

# Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse

MATHÉMATIQUES

STEVEN DALE CUTKOSKY A counterexample to strong local monomialization in a tower of two independent defect Artin–Schreier extensions

Tome XXXIII, nº 4 (2024), p. 915–935.

https://doi.org/10.5802/afst.1790

© les auteurs, 2024.

Les articles des *Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse* sont mis à disposition sous la license Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/





Publication membre du centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte http://www.centre-mersenne.org/ e-ISSN : 2258-7519

Volume XXXIII, nº 4, 2024 pp. 915-935

# A counterexample to strong local monomialization in a tower of two independent defect Artin–Schreier extensions <sup>(\*)</sup>

STEVEN DALE CUTKOSKY<sup>(1)</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** — We give an example of an extension of two dimensional regular local rings in a tower of two independent defect Artin–Schreier extensions for which strong local monomialization does not hold.

**RÉSUMÉ.** — Nous donnons un exemple d'extension d'anneaux locaux réguliers à deux dimensions dans une tour de deux extensions d'Artin–Schreier de défauts indépendants pour lesquelles la monomialisation locale forte ne tient pas.

#### 1. Introduction

In characteristic zero, there is a very nice local form for morphisms, called local monomialization. This result is a little stronger than what comes immediately from the assumption that toroidalization is possible. If  $R \to S$  is an extension of local rings such that the maximal ideal of S contracts to the maximal ideal of R then we say that S dominates R. If S is dominated by the valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\omega}$  of a valuation  $\omega$  we say that  $\omega$  dominates S.

THEOREM 1.1 (local monomialization)([2, 3]). — Suppose that k is a field of characteristic zero and  $R \to S$  is an extension of regular local rings such that R and S are essentially of finite type over k and  $\omega$  is a valuation of the quotient field of S which dominates S and S dominates R. Then there

 $<sup>^{(*)}</sup>$  Reçu le 15 février 2023, accepté le 21 avril 2023.

Keywords: valuation, positive characteristic, defect, strong monomialization.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 14B05, 14B25, 13A18.

 $<sup>^{(1)}\,</sup>$ Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA — cutkoskys@missouri.edu

Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2054394.

Article proposé par Vincent Guedj.

is a commutative diagram



such that  $\omega$  dominates  $S_1$ ,  $S_1$  dominates  $R_1$  and the vertical arrows are products of monoidal transforms; that is, these arrows are factored by the local rings of blowups of prime ideals whose quotients are regular local rings. In particular,  $R_1$  and  $S_1$  are regular local rings. Further,  $R_1 \rightarrow S_1$  has a locally monomial form; that is, there exist regular parameters  $u_1, \ldots, u_m$  in  $R_1$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  in  $S_1$ , an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  with integral coefficients such that rank(A) = m and units  $\delta_i \in S_1$  such that

$$u_i = \delta_i \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{a_i}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

The difficulty in the proof is to obtain the condition that  $\operatorname{rank}(A) = m$ . To do this, it is necessary to blow up above both R and S.

In the case when the extension of quotient fields  $K \to L$  of the extension  $R \to S$  is a finite extension and k has characteristic zero, it is possible to find a local monomialization such that the structure of the matrix of coefficients recovers classical invariants of the extension of valuations in  $K \to L$ , and this form holds stably along suitable sequences of birational morphisms which generate the respective valuation rings. This form is called strong local monomialization. It is established for rank 1 valuations in [2] and for general valuations in [8]. The case which has the simplest form and will be of interest to us in this paper is when the valuation has rational rank 1. In this case, if  $R_1 \to S_1$  is a strong local monomialization, then there exist regular parameters  $u_1, \ldots, u_m$  in  $R_1$  and  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  in  $S_1$ , a positive integer a and a unit  $\delta \in S_1$  such that

$$u_1 = \delta v_1^a, u_2 = v_2, \dots, u_m = v_m.$$
 (1.1)

The stable forms of mappings in positive characteristic and dimension  $\geq 2$  are much more complicated. For instance, local monomialization does not always hold. An example is given in [4] where  $R \to S$  are local rings of points on nonsingular algebraic surfaces over an algebraically closed field k of positive characteristic p and  $k(X) \to k(Y)$  is finite and separable.

The obstruction to local monomialization is the defect. The defect  $\delta(\omega/\nu)$ , which is a power of the residue characteristic p of  $\mathcal{O}_{\omega}$ , is defined and its basic properties developed in [21, Chapter VI, Section 11], [12], [8, Section 7.1]. The defect is discussed in Subsection 2.1. We have the following theorem, Counterexample to strong local monomialization

showing that the defect is the only obstruction to strong local monomialization for maps of surfaces.

THEOREM 1.2 ([8, Theorem 7.35]). — Suppose that  $K \to L$  is a finite, separable extension of algebraic function fields over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic  $p > 0, R \to S$  is an extension of local domains such that R and S are essentially of finite type over k and the quotient fields of R and S are K and L respectively such that S dominates R. Suppose that  $\omega$  is valuation of L which dominates S. Let  $\nu$  be the restriction of  $\omega$  to K. Suppose that the extension is defectless ( $\delta(\omega/\nu) = 1$ ). Then the conclusions of Theorem 1.1 hold. In particular,  $R \to S$  has a local monomialization (and a strong local monomialization) along  $\omega$ .

Suppose that  $K \to L$  is a Galois extension of fields of characteristic p > 0and  $\omega$  is a valuation of L,  $\nu$  is the restriction of  $\omega$  to K. Then there is a classical tower of fields ([11, p. 171])

$$K \to K^s \to K^i \to K^v \to L.$$

where  $K^s$  is the splitting field,  $K^i$  is the inertia field,  $K^v$  is the ramification field and the extension  $K \to K^v$  has no defect. Thus the essential difficulty comes from the extension from  $K^v$  to L which could have defect. The extension  $K^v \to L$  is a tower of Artin–Schreier extensions, so the Artin–Schreier extension is of fundamental importance in this theory.

Kuhlmann has extensively studied defect in Artin–Schreier extensions in [13]. He separated these extensions into dependent and independent defect Artin–Schreier extensions. This definition is reproduced in Subsection 2.4. Kuhlmann also defined an invariant called the distance to distinguish the natures of Artin–Schreier extensions. This definition is given in Subsections 2.3 and 2.4.

We now specialize to the case of a finite separable extension  $K \to L$  of two dimensional algebraic function fields over an algebraically closed field kof characteristic p > 0, and suppose that  $\omega$  is a valuation of L which is trivial on k and  $\nu$  is the restriction of  $\omega$  to K. If L/K has defect then  $\omega$  must have rational rank 1 and be nondiscrete. We will assume that  $\omega$  has rational rank 1 and is nondiscrete for the remainder of the introduction.

With these restrictions, the distance  $\delta$  of an Artin–Schreier extension is  $\leq 0^-$  when the extension has defect. The notation  $0^-$  is defined in Subsection 2.2. If it is a defect extension with  $\delta = 0^-$  then it is an independent defect extension. If it is a defect extension and the distance is less than  $0^-$  then the extension is a dependent defect extension.

A quadratic transform along a valuation is the center of the valuation at the blow up of a maximal ideal of a regular local ring. There is the sequence of quadratic transforms along  $\nu$  and  $\omega$ 

$$R \to R_1 \to R_2 \to \cdots$$
 and  $S \to S_1 \to S_2 \to \cdots$ . (1.2)

We have that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i = \mathcal{O}_{\nu}$ , the valuation ring of  $\nu$ , and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i = \mathcal{O}_{\omega}$ , the valuation ring of  $\omega$ . These sequences can be factored by standard quadratic transform sequences (defined in Section 3). It is shown in [8] that given positive integers  $r_0$  and  $s_0$ , there exists  $r \ge r_0$  and  $s \ge s_0$  such that  $R_r \to S_s$  has the following form:

$$u = \tau x^a, v = x^b (y^d \gamma + x\Omega) \tag{1.3}$$

where u, v are regular parameters in  $R_r$ , x, y are regular parameters in  $S_s$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\tau$  are units in  $S_s$ ,  $\Omega \in S_s$ , a and d are positive integers and b is a non negative integer. If we choose  $r_0$  sufficiently large, then we have that the complexity ad of the extension  $R_r \to S_s$  is a constant which depends on the extension of valuations, which we call the stable complexity of (1.2). When  $R_r \to S_s$  has this stable complexity, we call the forms (1.3) stable forms.

The strongly monomial form is the case when b = 0 and d = 1; that is, after making a change of variables in y,

$$u = \tau x^a, v = y.$$

As we observed earlier (Theorem 1.2) if the extension  $K \to L$  has no defect, then the stable form is the strongly monomial form. If there is defect, then it is possible for the *a* and *d* in stable forms along a valuation to vary wildly, even though their product *ad* is fixed by the extension, as shown in [6, Theorem 5.4].

An example is constructed in [8], showing failure of strong local monomialization. It is a tower of two defect Artin–Schreier extensions, each of the type of [6, Theorem 5.4] referred to above. The first extension is of type 1 for even integers and of type 2 for odd integers. The second extension is of type 2 for even integers and of type 1 for odd integers. Extensions of types 1 and 2 are defined in Section 3 before the statement of Theorem 3.2. The composite gives a sequence of extensions of regular local rings  $R_i \rightarrow S_i$ , where  $R_i$  has regular parameters  $u_i, v_i$  and  $S_i$  has regular parameters  $x_i, y_i$  such that the stable form is

$$u_i = \gamma x_i^p, v_i = y_i^p \tau + x_i \Omega \tag{1.4}$$

for all *i*. Both of these Artin–Schreier extensions are dependent. This is calculated in [10] and in [6, Section 6]. In keeping with the philosophy that independent Artin–Schreier extensions are better behaved than dependent ones, this leads to the question of if strong monomialization holds in towers of independent Artin–Schreier extensions. However, this is not true as is shown in Theorem 4.1 of this paper. In this theorem, we construct an

example in a tower of two independent defect extensions such that strong local monomialization does not hold.

Suppose that  $K \to L$  is a finite extension of fields of positive characteristic and  $\omega$  is a valuation of L with restriction  $\nu$  to K. It is known that there is no defect in the extension if and only if there is a finite generating sequence in Lfor the valuation  $\omega$  over K ([16, 19]). The calculation of generating sequences for extensions of Noetherian local rings which are dominated by a valuation is extremely difficult. This has been accomplished for two dimensional regular local rings in [9] and [18] and for many hypersurface singularities above a regular local ring of arbitrary dimension in [7].

The nature of a generating sequence in an extension of S over R determines the nature of the mappings in the stable forms. It is shown in [5, Theorem 1] that if  $R \to S$  is an extension of two dimensional excellent regular local rings whose quotient fields give a finite extension  $K \to L$  and  $\omega$  is a valuation of L which dominates S then the extension is without defect if and only if there exist sequences of quadratic transform  $R \to R_1$  and  $S \to S_1$  along  $\nu$  such that  $\omega$  has a finite generating sequence in  $S_1$  over  $R_1$ . This shows us that we can expect good stable forms (as do hold by Theorem 1.2) if there is no defect, but not otherwise.

## 2. Preliminaries

#### 2.1. Some notation

Let K be a field with a valuation  $\nu$ . The valuation ring of  $\nu$  will be donoted by  $\mathcal{O}_{\nu}$ ,  $\nu K$  will denote the value group of  $\nu$  and  $K\nu$  will denote the residue field of  $\mathcal{O}_{\nu}$ .

The maximal ideal of a local ring A will be denoted by  $m_A$ . If  $A \to B$  is an extension (inclusion) of local rings such that  $m_B \cap A = m_A$  we will say that B dominates A. If a valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\nu}$  dominates A we will say that the valuation  $\nu$  dominates A.

Suppose that K is an algebraic function field over a field k. An algebraic local ring A of K is a local domain which is a localization of a finite type k-algebra whose quotient field is K. A k-valuation of K is a valuation of K which is trivial on k.

Suppose that  $K \to L$  is a finite algebraic extension of fields,  $\nu$  is a valuation of K and  $\omega$  is an extension of  $\nu$  to L. Then the reduced ramification

#### Steven Dale Cutkosky

index of the extension is  $e(\omega/\nu) = [\omega L : \nu K]$  and the residue degree of the extension is  $f(\omega/\nu) = [L\omega : K\nu]$ .

The defect  $\delta(\omega/\nu)$ , which is a power of the residue characteristic p of  $\mathcal{O}_{\omega}$ , is defined and its basic properties developed in [21, Chapter VI, Section 11], [12] and [8, Section 7.1]. In the case that L is Galois over K, we have the formula

$$[L:K] = e(\omega/\nu)f(\omega/\nu)\delta(\omega/\nu)g \tag{2.1}$$

where g is the number of extensions of  $\nu$  to L. In fact, we have the equation (cf. [13] or [8, Section 7.1])

$$|G^{s}(\omega/\nu)| = e(\omega/\nu)f(\omega/\nu)\delta(\omega/\nu),$$

where  $G^{s}(\omega/\nu)$  is the decomposition group of L/K.

If  $K \to L$  is a finite Galois extension, then we will denote the Galois group of L/K by  $\operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ .

#### 2.2. Initial and final segments and cuts

We review some basic material about cuts in totally ordered sets from [13]. Let (S, <) be a totally ordered set. An initial segment of S is a subset  $\Lambda$  of S such that if  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  and  $\beta < \alpha$  then  $\beta \in \Lambda$ . A final segment of S is a subset  $\Lambda$  of S such that if  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  and  $\beta > \alpha$  then  $\beta \in \Lambda$ . A cut in S is a pair of sets  $(\Lambda^L, \Lambda^R)$  such that  $\Lambda^L$  is an initial segment of S and  $\Lambda^R$  is a final segment of S satisfying  $\Lambda^L \cup \Lambda^R = S$  and  $\Lambda^L \cap \Lambda^R = \emptyset$ . If  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are two cuts in S, write  $\Lambda_1 < \Lambda_2$  if  $\Lambda_1^L \subsetneq \Lambda_2^L$ . Suppose that  $S \subset T$  is an order preserving inclusion of ordered sets and  $\Lambda = (\Lambda^L, \Lambda^R)$  is a cut in S. Then define the cut induced by  $\Lambda = (\Lambda^L, \Lambda^R)$  in T to be the cut  $\Lambda \uparrow T = (\Lambda^L \uparrow T, T \setminus (\Lambda^L \uparrow T))$  where  $\Lambda^L \uparrow T$  is the least initial segment of T in which  $\Lambda^L$  forms a cofinal subset.

We embed S in the set of all cuts of S by sending  $s \in S$  to

 $s^{+} = (\{t \in S \mid t \leq s\}, \{t \in S \mid t > s\}).$ 

we may identify s with the cut  $s^+$ . Define

 $s^{-} = (\{t \in S \mid t < s\}, \{t \in S \mid t \ge s\}).$ 

Given a cut  $\Lambda = (\Lambda^L, \Lambda^R)$  of an ordered Abelian group S, we define  $-\Lambda = (-\Lambda^R, -\Lambda^L)$  where  $-\Lambda^L = \{-s \mid s \in \Lambda^L\}$  and  $-\Lambda^R = \{-s \mid s \in \Lambda^R\}$ . We have that if  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are cuts, then  $\Lambda_1 < \Lambda_2$  if and only if  $-\Lambda_2 < -\Lambda_1$ .

#### 2.3. Distances

Let  $K \to L$  be an extension of fields and  $\omega$  be a valuation of L with restriction  $\nu$  to K. Let  $\widetilde{\nu K}$  be the divisible hull of  $\nu K$ . Suppose that  $z \in L$ . Then the distance of z from K is defined in [13, Section 2.3] to be the cut dist(z, K) of  $\widetilde{\nu K}$  in which the initial segment of dist(z, K) is the least initial segment of  $\widetilde{\nu K}$  in which  $\omega(z - K)$  is cofinal. That is,

$$\operatorname{dist}(z,K) = (\Lambda^L(z,K), \Lambda^R(z,K)) \uparrow \nu K$$

where

$$\Lambda^{L}(z,K) = \{ \omega(z-c) \mid c \in K \text{ and } \omega(z-c) \in \nu K \}.$$

The following notion of equivalence is defined in [13, Section 2.3]. If  $y, z \in L$ , then  $z \sim_K y$  if  $\omega(z - y) > \operatorname{dist}(z, K)$ .

#### 2.4. Artin–Schreier extensions

Let  $K \to L$  be an Artin–Schreier extension of fields of characteristic p > 0and  $\omega$  be a valuation of L with restriction  $\nu$  to K. The field L is Galois over K with Galois group  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ , where p is the characteristic of K.

Let  $\Theta \in L$  be an Artin–Schreier generator of K; that is, there is an expression

$$\Theta^p - \Theta = a$$

for some  $a \in K$ . We have that

$$\operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p = \{ \operatorname{id}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{p-1} \},\$$

where  $\sigma_i(\Theta) = \Theta + i$ .

Since L is Galois over K, we have that  $ge(\omega/\nu)f(\omega/\nu)\delta(\omega/\nu) = p$  where g is the number of extensions of  $\nu$  to L. So we either have that g = 1 or g = p. If g = 1, then  $\omega$  is the unique extension of  $\nu$  to L and either  $e(\omega/\nu) = p$  and  $\delta(\omega/\nu) = 1$  or  $e(\omega/\nu) = 1$  and  $\delta(\omega/\nu) = p$ . In particular, the extension is defect if and only if is an immediate extension (e = f = 1) and  $\omega$  is the unique extension of  $\nu$  to L.

From now on in this subsection, suppose that L is a defect extension of K. By [13, Lemma 4.1], the distance  $\delta = \text{dist}(\Theta, K)$  does not depend on the choice of Artin–Schreier generator  $\Theta$ , so  $\delta$  can be called the distance of the Artin–Schreier extension. Since L/K is an immediate extension, the set  $\omega(\Theta - K)$  is an initial segment in  $\nu K$  which has no maximal element by [13, Theorem 2.19].

We have, since the extension is defect, that

$$\delta = \operatorname{dist}(\Theta, K) \leqslant 0^{-} \tag{2.2}$$

by [13, Corollary 2.30].

A defect Artin–Schreier extension L is defined in [13, Section 4] to be a dependent defect Artin–Schreier extension if there exists an immediate purely inseparable extension  $K(\eta)$  of K of degree p such that  $\eta \sim_K \Theta$ . Otherwise, L/K is defined to be an independent defect Artin–Schreier defect extension. We have by [13, Proposition 4.2] that for a defect Artin-Schreier extension,

L/K is independent

if and only if the distance  $\delta = \text{dist}(\Theta, K)$  satisfies  $\delta = p\delta$ . (2.3)

## 2.5. Extensions of rank 1 valuations in an Artin–Schreier extension

In this subsection, we suppose that L is an Artin–Schreier extension of a field K of characteristic  $p, \omega$  is a rank 1 valuation of L and  $\nu$  is the restriction of  $\omega$  to K. We suppose that L is a defect extension of K. To simplify notation, we suppose that we have an embedding of  $\nu L$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since L has defect over K and L is separable over  $K, \nu L$  is nondiscrete by the corollary on page 287 of [20], so that  $\nu L$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We define a cut in  $\mathbb{R}$  by extending the cut dist $(\Theta, K)$  in  $\widetilde{\nu K}$  to a cut of  $\mathbb{R}$  by taking the initial segment of the extended cut to be the least initial segment of  $\mathbb{R}$  in which the cut dist $(\Theta, K)$  is confinal. This cut is then dist $(\Theta, K) \uparrow \mathbb{R}$ . This cut is either s or  $s^-$  for some  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . If L is a defect extension of K then dist $(\Theta, K) \uparrow \mathbb{R} = s^-$  where s is a non positive real number by [13, Theorem 2.19] and [13, Corollary 2.30]. We will set dist $(\omega/\nu)$  to be this real number s, so that

$$\operatorname{dist}(\Theta, K) \uparrow \mathbb{R} = s^{-} = (\operatorname{dist}(\omega/\nu))^{-}$$

The real number  $dist(\omega/\nu)$  is well defined since it is independent of choice of Artin–Schreier generator of L/K by Lemma 4.1 [13].

With the assumptions of this subsection, by (2.2) and (2.3), the distance  $\delta = \operatorname{dist}(\Theta, K)$  of an Artin–Schreier extension is  $\leq 0^-$  when the extension has defect. If it is a defect extension with distance equal to  $0^-$  then it is an independent defect extension. If it is a defect extension and the distance is less than  $0^-$  then the extension is a dependent defect extension. Thus if L/K is a defect extension, we have that  $\operatorname{dist}(\omega/\nu) \leq 0$  and the defect extension L/K is independent if and only if  $\operatorname{dist}(\omega/\nu) = 0$ .

Counterexample to strong local monomialization

#### 3. Calculations in two dimensional Artin–Schreier Extensions

Suppose that M is a two dimensional algebraic function field over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p > 0 and  $\mu$  is a nondiscrete rational rank 1 valuation of M. Suppose that A is an algebraic regular local ring of M such that  $\mu$  dominates A. A quadratic transform of A is an extension  $A \to A_1$  where  $A_1$  is a local ring of the blowup of the maximal ideal of A such that  $A_1$  dominates A and  $A_1$  has dimension two. A quadratic transform  $A \to A_1$  is said to be along the valuation  $\mu$  if  $\mu$  dominates  $A_1$ .

Let

$$A = A_0 \to A_1 \to A_2 \to \cdots$$

be the sequence of quadratic transforms along  $\mu$ . Then the valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\mu} = \bigcup A_i$  (by [1, Lemma 12]).

Suppose that  $K \to L$  is a finite extension of two dimensional algebraic function fields, R is an algebraic regular local ring of K which is dominated by a regular algebraic local ring S of L such that dim  $R = \dim S = 2$ . Let x, y be regular parameters in S and u, v be regular parameters in R. Then we can form the Jacobian ideal

$$J(S/R) = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right).$$

This ideal is independent of choice of regular parameters.

The following proposition is proven in [17].

PROPOSITION 3.1. — Suppose that  $K \to L$  is an Artin–Schreier extension of two dimensional algebraic function fields over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p > 0,  $\omega$  is a rational rank 1 nondiscrete valuation of L with restriction  $\nu = \omega | K$ . Further suppose that A is an algebraic local ring of K and B is an algebraic local ring of L which is dominated by  $\omega$  such that B dominates A. Then there exists a commutative diagram of homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{c} R \longrightarrow A \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ A \longrightarrow B \end{array}$$

such that R is a regular algebraic local ring of K with regular parameters u, v, S is a regular algebraic local ring of L with regular parameters x, y such that S is dominated by  $\omega, S$  dominates  $R, R \to S$  is quasi finite,  $J(S/R) = (x^{\overline{c}})$  for some non negative integer  $\overline{c}$  and one of the following three cases holds:

- (0)  $u = x, v = y \ (R \to S \text{ is unramified}).$
- (1)  $u = x, v = y^p \gamma + x \Sigma$  where  $\gamma$  is a unit in S and  $\Sigma \in S$ .
- (2)  $u = \gamma x^p$ , v = y where  $\gamma$  is a unit in S.

Let  $K \to L$  be an Artin–Schreier extension of two dimensional algebraic function fields over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p > 0. Let  $R \to S$  be an extension from a regular algebraic local ring of K to a regular algebraic local ring of L such that S dominates R.

Let u, v be regular parameters in R and x, y be regular parameters in S. We will say that  $R \to S$  is of type 0 with respect to these parameters if

Type 0: 
$$u = \gamma x, v = y\tau + x\Omega$$

where  $\gamma, \tau$  are units in S and  $\Omega \in S$ , so that  $R \to S$  is unramified. We will say that  $R \to S$  is of type 1 with respect to these parameters if

Type 1: 
$$u = \gamma x, v = y^p \tau + x \Omega$$

where  $\gamma, \tau$  are units in S and  $\Omega \in S$ . We will say that  $R \to S$  is of type 2 with respect to these parameters if

Type 2: 
$$u = \gamma x^p, v = y\tau + x\Omega$$

where  $\gamma, \tau$  are units in S and  $\Omega \in S$ .

These definitions are such that if one of these types hold, and  $\overline{u}, \overline{v}$  are regular parameters in  $R, \overline{x}, \overline{y}$  are regular parameters in S such that  $\overline{u}$  is a unit in R times u and  $\overline{x}$  is a unit in S times x then  $R \to S$  is of the same type for the new parameters  $\overline{u}, \overline{v}$  and  $\overline{x}, \overline{y}$ .

In the construction of our example (Theorem 4.1), we will make use of some results from [6].

THEOREM 3.2 ([6, Theorem 4.1]). — Suppose that  $R \to S$  is of type 1 with respect to regular parameters x, y in S and u, v in R and that  $J(S/R) = (x^{\bar{c}})$ . Let  $\bar{x} = u, \bar{y} = y - g(\bar{x})$  where  $g(\bar{x}) \in k[\bar{x}]$  is a polynomial with zero constant term, so that  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$  are regular parameters in S. Suppose that m, q are positive integers with m > 1 and gcd(m, q) = 1. Let  $\alpha$  be a nonzero element of k. Consider the sequence of quadratic transforms  $S \to S_1$  so that  $S_1$  has regular parameters  $x_1, y_1$  defined by

$$\bar{x} = x_1^m (y_1 + \alpha)^{a'}, \bar{y} = x_1^q (y_1 + \alpha)^{b'}$$

where  $a', b' \in \mathbb{N}$  are such that mb' - qa' = 1.

Computing the Jacobian determinate J(S/R), we see that

$$u = \bar{x}, v = \bar{y}^p \gamma + \bar{x}^{\bar{c}} \bar{y} \tau + f(\bar{x})$$

where  $\gamma, \tau$  are unit series in  $\widehat{S}$  and  $f(\overline{x}) = \sum e_i \overline{x}^i \in k[[\overline{x}]]$ . Make the change of variables  $\overline{v} = v - \sum e_i u^i$  where the sum is over i such that  $i \leq \frac{pq}{m}$  so that  $u, \overline{v}$  are regular parameters in R.

We have that  $R \to S$  is of type 1 with respect to the regular parameters  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$  and u, v. Let  $\sigma = \gcd(m, pq)$  which is 1 or p.

There exists a unique sequence of quadratic transforms  $R \to R_1$  such that  $R_1$  has regular parameters  $u_1, v_1$  defined by

$$u = u_1^{\overline{m}} (v_1 + \beta)^{c'}, \overline{v} = u_1^{\overline{q}} (v_1 + \beta)^{d'}$$

with  $0 \neq \beta \in k$  giving a commutative diagram of homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{c} R_1 \longrightarrow S_1 \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ R \longrightarrow S \end{array}$$

such that  $R_1 \to S_1$  is quasi finite. We have that  $J(S_1/R_1) = (x_1^{c_1})$  for some positive integer  $c_1$  and  $R_1 \to S_1$  is quasi finite. Further:

(0) If  $\frac{q}{m} \ge \frac{\bar{c}}{p-1}$  then  $R_1 \to S_1$  is of type 0. (1) If  $\frac{q}{m} < \frac{\bar{c}}{p-1}$  and  $\sigma = 1$  then  $R_1 \to S_1$  is of type 1 and

$$\left(\frac{c_1}{p-1}\right) = \left(\frac{\bar{c}}{p-1}\right)m - q.$$

(2) If  $\frac{q}{m} < \frac{\bar{c}}{n-1}$  and  $\sigma = p$  then  $R_1 \to S_1$  is of type 2 and

$$\left(\frac{c_1}{p-1}\right) = \left(\frac{\bar{c}}{p-1}\right)m - q + 1.$$

In cases (1) and (2),  $m = \sigma \overline{m}$ ,  $pq = \sigma \overline{q}$  and  $\overline{m}c' - \overline{q}d' = 1$ .

THEOREM 3.3 ([6, Theorem 4.3]). — Suppose that  $R \to S$  is of type 2 with respect to regular parameters x, y in S and u, v in R and that  $J(S/R) = (x^{\overline{c}})$ . Let  $g(u) \in k[u]$  be a polynomial with no constant term. Make the change of variables, letting  $\overline{v} = v - g(u)$  and  $\overline{y} = \overline{v}$ , so that  $x, \overline{y}$  are regular parameters in S and  $u, \overline{v}$  are regular parameters in R.

Suppose that m, q are positive integers with gcd(m, q) = 1. Let  $\alpha$  be a nonzero element of k. Consider the sequence of quadratic transforms  $S \to S_1$  so that  $S_1$  has regular parameters  $x_1, y_1$  defined by

$$x = x_1^m (y_1 + \alpha)^{a'}, \bar{y} = x_1^q (y_1 + \alpha)^{b'}$$

where  $a', b' \in \mathbb{N}$  are such that mb' - qa' = 1.

Let  $\sigma = \gcd(pm, q)$  which is 1 or p. There exists a unique sequence of quadratic transforms  $R \to R_1$  such that  $R_1$  has regular parameters  $u_1, v_1$  defined by

$$u = u_1^{\overline{m}} (v_1 + \beta)^{c'}, \overline{v} = u_1^{\overline{q}} (v_1 + \beta)^{d'}$$

-925 -

where  $pm = \sigma \overline{m}$ ,  $q = \sigma \overline{q}$ ,  $\overline{m}d' - c'\overline{q} = 1$  and  $0 \neq \beta \in k$ , giving a commutative diagram of homomorphisms



such that  $R_1 \to S_1$  is quasi finite. We have that  $J(S_1/R_1) = (x_1^{c_1})$  for some positive integer  $c_1$ . Further:

(1) If  $\sigma = 1$  then  $R_1 \rightarrow S_1$  is of type 1 and

$$\left(\frac{c_1}{p-1}\right) = \left(\frac{\bar{c}}{p-1}\right)m - m.$$

(2) If  $\sigma = p$  then  $R_1 \rightarrow S_1$  is of type 2 and

$$\left(\frac{c_1}{p-1}\right) = \left(\frac{\bar{c}}{p-1}\right)m - m + 1.$$

A proof of the following proposition is given in [6, Proposition 7.9]. More general results are proven in [15].

PROPOSITION 3.4 (Kuhlmann and Piltant, [14]). — Suppose that K and L are two dimensional algebraic function fields over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p > 0 and  $K \to L$  is an Artin–Schreier extension. Let  $\omega$  be a rational rank one nondiscrete valuation of L and let  $\nu$  be the restriction of  $\omega$  to K. Suppose that L is a defect extension of K.

Suppose that R is a regular algebraic local ring of K and S is a regular algebraic local ring of L such that  $\omega$  dominates S, S dominates R and  $R \rightarrow S$  is of type 1 or 2. Inductively applying Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, we construct a diagram where the horizontal sequences are birational extensions of regular local rings

with  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i = \mathcal{O}_{\omega}$ . Further assume that for each map  $R_i \to S_i$ , there are regular parameters u, v in  $R_i$  and x, y in  $S_i$  such that one of the following forms hold:

$$u = x, v = f \tag{3.2}$$

where  $\dim_k S_i/(x, f) = p$ , or

$$u = \delta x^p, v = y \tag{3.3}$$

- 926 -

where  $\delta$  is a unit in  $S_i$  and in both cases that x = 0 is a local equation of the critical locus of  $\operatorname{Spec}(S_i) \to \operatorname{Spec}(R_i)$ . Let

$$J_i = J(S_i/R_i) = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)$$

be the Jacobian ideal of the map  $R_i \to S_i$ .

Then the distance  $dist(\omega/\nu)$  is computed by the formula

$$-\operatorname{dist}(\omega/\nu) = \frac{1}{p-1} \inf_{i} \{\omega(J(S_i/R_i))\}$$

where the infimum is over the  $R_i \to S_i$  in the sequence (3.1).

# 4. An example of a tower of independent defect extensions in which strong local monomialization doesn't hold

THEOREM 4.1. — There exists a tower  $(K,\nu) \rightarrow (L,\omega) \rightarrow (M,\mu)$  of independent defect Artin–Schreier extensions of valued two dimensional algebraic function fields over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p > 0 such that there exist algebraic regular local rings A of K and C of M such that  $\mu$  dominates C and C dominates A but strong local monomialization along  $\mu$  does not hold above  $A \rightarrow C$ .

Remark 4.2. — Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a fixed ratio. Suppose that  $R \to S$  is of type 1. By taking m and q sufficiently large in Theorem 3.2 such that  $R_1 \to S_1$  is of type 2, we can achieve that  $v_1 = \lambda y_1 + g(x_1)$  where  $\lambda$  is a unit in  $S_1$  and the order of  $g(x_1)$  is arbitrarily large. Suppose that  $R \to S$ is of type 2. By taking m and q sufficiently large in Theorem 3.3 such that  $R_1 \to S_1$  is of type 1 we can achieve that  $v_1 = y_1^p \gamma + x_1^{c_1} y_1 \tau + f(x_1)$  where  $\gamma$  and  $\tau$  are unit series in  $S_1$  and the order of  $f(x_1)$  is arbitrarily large. In both cases, we can choose m and q so that  $\frac{q}{m}$  is arbitrarily close to  $\delta$ .

Remark 4.3. — In Theorem 3.3, we have an expression  $\bar{v} = \tau y + f(x)$ where  $\tau$  is a unit in S. Suppose that m and q are positive integers with gcd(m,q) = 1 and such that  $ord f(x) > \frac{q}{m}$ . Then the proof of Theorem 3.3 extends to show that the conclusions of Theorem 3.3 hold with  $\bar{y}$  replaced with y.

We now give the proof of Theorem 4.1.

*Proof.* — Let K be a two dimensional algebraic function field over an algebraically closed field, and let  $R_{-2}$  be a two dimensional algebraic regular local ring of K. Let  $u_{-2}, v_{-2}$  be regular parameters in  $R_{-2}$ .

#### Steven Dale Cutkosky

Let e be a positive integer. Let  $c_{-2} = (p-1)e$ . Let  $\Theta$  be a root of the Artin–Schreier polynomial  $X^p - X - v_{-2}u_{-2}^{-pe}$ . Let  $L = K(\Theta)$ . Set  $x_{-2} = u_{-2}$ ,  $y_{-2} = u_{-2}^e\Theta$ . Let  $S_{-2} = R_{-2}[y_{-2}]_{(x_{-2},y_{-2})}$ , which is an algebraic regular local ring of L which dominates  $R_{-2}$ . The regular parameters  $x_{-2}, y_{-2}$  in  $S_{-2}$  satisfy  $u_{-2} = x_{-2}, v_{-2} = y_{-2}^p - x_{-2}^{e(p-1)}y_{-2}$ , so that the extension  $R_{-2} \to S_{-2}$  is of type 1. We have that  $J(S_{-2}/R_{-2}) = (x_{-2}^{c_{-2}})$ , with  $\frac{c_{-2}}{p-1} > 0$ .

We first construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} S_{-2} \longrightarrow S_{-1} \\ \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \\ R_{-2} \longrightarrow R_{-1} \end{array}$$

using Theorem 3.2 so that  $R_{-1} \to S_{-1}$  is of type 2. Let  $\Sigma$  be a root of the Artin–Schreier polynomial  $X^p - X - y_{-1} x_{-1}^{-pe}$ . Let  $M = L(\Sigma)$ . Set  $z_{-1} = x_{-1}$ ,  $w_{-1} = x_{-1}^e \Sigma$ . Let  $T_{-1} = S_{-1}[w_{-1}]_{(z_{-1},w_{-1})}$ , which is an algebraic regular local ring of M which dominates  $S_{-1}$ . The regular parameters  $z_{-1}, w_{-1}$  in  $T_{-1}$  satisfy  $x_{-1} = z_{-1}, y_{-1} = w_{-1}^p - z_{-1}^{e(p-1)} w_{-1}$ , so that the extension  $S_{-1} \to T_{-1}$  is of type 1. We have that  $J(T_{-1}/S_{-1}) = (z_{-1}^{c'_{-1}})$ , with  $\frac{c'_{-1}}{p-1} > 0$ .

From Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, we construct



such that  $R_0 \to S_0$  is of type 1 and  $S_0 \to T_0$  is of type 2. Explicitly,  $R_{-1}, R_0, S_{-1}, S_0, T_{-1}, T_0$  have respective regular parameters  $(u_{-1}, v_{-1})$ ,  $(u_0, v_0), (x_{-1}, y_{-1}), (x_0, y_0)$  and  $(z_{-1}, w_{-1}), (z_0, w_0)$  which are related by equations

$$\begin{split} u_{-1} &= u_0^{pm_0} (v_0 + \beta_0)^{d'_0}, \quad v_{-1} = u_0^{q_0} (v_0 + \beta_0)^{e'_0} \\ x_{-1} &= x_0^{m_0} (y_0 + \alpha_0)^{a'_0}, \quad y_{-1} = x_0^{q_0} (w_0 + \alpha_0)^{g'_0} \\ z_{-1} &= z_0^{pm_0} (v_0 + \gamma_0)^{f'_0}, \quad w_{-1} = z_0^{q_0} (w_0 + \gamma_0)^{g'_0} \end{split}$$

where  $p \not| q_0$  and  $\frac{q_0}{pm_0} < \frac{c'_{-1}}{p-1}$  where  $J(T_{-1}/S_{-1}) = (z_{-1}^{c'_{-1}}).$ 

By Remarks 4.2 and 4.3, we can construct  $R_0 \to S_0 \to T_0$  so that we have expressions  $y_0 = \lambda_0 w_0 + g_0(z_0)$  where  $\lambda_0$  is a unit in  $T_0$  and ord  $g_0(z_0)$  is arbitrarily large and

$$v_0 = \sigma_0 y_0^p + \tau_0 x_0^{c_0} y_0 + f_0(x_0)$$

-928 -

where  $\sigma_0, \tau_0$  are units in  $S_0$  and ord  $f_0(x_0)$  is arbitrarily large.

We will inductively construct a commutative diagram within  $K \to L \to M$  of two dimensional regular algebraic local rings

such that  $R_i \to S_i$  is of type 1 if *i* is even and is of type 2 if *i* is odd,  $S_i \to T_i$  is of type 2 if *i* is even and is of type 1 if *i* is odd. Further, valuations  $\nu$ ,  $\omega$  and  $\mu$  of the respective function fields K, L and M determined by these sequences are such that  $K \to L$  and  $L \to M$  are independent defect extensions. We will have that  $R_i$  has regular parameters  $(u_i, v_i)$ ,  $S_i$  has regular parameters  $(x_i, y_i)$  and  $T_i$  has regular parameters  $(z_i, w_i)$  such that

$$\begin{split} u_{i} &= u_{i+1}^{\overline{m}_{i+1}} (v_{i+1} + \beta_{i+1})^{d'_{i+1}}, \qquad v_{i} = u_{i+1}^{\overline{q}_{i+1}} (v_{i+1} + \beta_{i+1})^{e'_{i+1}}, \\ x_{i} &= x_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}} (y_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+1})^{a'_{i+1}}, \qquad y_{i} = x_{i+1}^{q_{i+1}} (y_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+1})^{b'_{i+1}}, \\ z_{i} &= z_{i+1}^{m'_{i+1}} (w_{i+1} + \gamma_{i+1})^{f'_{i+1}}, \qquad w_{i} = z_{i+1}^{q'_{i+1}} (w_{i} + \gamma_{i+1})^{g'_{i+1}} \end{split}$$

with  $\overline{m}_i, m_i$  and  $m'_i$  larger than 1 for all i.

Let  $J(S_i/R_i) = (x_i^{c_i})$  and  $J(T_i/S_i) = (z_i^{c'_i})$ .

If *i* is even, then  $m_{i+1} = p\overline{m}_{i+1}, m'_{i+1} = \overline{m}_{i+1}, q_{i+1} = \overline{q}_{i+1}, q'_{i+1} = q_{i+1}$ and  $q_{i+1} = c_i$ 

$$\frac{q_{i+1}}{m_{i+1}} < \frac{c_i}{p-1}.$$

If *i* is odd, then  $\overline{m}_{i+1} = pm_{i+1}, m'_{i+1} = \overline{m}_{i+1}, q_{i+1} = \overline{q}_{i+1}, q'_{i+1} = q_{i+1}$ and

$$\frac{q_{i+1}'}{m_{i+1}'} < \frac{c_i'}{p-1}.$$

In our construction, if r is even, we will have that

$$y_r = \lambda_r w_r + g_r(z_r) \tag{4.2}$$

where  $\lambda_r$  is a unit in  $T_r$  and ord  $g_r(z_r)$  is arbitrarily large and

$$v_r = \sigma_r y_r^p + \tau_r x_r^{c_r} y_r + f_r(x_r)$$

$$\tag{4.3}$$

where  $\sigma_r, \tau_r$  are units in  $S_r$  and  $\operatorname{ord} f_r(x_r)$  is arbitrarily large. If r is even, we will have

$$y_r = \sigma_r w_r^p + \tau_r z_r^{c'_r} w_r + f(z_r)$$

$$\tag{4.4}$$

-929 -

where  $\sigma_r, \tau_r$  are units in  $T_r$  and ord  $f(z_r)$  is arbitrarily large and

$$v_r = \lambda_r y_r + g_r(x_r) \tag{4.5}$$

where  $\lambda_r$  is a unit in  $S_r$  and  $\operatorname{ord} g_r(x_r)$  is arbitrarily large.

Suppose that r is even, and we have constructed  $R_r \to S_r \to T_r$ . We will construct



There exists an integer  $\lambda(r+1) > 1$  and  $q_{r+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $gcd(q_{r+1}, p) = 1$ and

$$\frac{c_r}{p-1} > \frac{q_{r+1}}{p^{\lambda(r+1)}} > \frac{c_r}{p-1} - \frac{1}{2^{r+1}} m_1 \cdots m_r.$$
(4.6)

In fact, we can find  $\lambda(r+1)$  arbitrarily large satisfying the inequality. Set  $m_{r+1} = p^{\lambda(r+1)}$ . We have that  $\frac{q_{r+1}}{m_{r+1}} < \frac{c_r}{p-1}$  with  $gcd(m_{r+1}, pq_{r+1}) = p$ . This choice of  $m_{r+1}$  and  $q_{r+1}$  (along with a choice of  $0 \neq \alpha_{r+1} \in k$ ) determines  $S_r \rightarrow S_{r+1}$ . We have an expression  $v_r = \sigma_r y_r^p + \tau_r x_r^{c_r} y_r + f_r(x_r)$  where ord  $f_r(x_r)$  is arbitrarily large. In particular, we can assume that ord  $f_r(x_r) > \frac{pq_{r+1}}{m_{r+1}}$ . Then  $R_r \rightarrow R_{r+1}$  is defined as desired by Theorem 3.2. By Remark 4.2, since we can take  $\lambda(r+1)$  to be arbitrarily large, we can assume that  $v_{r+1} = \lambda_{r+1}y_{r+1} + g_{r+1}(x_{r+1})$  where ord  $g_{r+1}(x_{r+1})$  is arbitrarily large.

By Remark 4.3 and Theorem 3.3,  $T_r \to T_{r+1}$  is defined as desired, with  $m'_{r+1} = \frac{m_{r+1}}{p}$ ,  $q'_{r+1} = q_{r+1}$ . Since we can take  $\lambda(r+1)$  to be arbitrarily large, we can assume that  $y_{r+1} = \sigma_{r+1}w^p_{r+1} + \tau_{r+1}z^{c'_{r+1}}_{r+1}w_r + f_{r+1}(z_{r+1})$  where ord  $f_{r+1}(z_{r+1})$  is arbitrarily large.

We have defined a commutative diagram

with the desired properties; in particular,  $R_{r+1} \rightarrow S_{r+1}$  is of type 2 with

$$\frac{c_{r+1}}{p-1} = \left(\frac{c_r}{p-1}\right)m_{r+1} - q_{r+1} + 1$$

and  $S_{r+1} \to T_{r+1}$  is of type 1, with

$$\frac{c'_{r+1}}{p-1} = \frac{c'_r}{p-1}m'_{r+1} - m'_{r+1}.$$

Now choose  $q'_{r+2}, m'_{r+2} = p^{\lambda(r+2)}$  such that  $p \not| q'_{r+2}$  and

$$\frac{c'_{r+1}}{p-1} > \frac{q'_{r+2}}{m'_{r+2}} > \frac{c'_{r+1}}{p-1} - \frac{1}{2^{r+2}}m'_1 \cdots m'_{r+1}.$$
(4.8)

We can take  $\lambda(r+2)$  arbitrarily large. Set  $m_{r+2} = \frac{m'_{r+2}}{p} = p^{\lambda(r+2)-1}, q_{r+2} = q'_{r+2}$ . By (4.8),  $\frac{q'_{r+2}}{m'_{r+2}} < \frac{c'_{r+1}}{p-1}$ .

Now construct, as in the construction of (4.7), using Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 and Remark 4.3 and these values of  $m_{r+2}$  and  $q_{r+2}$ ,



so that  $R_{r+2} \to S_{r+2}$  is of type 1 and  $S_{r+2} \to T_{r+2}$  is of type 2. By Remark 4.2, we obtain expressions (4.2) and (4.3) for r+2.

By induction, we construct the diagram (4.1).

Let  $A = R_0$  and  $C = T_0$ . We will show that strong local monomialization doesn't hold above  $A \to C$  along  $\mu$ . Suppose that  $R' \to T'$  has a strongly monomial form above  $A \to C$ . Then R' has regular parameters u', v' and T' has regular parameters z', w' such that  $u' = \lambda(z')^m$  and v' = w' where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $\lambda$  is a unit in T'. We will show that this cannot occur. There exists a commutative diagram



for some s. The ring T' has regular parameters  $\overline{z}, \overline{w}$  such that

$$z_s = \bar{z}^a \bar{w}^b, w_s = \bar{z}^c \bar{w}^d \tag{4.9}$$

for some  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $ad - bc = \pm 1$ , and R' has regular parameters  $\overline{u}, \overline{v}$  such that  $u_s = \overline{u}^{\overline{a}} \overline{v}^{\overline{b}}, v_s = \overline{u}^{\overline{c}} \overline{v}^{\overline{d}}$ , where  $\overline{a}\overline{d} - \overline{b}\overline{c} = \pm 1$ . We have an expression

$$u_s = \alpha z_s^p, v_s = \beta w_s^p + \Omega \tag{4.10}$$

- 931 -

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are units in  $T_s$  and where

$$\Omega = \varepsilon z_s^{pc_s} w_s + M \tag{4.11}$$

or

$$\Omega = \varepsilon z_s^{c'_s} w_s + M \tag{4.12}$$

where  $\varepsilon \in T_s$  is a unit and M is a sum of monomials in  $z_s, w_s$  of high order in  $z_s$ . Further,  $\mu(w_s^p) < \mu(z_s^{pc_s}w_s)$  in (4.11) and  $\mu(w_s^p) < \mu(z_s^{c'_s}w_s)$  in (4.12).

In particular,  $R_s \rightarrow T_s$  is not a strongly monomial form.

Substituting (4.9) into  $u_s$  and  $v_s$  in (4.10), we have

$$u_s = \alpha \bar{z}^{ap} \bar{w}^{bp}, v_s = \beta \bar{z}^{cp} \bar{w}^{dp} + \Omega.$$
(4.13)

We necessarily have that  $u_s|v_s$  or  $v_s|u_s$  in T'.

First suppose that  $c \ge a$  and  $d \ge b$ . Then we have that

$$u_s = \alpha \overline{z}^{ap} \overline{w}^{bp}, \frac{v_s}{u_s} = \beta \overline{z}^{(c-a)p} \overline{w}^{(d-b)p} + \frac{\Omega}{\alpha \overline{z}^{ap} \overline{w}^{bp}}$$

giving an expression of the form (4.13). We will show that this is not a strongly monomial form. If it is, then we must have that a = 0 or b = 0 so that either

$$z_s = \overline{w}, w_s = \overline{z}\overline{w}^d \tag{4.14}$$

or

$$z_s = \bar{z}, w_s = \bar{z}^c \bar{w} \tag{4.15}$$

and we must have that  $\frac{\Omega}{u_s}$  is part of a regular system of parameters in T'. Substituting into (4.11) or (4.12), we see that this cannot occur except possibly in the case that (4.12) holds and  $\frac{z_s^{c'_s}w_s}{u_s}$  is part of a regular system of parameters in T'.

Suppose that (4.12) and (4.14) hold with

$$\frac{z_s^{c'_s}w_s}{u_s} = \frac{\overline{w}^{c'_s+d}\overline{z}}{\alpha\overline{w}^p}$$

being part of a regular system of parameters in T'. Now in this case,  $\mu(w_s) > \mu(z_s)$  and  $\mu(w_s^p) < \mu(z_s^{c'_s}w_s)$  so  $p \leq c'_s$ . Thus  $\frac{\overline{w}^{c'_s+d}\overline{z}}{\alpha\overline{w}^p}$  cannot be part of a regular system of parameters in T'. A similar argument shows that we do not obtain a strongly monomial form when (4.12) and (4.15) hold.

Suppose that c < a and d < b. Then we have expressions

$$v_s = \gamma \overline{z}^{cp} \overline{w}^{dp}, \frac{u_s}{v_s} = \alpha \gamma^{-1} \overline{z}^{(a-c)p} \overline{w}^{(b-d)p}$$

where  $\gamma \in T'$  is a unit, giving an expression of the form of (4.13), which is not strongly monomial. Thus we reduce to the case where (c-a)(d-b) < 0. Counterexample to strong local monomialization

We then have that  $u_s \not| v_s$  since  $u_s \not| \overline{z}^{cp} \overline{w}^{dp}$ . Suppose that  $v_s | u_s$ . Then  $v_s = \lambda \overline{z}^{cp} \overline{w}^{dp}$  where  $\lambda$  is a unit in T'. But this is impossible since (c-a)(d-b) < 0. Thus  $R' \to T'$  has a form (4.13) with a, b, c, d > 0 and so cannot be a strongly monomial form. We have established that strong local monomialization along  $\mu$  does not hold above  $A \to C$ .

It remains to show that L/K and M/L have independent defect.

From Theorem 3.2, we have that

$$\left(\frac{c_{r+1}}{p-1}\right)\frac{1}{m_1\cdots m_{r+1}} = \left(\frac{c_r}{p-1}\right)\frac{1}{m_1\cdots m_r} - \frac{q_{r+1}}{m_{r+1}}\left(\frac{1}{m_1\cdots m_r}\right) + \frac{1}{m_1\cdots m_{r+1}}.$$
 (4.16)

Then from Theorem 3.3, we have that

$$\frac{c_{r+2}}{p-1} = \left(\frac{c_{r+1}}{p-1}\right)m_{r+2} - m_{r+2},$$

and so

$$\left(\frac{c_{r+2}}{p-1}\right)\frac{1}{m_1\cdots m_{r+2}} = \left(\frac{c_r}{p-1}\right)\frac{1}{m_1\cdots m_r} - \frac{q_{r+1}}{m_1\cdots m_{r+1}}.$$
 (4.17)

By equation (4.6) we have

$$\frac{1}{2^{r+1}} > \left(\frac{c_r}{p-1}\right) \frac{1}{m_1 \cdots m_r} - \left(\frac{q_{r+1}}{m_{r+1}}\right) \frac{1}{m_1 \cdots m_r} > 0.$$
(4.18)

By Theorem 3.2,

$$\left(\frac{c'_{r+2}}{p-1}\right)\frac{1}{m'_1\cdots m'_{r+2}} = \left(\frac{c'_{r+1}}{p-1}\right)\frac{1}{m'_1\cdots m'_{r+1}} - \frac{q'_{r+2}}{m'_1\cdots m'_{r+2}} + \frac{1}{m'_1\cdots m'_{r+2}}$$
and by Theorem 2.2

and by Theorem 3.3,

$$\frac{c'_{r+3}}{p-1} = \left(\frac{c'_{r+2}}{p-1}\right)m'_{r+3} - m'_{r+3}.$$

We thus have that

$$\left(\frac{c'_{r+3}}{p-1}\right)\frac{1}{m'_1\cdots m'_{r+3}} = \left(\frac{c'_{r+1}}{p-1}\right)\frac{1}{m'_1\cdots m'_{r+1}} - \frac{q'_{r+2}}{m'_1\cdots m'_{r+2}}.$$
 (4.19)

Equation (4.8) implies

$$\frac{1}{2^{r+2}} > \left(\frac{c'_{r+1}}{p-1}\right) \frac{1}{m'_1 \cdots m'_{r+1}} - \frac{q'_{r+2}}{m'_1 \cdots m'_{r+2}} > 0.$$
(4.20)

– 933 –

#### Steven Dale Cutkosky

Now  $J(S_i/R_i) = (x_i^{c_i})$  and  $x_0 = x_i^{m_1 \cdots m_i}$  so  $\omega(J(S_i/R_i)) = \frac{c_i}{m_1 \cdots m_i} \omega(x_0)$ and thus by Proposition 3.4, (4.17) and (4.18), we have that

$$-\operatorname{dist}(\omega/\nu) = \frac{1}{p-1} \inf_{i} \{\omega(J(S_i/R_i))\} = 0.$$

Thus L/K has independent defect.

We have that  $J(T_i/S_i) = (z_i^{c'_i})$  and  $z_0 = z_i^{m'_1 \cdots m'_i}$  so  $\omega(J(T_i/S_i)) = \frac{c'_i}{m'_1 \cdots m'_i} \omega(z_0)$  and thus by Proposition 3.4, (4.19) and (4.20), we have that

$$-\operatorname{dist}(\mu/\omega) = \frac{1}{p-1} \inf_{i} \{\omega(J(T_i/S_i))\} = 0.$$

Thus M/L has independent defect.

#### Bibliography

- S. ABHYANKAR, "On the valuations centered in a local domain", Am. J. Math. 78 (1956), p. 321-348.
- S. D. CUTKOSKY, Local factorization and monomialization of morphisms, Astérisque, vol. 260, Société Mathématique de France, 1999, 149 pages.
- [3] —, Monomialization of Morphisms from 3 Folds to Surfaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1786, Springer, 2002, v+235 pages.
- [4] —, "Counterexamples to local monomialization in positive characteristic", Math. Ann. 362 (2015), no. 1-2, p. 321-334.
- [5] , "The role of defect and splitting in finite generation of extensions of associated graded rings along a valuation", Algebra Number Theory 11 (2017), no. 6, p. 1461-1488.
- [6] —, "Erratic birational behavior of mappings in positive characteristic", Math. Nachr. 296 (2023), no. 11, p. 5123-5156.
- [7] S. D. CUTKOSKY, H. MOURTADA & B. TEISSIER, "On the construction of valuations and generating sequences", Algebr. Geom. 8 (2021), no. 6, p. 705-748.
- [8] S. D. CUTKOSKY & O. PILTANT, "Ramification of Valuations", Adv. Math. 183 (2004), no. 1, p. 1-79.
- [9] S. D. CUTKOSKY & P. A. VINH, "Valuation semigroups of two dimensional local rings", Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 108 (2014), no. 2, p. 350-384.
- [10] S. ELHITTI & L. GHEZZI, "Dependent Artin-Schreier defect extensions and strong monomialization", J. Pure Appl. Algebra 220 (2016), no. 4, p. 1331-1342.
- [11] O. ENDLER, Valuation Theory, Universitext, Springer, 1972, xii+243 pages.
- [12] F.-V. KUHLMANN, "Valuation theoretic and model theoretic aspects of local uniformization", in *Resolution of singularities. A research textbook in tribute to Oscar Zariski*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 181, Birkhäuser, 2000, p. 381-456.
- [13] —, "A classification of Artin Schreier defect extensions and characterizations of defectless fields", *Ill. J. Math.* 54 (2010), no. 2, p. 397-448.
- [14] F.-V. KUHLMANN & O. PILTANT, "Higher ramification groups for Artin-Schreier defect extensions", 2012, manuscript.
- [15] F.-V. KUHLMANN & A. RZEPKA, "The valuation theory of deeply ramified fields and its connection with defect extensions", *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **376** (2023), no. 4, p. 2693-2738.

- [16] J. NOVACOSKI & M. SPIVAKOVSKY, "Key polynomials and pseudo convergent sequences", J. Algebra 495 (2018), p. 199-219.
- [17] O. PILTANT, "On the Jung method in positive characteristic", Ann. Inst. Fourier 53 (2003), no. 4, p. 1237-1258, Proceedings of the International Conference in Honor of Frédéric Pham.
- [18] M. SPIVAKOVSKY, "Valuations in function fields of surfaces", Am. J. Math. 112 (1990), no. 1, p. 107-156.
- [19] M. VAQUIÉ, "Famille admissible de valuations et défaut d'une extension", J. Algebra 311 (2007), no. 2, p. 859-876.
- [20] O. ZARISKI & P. SAMUEL, Commutative algebra. Vol. I, The University Series in Higher Mathematics, D. van Nostrand Company, 1958, xi+329 pages.
- [21] —, Commutative algebra. Vol. II, The University Series in Higher Mathematics, D. van Nostrand Company, 1960, x+414 pages.