we consider. These are interesting questions, that we would like to raise here.

## Bibliography

- [1] T. BANICA, “Liberations and twists of real and complex spheres”, *J. Geom. Phys.* **96** (2015), p. 1-25.
- [2] ———, “The algebraic structure of quantum partial isometries”, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **19** (2016), no. 1, Article ID 1650003, 36 p.
- [3] ———, “A duality principle for noncommutative cubes and spheres”, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **10** (2016), no. 3, p. 1043-1081.
- [4] T. BANICA, S. T. BELINSCHI, M. CAPITAINE & B. COLLINS, “Free Bessel laws”, *Can. J. Math.* **63** (2011), no. 1, p. 3-37.
- [5] T. BANICA, J. BICHON & B. COLLINS, “The hyperoctahedral quantum group”, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **22** (2007), no. 4, p. 345-384.
- [6] T. BANICA & D. GOSWAMI, “Quantum isometries and noncommutative spheres”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **298** (2010), no. 2, p. 343-356.
- [7] T. BANICA, A. SKALSKI & P. SOLTAN, “Noncommutative homogeneous spaces: the matrix case”, *J. Geom. Phys.* **62** (2012), no. 6, p. 1451-1466.
- [8] T. BANICA & R. SPEICHER, “Liberation of orthogonal Lie groups”, *Adv. Math.* **222** (2009), no. 4, p. 1461-1501.
- [9] H. BERCOVICI & V. PATA, “Stable laws and domains of attraction in free probability theory”, *Ann. Math.* **149** (1999), no. 3, p. 1023-1060.
- [10] F. P. BOCA, “Ergodic actions of compact matrix pseudogroups on  $C^*$ -algebras”, in *Recent advances in operator algebras. Collection of talks given in the conference on operator algebras held in Orléans, France in July 1992*, Astérisque, vol. 232, Société Mathématique de France, 1995, p. 93-109.
- [11] A. CHIRVASITU, “Quantum rigidity of negatively curved manifolds”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.07984>, 2015.
- [12] B. COLLINS & P. ŚNIADY, “Integration with respect to the Haar measure on the unitary, orthogonal and symplectic group”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **264** (2006), no. 3, p. 773-795.
- [13] S. CURRAN & R. SPEICHER, “Quantum invariant families of matrices in free probability”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **261** (2011), no. 4, p. 897-933.
- [14] K. DE COMMER & M. YAMASHITA, “Tannaka–Krein duality for compact quantum homogeneous spaces. I. General theory”, *Theory Appl. Categ.* **28** (2013), p. 1099-1138, electronic only.
- [15] A. FRESLON, “On the partition approach to Schur-Weyl duality and free quantum groups”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1346v1>, 2014.
- [16] D. GOSWAMI & S. JOARDAR, “Rigidity of action of compact quantum groups on compact, connected manifolds”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1294v1>, 2013.
- [17] I. KLEP, V. VINNIKOV & J. VOLČIČ, “Null- and Positivstellensätze for rationally resolvable ideals”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1504.08004>, 2015.
- [18] C. KÖSTLER & R. SPEICHER, “A noncommutative de Finetti theorem: invariance under quantum permutations is equivalent to freeness with amalgamation”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **291** (2009), no. 2, p. 473-490.
- [19] S. MALACARNE, “Woronowicz’s Tannaka-Krein duality and free orthogonal quantum groups”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.04807>, 2016.

- [20] S. NESHVEYEV & L. TUSET, *Compact quantum groups and their representation categories*, Cours Spécialisés (Paris), vol. 20, Société Mathématique de France, 2013, iv+169 pages.
- [21] A. NICA & R. SPEICHER, *Lectures on the combinatorics of free probability*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 335, Cambridge University Press, 2006, xv+417 pages.
- [22] P. PODLEŚ, “Quantum spheres.”, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **14** (1987), p. 193-202.
- [23] ———, “Symmetries of quantum spaces. Subgroups and quotient spaces of quantum  $SU(2)$  and  $SO(3)$  groups”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995), no. 1, p. 1-20.
- [24] S. RAUM, “Isomorphisms and fusion rules of orthogonal free quantum groups and their complexifications”, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **140** (2012), no. 9, p. 3207-3218.
- [25] S. RAUM & M. WEBER, “The full classification of orthogonal easy quantum groups”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **341** (2016), no. 3, p. 751-779.
- [26] P. SOLTAN, “On actions of compact quantum groups.”, *Ill. J. Math.* **55** (2011), no. 3, p. 953-962.
- [27] R. SPEICHER, “Multiplicative functions on the lattice of non-crossing partitions and free convolution”, *Math. Ann.* **298** (1994), no. 4, p. 611-628.
- [28] P. TARRAGO & M. WEBER, “The classification of tensor categories of two-colored noncrossing partitions”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1509.00988>, 2015.
- [29] ———, “Unitary Easy Quantum Groups: the free case and the group case”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00195>, 2015.
- [30] D. V. VOICULESCU, K. J. DYKEMA & A. NICA, *Free random variables. A noncommutative probability approach to free products with applications to random matrices, operator algebras and harmonic analysis on free groups*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 1, American Mathematical Society, 1992, v+70 pages.
- [31] S. WANG, “Free products of compact quantum groups”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **167** (1995), no. 3, p. 671-692.
- [32] ———, “Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **195** (1998), no. 1, p. 195-211.
- [33] M. WEBER, “On the classification of easy quantum groups”, *Adv. Math.* **245** (2013), p. 500-533.
- [34] D. WEINGARTEN, “Asymptotic behavior of group integrals in the limit of infinite rank”, *J. Math. Phys.* **19** (1978), p. 999-1001.
- [35] S. L. WORONOWICZ, “Compact matrix pseudogroups.”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **111** (1987), p. 613-665.
- [36] ———, “Tannaka-Krein duality for compact matrix pseudogroups. Twisted  $SU(N)$  groups”, *Invent. Math.* **93** (1988), no. 1, p. 35-76.