

Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse

MATHÉMATIQUES

MATILDE MANZAROLI

Obstructions for the existence of separating morphisms and totally real pencils

Tome XXXIII, nº 5 (2024), p. 1233–1250.

https://doi.org/10.5802/afst.1798

© les auteurs, 2024.

Les articles des *Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse* sont mis à disposition sous la license Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/





Publication membre du centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte http://www.centre-mersenne.org/ e-ISSN: 2258-7519

Matilde Manzaroli⁽¹⁾

ABSTRACT. — It goes back to Ahlfors that a real algebraic curve C admits a separating morphism f to the complex projective line if and only if the real part of the curve disconnects its complex part, i.e. the curve is *separating*. The degree of such f is bounded from below by the number l of real connected components of $\mathbb{R}C$. The sharpness of this bound is not a priori clear. We prove that real algebraic separating curves, embedded in some ambient surface and with l bounded in a certain way, do not admit separating morphisms of lowest possible degree. Moreover, this result of non-existence can be applied to show that certain real separating plane curves of degree d, do not admit totally real pencils of curves of degree k such that $kd \leq l$.

RÉSUMÉ. — Il remonte à Ahlfors qu'une courbe algébrique réelle C admet un morphisme séparant f à la droite complexe projective si et seulement si la partie réelle de la courbe déconnecte sa partie complexe, i.e. la courbe est *séparante*. Le degré d'un tel f est borné par en dessous par le nombre de composantes connexes réelles de $\mathbb{R}C$. L'optimalité de cette borne n'est pas claire a priori. Nous prouvons que les courbes algébriques réelles séparantes, plongées dans une surface ambiante et avec l borné d'une certaine manière, n'admettent pas de morphismes séparants de degré le plus petit possible. De plus, ce résultat de non-existence peut être appliqué pour montrer que certaines courbes réelles séparantes planes de degré d, n'admettent pas de pinceaux de courbes de degré k totalement réels tels que $kd \leq l$.

1. Introduction

A real algebraic variety is a compact complex algebraic variety X equipped with an anti-holomorphic involution $\sigma : X \to X$, called *real structure*. The real part $\mathbb{R}X$ of X is the set of points fixed by the involution σ .

Let C be any non-singular real algebraic curve. Denote by C_1, \ldots, C_l the connected components of $\mathbb{R}C$. Harnack–Klein's inequality [9, 11] bounds l

 $^{^{(\}ast)}$ Reçu le 15 décembre 2022, accepté le 12 septembre 2023.

⁽¹⁾ Universität Tübingen, Germany — matilde.manzaroli'at'uni-tuebingen.de Article proposé par Vincent Guedj.

by the genus g of C plus one. If $C \setminus \mathbb{R}C$ is connected, we say that C is of type I or non-separating, otherwise of type I or separating. Looking at the real part of the curve and its position with respect to its complexification gives information about l and vice versa. For example, we know that if l equals g + 1, i.e. C is maximal, then C is of type I. Or, if C is of type I then l has the parity of g + 1. Rokhlin promoted and contributed to this new point of view; an example of Rokhlin's contribution is the introduction and the study of the complex orientations of a separating real algebraic curve [25]. Namely, if C is of type I, the two halves of $C \setminus \mathbb{R}C$ induce two opposite orientations of separating real curves embedded in some ambient surface has allowed a change of prospective and a remarkable progress in the study of their topology and a refinement of their classifications.

DEFINITION 1.1. — We say that a real morphism f from a real algebraic curve C to the complex projective line \mathbb{CP}^1 is separating if $f^{-1}(\mathbb{RP}^1) = \mathbb{R}C$.

According to Ahlfors [1, §4.2], there exists a separating morphism $f : C \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ if and only if C is separating. In this paper, we focus on the relation between the topology of a real separating curve and the existence of separating morphisms of given degree.

1.1. Organisation of the paper

Before stating the main results of this paper, Theorems 1.12 and 1.14, we present them restricted to the case of real algebraic plane projective curves in Section 1.2 (Proposition 1.2). In Section 1.3, we present known results and generalities of separating morphisms. In Section 1.4, we focus on real plane curves admitting totally real pencils (Corollary 1.6 and Proposition 1.8). Afterwards, Theorems 1.12 and 1.14 are stated in Section 1.5 and proved in Section 2.1. Finally, in Section 2.2, we present some examples, applications of the main results and we prove Proposition 1.8.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Mario Kummer, Stepan Orevkov and Kris Shaw for their interest in this paper; the referee for useful suggestions and comments; Erwan Brugallé and Hannah Markwig for remarks and advice on a preliminary version of the paper. Thanks to Athene Grant.

1.2. Real plane curves

Let us consider a real algebraic separating plane projective curve C. The following proposition shows that there is a relation between deg C, the number of connected components of $\mathbb{R}C$ and the existence of separating morphisms $f: C \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ of a certain degree.

PROPOSITION 1.2 (Particular case of Theorems 1.12 and 1.14). — Let C be a non-singular real algebraic plane projective curve of type I and with l real connected components. Assume one of the following:

(1) The degree of C is
$$2s + 1$$
, for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and

$$1 - \varepsilon + \frac{s^2 - 5s + 4}{2} < \left\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \right\rfloor < \frac{s^2 + s - 2}{2},$$

where $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $l \equiv \varepsilon \mod 2$. (2) The degree of C is 2s, for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$ and $\max\left(0, 1 - \varepsilon + \frac{s^2 - 7s + 10}{2}\right) < \left\lfloor \frac{l-1}{2} \right\rfloor < \frac{s^2 - s - 2}{2},$ where $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $l - 1 \equiv \varepsilon \mod 2$.

Then C admits no separating morphisms of degree l.

Proposition 1.2 falls within the line of results relating topology, complex orientations and properties of separating plane curves, such as Rokhlin's complex orientations formula ([20, 25]), and such as [24, Theorem 1.1], where Orevkov shows that there are finer relations for the numbers which intervene in the complex orientations formula.

As corollaries of Proposition 1.2, one can find obstructions for the existence of totally real pencils of curves of a certain degree for given separating plane curves; see Section 1.4.

1.3. Generalities of separating morphisms

A separating morphism $f: C \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ is always unramified once restricted to $\mathbb{R}C$; see [13, Theorem 2.19]. Therefore, the restriction of f to each connected component of $\mathbb{R}C$ is a covering map of \mathbb{RP}^1 . This implies that the degree of a separating morphism is at least as big as the number of connected components of $\mathbb{R}C$. Actually, the definition of separating morphism is more general and includes real morphisms between any real algebraic varieties of same dimension. In order to a have a general idea of the subject, we refer the interested reader to [12, 13]. In the context of this paper, we only need Definition 1.1.

In [2, 3, 7, 10, 14, 23], properties of separating morphisms and their existence are treated. For example, [7, Theorem 7.1] states that a genus g real separating curve with l real connected components admits a separating morphism of degree at most $\frac{g+l+1}{2}$. Later, Coppens, in [2], constructs, for every value h between l, the minimum for the degree of a separating morphism, and $\frac{g+l+1}{2}$, a separating curve C of genus g and with l real connected components such that h is the smallest possible degree of a separating morphism of C. In [14], the authors fix a separating curve C and study all separating morphisms of C as follows. Let $\mathbb{R}C$ consist of l connected components C_1, \ldots, C_l . Set $d_i(f) \in \mathbb{N}$ the degree of the covering map $f|_{C_i}: C_i \to \mathbb{RP}^1$ and set $d(f) := (d_1(f), \ldots, d_l(f))$. Let us denote by $t := \sum_{i=1}^l d_i(f)$ the degree of f. The set $\operatorname{Sep}(C)$ of all such degree partitions forms a semigroup, called *separating semigroup*, and for all elements $d \in \operatorname{Sep}(C)$, satisfying certain conditions, it is shown that $d + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^l$ is also contained in $\operatorname{Sep}(C)$. So, in certain cases, in order to understand $\operatorname{Sep}(C)$ for a given separating curve C, it is important to understand which minimal possible element $\operatorname{Sep}(C)$

Remark 1.3. — Thanks to Harnack–Klein inequality, any real curve of genus g cannot have more than g + 1 real connected components. By Riemann–Roch theorem, all non-singular real curves with l = g + 1 real connected components admit a separating morphism of degree l. But, whenever l < g + 1, it is not a priori clear whether a separating morphism of degree l exists.

1.4. Obstruction for the existence of totally real pencils

In this section, we see how obstructions for the existence of separating morphisms may lead to obstructions for the existence of totally real pencils.

DEFINITION 1.4. — Let C be a non-singular real algebraic plane projective curve of type I. We say that C admits a totally real pencil of curves of degree k if there exists an integer k such that there are $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]_k$ such that $V(f, g) \cap C = \emptyset$ and $V(\lambda f + \mu g) \cap C$ consists of real points only for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ not both zero.

Kummer and Shaw, in [14], also prove that for all real separating curves embedded in the complex projective plane, there exist infinitely many totally real pencils of curves.

THEOREM 1.5 ([14, Theorem 1.6]). — Let C be a non-singular real algebraic plane projective curve of type I. Then, there exists a positive integer k such that the curve C admits a totally real pencil of curves of degree k', for all $k' \ge k$.

In [14, Question 3.6], the authors wonder which may be the minimal possible value of k in Theorem 1.5. An immediate corollary of Proposition 1.2 gives a lower bound for k, as follows.

COROLLARY 1.6. — Let C be a non-singular degree d real algebraic plane projective curve of type I and with l real connected components. Assume that C satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 1.2. Then C admits no totally real pencil of curves of degree k such that $kd \leq l$.

Corollary 1.6 gives obstructions for the existence of certain totally real pencils of curves, but we do not know yet how to compute the minimal value for k of Theorem 1.5.

Remark 1.7. — As remarked in [14, Remark 3.5], according to [1, §4.2], real separating plane curves admit separating morphisms (whose degree is a priori unknown). Hence, there exists an integer k such that there are $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]_k$ such that $V(\lambda f + \mu g) \cap C$ consists of real points only for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ not both zero. The difference with Definition 1.4 is that $V(f, g) \cap C$ may be non-empty. Analogously, [7, Theorem 1.7] implies the existence of separating morphisms of degree between l and $\frac{g+l+1}{2}$ and, once again, this does not imply that a given real separating plane curve of genus g and with l real connected components, admits totally real pencils of curves of a certain degree depending on l and g, in the sense of Definition 1.4.

In order to have examples of separating real curves for which Corollary 1.6 holds, we prove the following.

PROPOSITION 1.8. — For all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 5}$ and for all $l \geq \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil$ such that l has the parity of $\lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil$ and is bounded as in Proposition 1.2, there exists a non-singular real plane projective curve B_d of degree d, of type I and with l real connected components. Then, by Corollary 1.6, the curve B_d admits no totally real pencils of curves of degree k such that $k \leq \frac{l}{d}$.

Remark that, in order to prove Proposition 1.8, it is enough to construct real plane separating curves satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 1.2. This is done in Proposition 2.4; see Section 2.2.

The Brill–Noether theorem implies that there exist real algebraic separating curves of genus g, which admit no separating morphisms of degree less than $\lfloor \frac{g+3}{2} \rfloor$. On the other hand, Proposition 1.8 implies the existence of

real separating plane curves C of degree 2s + 1, which admit no separating morphisms of degree less or equal to $l = s^2 + n$, where l is the number of connected components of $\mathbb{R}C$ and n is a non-negative integer such that

$$\begin{cases} n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{2s^2 - s + 3 - \varepsilon}{2} < s^2 + n < s^2 + s - 2 + \varepsilon, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

with $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $\varepsilon \equiv s + 1 \mod 2$. It follows that, since $s^2 + n > \lfloor \frac{g(C)+3}{2} \rfloor = \frac{2s^2-s+3-\varepsilon}{2}$, the existence of a real separating curve admitting no separating morphisms of degree less or equal to $s^2 + n$ cannot be proved directly via Brill–Noether theory.

1.5. Statement of the main results

In this paper, we consider real separating curves embedded in some ambient real surface and focus on their separating morphisms. The key tools of our approach are the fact that all separating curves come equipped with two possible opposite complex orientations and the use of [24, Theorem 3.2] as shown in [24, Example 3.3].

THEOREM 1.9 ([24, Theorem 3.2]). — Let X be a smooth real algebraic surface and $C \subset X$ a non-singular real algebraic separating curve. Let D be a real divisor belonging to the linear system $|C + K_X|$. Assume that D has not C as a component. We may always write $D = 2D_0 + D_1$ with D_1 a reduced curve and D_0 an effective divisor. Let us fix a complex orientation on $\mathbb{R}C$ and an orientation \mathfrak{O} on $\mathbb{R}X \setminus (\mathbb{R}C \cup \mathbb{R}D_1)$ which changes each time we cross $\mathbb{R}C \cup \mathbb{R}D_1$ at its smooth points. The latter orientation induces a boundary orientation on $\mathbb{R}C \setminus (\mathbb{R}C \cap D_1)$. Let $f : C \to \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ be a separating morphism. Then it is impossible that, for some $p \in \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1$, the set $f^{-1}(p) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(D)$ is non-empty and the two orientations coincide at each point of the set.

In [24], Orevkov shows interesting applications of Theorem 1.9, such as [24, Theorem 1.1] and the construction of complex schemes (i.e. real schemes endowed with an orientation) in the real projective plane which are realisable by real pseudoholomorphic separating plane curves of odd degree and not realisable by real algebraic separating plane curves of same degree [24, Proposition 1.5]. Moreover, other applications of [24, Theorem 3.2] are presented via examples. Now, let us introduce Set-up 1.10 and state the main results, Theorems 1.12 and 1.14.

SETUP 1.10. — Let X be a smooth real algebraic surface and $C \subset X$ a non-singular real algebraic separating curve. Assume that

(1) the surface X has holomorphic Euler characteristic of the trivial bundle $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) \ge 1$;

$$(2) \ (-K_X)^2 \ge 0;$$

(3) $-K_X D \ge 0$ for all effective divisors D.

Let D be a real divisor belonging to the linear system $|C + K_X|$. Assume that D has not C as a component. Fix a decomposition $2D_0 + D_1$ of the divisor D with the following properties. The divisor D_0 is effective and D_1 is a reduced curve such that $\frac{D_0^2 - D_0 \cdot K_X}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is maximised; see Example 1.11.

Example 1.11. — Let C be a non-singular real algebraic curve of degree 2s in \mathbb{CP}^2 . Then \mathbb{CP}^2 satisfies (1), (2) and (3) of Set-up 1.10 and, if $s \ge 2$, the divisor D_0 realises the class of a plane curve of degree s - 2 and D_1 is a line in \mathbb{CP}^2 .

THEOREM 1.12. — Assume Setup 1.10. Denote with l the number of connected components of $\mathbb{R}C$. Then, if

$$D_1 = \emptyset; \tag{4}$$

$$-K_X . D_0 > 0; \tag{5}$$

$$1 - \varepsilon + \frac{D_0^2 + D_0 K_X}{2} < \left\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \right\rfloor < \frac{D_0^2 - D_0 K_X}{2},\tag{6}$$

where $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $\varepsilon \equiv l \mod 2$; there are no separating morphisms $f: C \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ of degree l.

Remark 1.13. — Theorem 1.12 implies Proposition 1.2(1). In fact, the complex projective plane and any non-singular real plane separating curve of odd degree $d \ge 5$, satisfying the bound of Proposition 1.2(1), satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.12. Remark that D_0 realises the class of a plane curve of degree $\frac{d-3}{2}$.

In the following, we are going to extend Theorem 1.12 to separating curves in X for which D_1 is not empty. In this context, in order to show that there are no separating morphisms $f: C \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ of degree l, one needs to impose stricter bounds on the number l of connected components of $\mathbb{R}C$.

THEOREM 1.14. — Assume Setup 1.10. Denote with l the number of connected components of $\mathbb{R}C$. Assume that $D_1 \neq \emptyset$. Set $m = \min\left(\frac{D_1^2 - D_1 K_X}{2} - 1, l - 1\right)$.

Then, if

$$-K_X \cdot D_1 > 0; \tag{4}$$

$$D_1^2 < l; (5)$$

$$-K_X . D_0 > 0; (6)$$

$$\max\left(\left\lfloor \frac{D_1^2 - m}{2}\right\rfloor, 1 - \varepsilon + \frac{D_0^2 + D_0 K_X}{2}\right) < \left\lfloor \frac{l - m}{2} \right\rfloor < \frac{D_0^2 - D_0 K_X}{2}, \quad (7)$$

where $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}$ such that $\varepsilon \equiv l - m \mod 2$, there are no separating morphisms $f: C \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ of degree l.

Remark 1.15. — Remark that the decomposition of the divisor D, fixed in Setup 1.10, allows one to maximise the bounds for l in Theorems 1.12 and 1.14.

As a corollary of Theorems 1.12 and 1.14, we prove Proposition 1.2.

Proof of Proposition 1.2. — Proposition 1.2(1) is proven in Remark 1.13. So, let C be a non-singular real algebraic plane curve as in Proposition 1.2(2). Then, this curve C satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.14. Indeed, the divisor D_0 realises the class of a plane curve of degree s - 2 and D_1 is a line. The number $m = \min(1, l - 1)$ must be one because Rokhlin's complex orientations formula ([25]) bounds l to be bigger or equal to s. It follows that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.14 apply to $C \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$.

2. Theorems 1.12 and 1.14 and applications

Section 2.1 is uniquely devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.12 and Theorem 1.14. Afterwards, in Section 2.2, we present examples, applications of Theorems 1.12 and 1.14, and we prove Proposition 1.8.

2.1. Proof of Theorems 1.12 and 1.14

Proof of Theorem 1.12. — Denote by C_i the connected components of $\mathbb{R}C$ where $i = 1, \ldots l$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that there exists a separating morphism $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree l. Then, for every $p \in \mathbb{RP}^1$, the set $f^{-1}(p) = \{p_1, \ldots, p_l\}$ is a collection of l real points such that every C_i contains exactly one p_i . The positive integer $\frac{D_0^2 - D_0 \cdot K_X}{2}$ is always less or

equal than the dimension of the linear system of curves in X of class D_0 . To prove the latter is enough to use Riemann–Roch theorem

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(D_0)) - \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{L}(D_0)) + \dim H^2(X, \mathcal{L}(D_0))$$
$$= \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) + \frac{D_0^2 - D_0 K_X}{2}$$

and the hypotheses of Theorem 1.12. In fact, hypothesis (1) implies that

dim
$$H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(D_0))$$
 + dim $H^2(X, \mathcal{L}(D_0)) \ge 1 + \frac{D_0^2 - D_0 \cdot K_X}{2};$

hypotheses (2), (5) imply that $-K_X.(K_X - D_0) < 0$. Then, hypothesis (3) implies that $K_X - D_0$ is non-effective and, therefore, the dimension of $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(K_X - D_0))$ is zero. Finally $H^2(X, \mathcal{L}(D_0)) \simeq \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(K_X - D_0))$ by Serre duality.

It follows that, fixed a configuration of $\frac{D_0^2 - D_0 \cdot K_X}{2}$ points, there always exists at least one curve of class D_0 passing through the configuration.

Let us fix an orientation on $\mathbb{R}X \setminus \mathbb{R}C$ which changes each time we cross $\mathbb{R}C$. The latter orientation induces a boundary orientation \mathfrak{O} on $\mathbb{R}C$. Fix some p in $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1$.

Define Y_p (resp. NY_p) as the set of points in $f^{-1}(p) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(D)$ on which the complex orientation and the orientation \mathfrak{O} agree (resp. do not agree). Remark that Theorem 1.9 tells us that if the set $f^{-1}(p) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(D)$ is nonempty, one has that Y_p and NY_p are both non-empty. In the following, we are going to use Theorem 1.9 to get a contradiction.

Fix one of the two complex orientations on $\mathbb{R}C$. Then, remark that either the number V_p^1 of p_i 's where the complex orientation and the orientation \mathfrak{O} agree, or the number V_p^2 of the p_i 's where the two orientations do not agree, does not exceed $\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor$. Given a collection \mathcal{P} of $\frac{D_0^2 - D_0 \cdot K_X}{2}$ real points in X, there exists a curve A of class D_0 passing through \mathcal{P} . By hypothesis $\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor < \frac{D_0^2 - D_0 \cdot K_X}{2}$, therefore it is possible to choose such a collection \mathcal{P} so that it contains at least $\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor$ points of $f^{-1}(p)$ belonging to V_p^j , for an opportune $j \in \{1, 2\}$. It follows that, if the set $f^{-1}(p) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(D)$ is non-empty, either NY_p or Y_p is empty, which contradicts Theorem 1.9. It remains to show that $f^{-1}(p) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(D)$ is non-empty. Assume that for all configurations \mathcal{P} of

$$\frac{D_0^2 - D_0 K_X}{2} = \left\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \right\rfloor + h$$

points, containing at least $\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor$ points of $f^{-1}(p)$ belonging to V_p^j , for an opportune $j \in \{1, 2\}$, every curve A of class D_0 passing through \mathcal{P} contains

 $f^{-1}(p)$. Since h > 0, it follows that there exist at least two distinct curves A, \tilde{A} of class D_0 intersecting in at least l + h - 1 points. On the other hand

$$D_0^2 < l+h-1 = \frac{D_0^2 - D_0 \cdot K_X}{2} + \left\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \right\rfloor + \varepsilon - 1$$

because of hypothesis (6) and, therefore, we obtain a contradiction.

Let us prove Theorem 1.14 via a strategy analogous to that explained in the proof of Theorem 1.12, minding the role played by D_1 ; see Setup 1.10.

Proof of Theorem 1.14. — Denote by C_i the connected components of $\mathbb{R}C$ where $i = 1, \ldots, l$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that there exists a separating morphism $f: C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree l. Let us fix an orientation on $\mathbb{R}X \setminus (\mathbb{R}C \cup \mathbb{R}D_1)$ which changes each time we cross $\mathbb{R}C \cup \mathbb{R}D_1$ at its smooth points; see [24, Proof of Theorem 3.2] for details. The latter orientation induces a boundary orientation \mathfrak{O} on $\mathbb{R}C$. Moreover, fix some p in $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1$ and denote with $p_i \in C_i$ the points belonging to $f^{-1}(p)$. Analogously to the proof of Theorem 1.12, thanks to hypotheses (1)–(4) and Riemann–Roch theorem, one can pick D_1 as the curve passing through a given collection $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$ of $\frac{D_1^2 - D_1 K_X}{2}$ points contained in $m = \min(\frac{D_1^2 - D_1 K_X}{2} - 1, l - 1)$ connected components C_{j_1}, \ldots, C_{j_m} . Moreover, choose the collection $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$ such that it contains the points p_{j_1}, \ldots, p_{j_m} of $f^{-1}(p)$. Remark that D_1 cannot contain all points of $f^{-1}(p)$ because of hypothesis (5). It may happen that D_1 contains more than m points among those of $f^{-1}(p)$, nevertheless, in general, we do not have control on that.

As in the proof of Theorem 1.12, define Y_p and NY_p . Fix one of the two complex orientations on $\mathbb{R}C$. Then, remark that either the number V_p^1 of p_i 's contained in $\mathbb{R}C \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m C_{j_i}$, where the complex orientation and the orientation \mathfrak{O} agree, or the number V_p^2 of the p_i 's in $\mathbb{R}C \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m C_{j_i}$, where the two orientations do not agree, does not exceed $\lfloor \frac{l-m}{2} \rfloor$. Therefore, we pick a collection \mathcal{P} of $\frac{D_0^2 - D_0 \cdot K_X}{2}$ real points such that these include the points of V_p^j , for an opportune $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Once again, thanks to the same argument used in the proof of Theorem 1.12, the set $f^{-1}(p) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(D)$ is non-empty, and either NY_p or Y_p is empty, which contradicts Theorem 1.9.

2.2. Examples and Applications

First, let us introduce some notation and terminology for real curves in the real projective plane. The real locus of a non-singular real plane curve is homeomorphic to a disjoint union of circles embedded in \mathbb{RP}^2 . Each circle can be embedded in \mathbb{RP}^2 in two different ways: if it realises the trivial-class

in $H_1(\mathbb{RP}^2; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, it is called *oval*, otherwise it is called *pseudoline*. If a non-singular real plane curve has even degree then its real locus consists of ovals only; otherwise of exactly one pseudoline and ovals.

An oval in \mathbb{RP}^2 separates two disjoint non-homeomorphic connected components: the connected component homeomorphic to a disk is called *interior* of the oval; the other one is called *exterior* of the oval. For each pair of ovals, if one is in the interior of the other we speak about an *injective pair*, otherwise a *non-injective pair*.

DEFINITION 2.1. — Let $A \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$ be a non-singular real algebraic curve. We say that A has real scheme S if the pair $(\mathbb{RP}^2, \mathbb{R}A)$ realises the topological type S, up to homeomorphism of \mathbb{RP}^2 .

Let us consider real separating curves in the complex projective plane and focus on [14, Question 3.6] (see the beginning of Section 1.4). A first known example concerns real separating plane curves of degree 2s or 2s + 1having a *nest* of maximal depth s, i.e. there are s ovals and any two ovals of the collection form an injective pair. These curves admit a totally real pencil of lines. In fact, for any fixed point q in the interior of the innermost oval of the nest, there exists a totally real pencil of lines with base point q. Some more examples can be found in [6], where for some pairs (A, S), where A is a real separating plane sextic with 9 ovals and S its real scheme in \mathbb{RP}^2 , the minimal value for k of Theorem 1.5, is shown to be equal to 3.



Figure 2.1. $(\mathbb{RP}^2, \mathbb{R}A, \mathbb{R}L)$ of Example 2.2. Double arrows denote \mathfrak{O} , simple arrows the fixed complex orientation of $\mathbb{R}A$ and \bullet the points in $f^{-1}(p)$.

Example 2.2. — Let A be a non-singular plane quintic of type I realising the real scheme with 4 ovals; see Figure 2.1. We are going to show that there exist no separating morphisms $f : A \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ of degree 5. This result does not follow directly from Proposition 1.2, but one can still use Theorem 1.9 in order to prove the statement.

For the sake of contradiction assume that such an f exists. Fix $p \in \mathbb{RP}^1$. Let us use the notation in Setup 1.10. The divisor D_0 realises the class of a line. Fix an orientation \mathfrak{O} that changes each time we cross $\mathbb{R}A$. Moreover, choose one of the two complex orientations of $\mathbb{R}A$. Let a (resp. b) be the number of connected components of $\mathbb{R}A$ where the two orientations coincide (resp. are opposite). Since a + b = 5, we have $a \leq 2$ or $b \leq 2$. Up to exchange a and b (by reversing the complex orientation), we assume that $b \leq 2$ and we trace a line L through the points in $f^{-1}(p)$ belonging to these b connected components; see as a toy example Figure 2.1. Since L cannot contain all points in $f^{-1}(p)$, we get a contradiction thanks to Theorem 1.9.

In the following, we prove Proposition 2.4, which implies Proposition 1.8. The content of Proposition 2.4 is a well known fact in the study of the topology of real algebraic separating plane curves. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, there is no proof of it in the literature. Therefore, for the interested reader, we present a proof relying on a variation of Harnack's construction method [9], [17, §II.4] and [5, §2], which allows us to obtain type I curves when perturbing the union of two type I curves intersecting transversally in real points only.

THEOREM 2.3 ([5, Section 2]). — Let A_1 and A_2 be two non-singular real plane projective separating curves of degree respectively d_1 and d_2 such that they intersect transversally in d_1d_2 distinct real double points and each of them is equipped with one of its two complex orientations. Let B be a non-singular real plane curve of degree $d = d_1 + d_2$ obtained from $A_1 \cup$ A_2 by a small perturbation respecting the chosen complex orientations at every smoothing of a double point. Then B is separating and the orientation obtained on $\mathbb{R}B$ from those of $\mathbb{R}A_1 \cup \mathbb{R}A_2$ is a complex orientation of B.

PROPOSITION 2.4. — For every positive integer d and for every $\lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil \leq l_d \leq \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} + 1$, where l_d is an integer that has the parity of $\lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil$, there exists a non-singular real separating plane projective curve A of degree d such that $\mathbb{R}A$ has l_d connected components.

Proof. — In the following, whenever we consider a plane curve A, we denote its polynomial by a(x, y, z). Let us fix a real line L in \mathbb{CP}^2 . In order to prove the statement, we show that for any positive integer d and for any integer l_d satisfying the hypotheses, there exists a non-singular real plane separating curve B_d with l_d real connected components such that a unique connected component of $\mathbb{R}B_d$ intersects $\mathbb{R}L$ transversally in d points as in Figure 2.2.

Base case. — For fixed degree d = 1, 2 and 3 the only possible value for l_d is respectively 1 and 2 and any non-singular real curve B_d of one such degree d and such l_d as number of real connected components is maximal,

therefore it can be obtained directly via Harnack construction's method ([9]); in particular, the curve B_d can be constructed such that a unique connected component of $\mathbb{R}B_d$ intersects $\mathbb{R}L$ in d points.



Figure 2.2. The union of a connected component of $\mathbb{R}B_d$ and $\mathbb{R}L$. The degree of B_d is odd in Figure 2.2.1 and even in Figure 2.2.2.





Figure 2.3.4

Figure 2.3. The union of a connected component of $\mathbb{R}B_{d-1}$, the line $\mathbb{R}L$ and d real lines. The degree of B_{d-1} is odd in Figure 2.3.1–2.3.2 and even in Figure 2.3.3–2.3.4. Arrows denote a fixed complex orientation on respectively $\mathbb{R}B_{d-1}$ and $\mathbb{R}L$.

Induction step. — Assume that for degree d-1 and for any integer l_{d-1} having the parity of $\lceil \frac{d-1}{2} \rceil$ and with $\lceil \frac{d-1}{2} \rceil \leqslant l_{d-1} \leqslant \frac{(d-2)(d-3)}{2} + 1$, there exists a non-singular real plane separating curve B_{d-1} with l_{d-1} real connected components such that a unique connected component of $\mathbb{R}B_{d-1}$ intersects $\mathbb{R}L$ transversally in d-1 points as in Figure 2.2.

For any fixed pair $(d - 1, l_{d-1})$ as above, we are going to construct a non-singular real plane separating curve B_d of degree d

- (i) with $l_d = l_{d-1} + d 2$
- (ii) with $l_d = l_{d-1} + \delta$, where $\delta = d \mod 2$ and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$,

real connected components such that a unique connected component of $\mathbb{R}B_d$ intersects $\mathbb{R}L$ in d points as in Figure 2.2. Such construction will end the proof. In fact, remark that, for any given pair (d, l_d) such that $l_d \equiv \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil$ mod 2 and

$$\left\lceil \frac{d}{2} \right\rceil \leqslant l_d \leqslant \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} + 1,$$

there exists, by induction hypothesis, a non-singular real plane separating curve of degree d-1 either with $l_{d-1} = l_d - d + 2$ or $l_{d-1} = l_d - \delta$.

Let us fix a complex orientation on $\mathbb{R}L$ and $\mathbb{R}B_{d-1}$:

- as in Figure 2.3.1, respectively Figure 2.3.2, if d-1 is odd.
- as in Figure 2.3.3, respectively Figure 2.3.4, if d 1 is even.

Moreover, choose a connected component \mathcal{H} among those of $\mathbb{R}L \setminus \mathbb{R}B_{d-1}$. If d-1 is even, choose \mathcal{H} in the exterior of the oval intersecting $\mathbb{R}L$; see Figure 2.3.

Pick d real lines A_1, \ldots, A_d intersecting transversally \mathcal{H} . Then, for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$ small enough, up to a choice of the sign of ε , one can perturb $B_{d-1} \cup L$ and obtain a real separating curve B_d as zero set of the polynomial $b_{d-1}(x, y, z)l(x, y, z) + \varepsilon a_1(x, y, z) \ldots a_d(x, y, z) = 0$ and such that

- a connected component $\mathbb{R}B_d$ intersects $\mathbb{R}L$ transversally in d points as in Figure 2.4;
- with $l_d = l_{d-1} + d 2$, respectively with $l_d = l_{d-1} + \delta$, where $\delta = d \mod 2$ and $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, real connected components; see Figure 2.4.

We conclude looking at separating real curves in a different ambient surface.

Let Q be $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$, equipped with the anti-holomorphic involution $\sigma: Q \to Q$ sending (x, y) to $(\overline{y}, \overline{x})$, where $x = [x_0: x_1]$ and $y = [y_0: y_1]$ are



Figure 2.4.1: $l_d = l_{d-1}$



Figure 2.4.2: $l_d = l_{d-1} + d - 2$



d intersections

Figure 2.4.3: $l_d = l_{d-1} + d - 2$

Figure 2.4.4: $l_d = l_{d-1} + 1$

Figure 2.4. A small type I perturbation of the union of a connected component of $\mathbb{R}B_{d-1}$, the line $\mathbb{R}L$ and d real lines. The degree of B_d is even in Figure 2.4.1-2 and odd in Figure 2.4.3-4.

in \mathbb{CP}^1 and $\overline{x} = [\overline{x_0} : \overline{x_1}]$ and $\overline{y} = [\overline{y_0} : \overline{y_1}]$ are respectively the images of xand y via the standard complex conjugation on \mathbb{CP}^1 . The real part of Q is homeomorphic to a 2-sphere and Q is called *quadric ellipsoid*. A non-singular real algebraic curve A on Q is defined by a bi-homogeneous polynomial of bidegree (d, d)

$$P(x,y) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i, j \leq d}} a_{i,j} x_0^i x_1^{d-i} y_0^j y_1^{d-j} = 0,$$

where d is a positive integer and the coefficients satisfy $a_{i,j} = \overline{a_{j,i}}$. If A is separating then the number of the connected components of $\mathbb{R}A$ has the parity of d.

COROLLARY 2.5. — Let A be a non-singular real algebraic curve of type I and with l real connected components in the quadric ellipsoid Q. Assume one of the following:

(1) The bidegree of A is (2s, 2s), for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and

$$1 + s^2 - 4s + 3 < \frac{l}{2} < s^2 - 1.$$

- 1247 -

(2) The bidegree of A is (2s+1, 2s+1), for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and

$$\max(0, s^2 - 4s + 3) < \frac{l-3}{2} < s^2 - 1.$$

Then A admits no separating morphisms of degree l.

Proof. — Theorems 1.14 and 1.12 apply to real separating bidegree (d, d) curves on the quadric ellipsoid. If d = 2s + 1, the curve D_1 has bidegree (1, 1) and, therefore, the number m equals 2; otherwise, i.e. if d = 2s, the curve D_1 is empty. The divisor D_0 realises the class of a curve of bidegree (s - 1, s - 1).

Real schemes realised by non-singular real algebraic curves of bidegree (d, d) on Q are completely classified for all $d \leq 5$; see [8, 15, 18]. Moreover such classifications distinguish the cases in which a given topological type may or may not be realised by a real algebraic curve of type I.

For $d \leq 5$, we report in Table 2.1 a complete list of real schemes realised by type I real algebraic curves of bidegree (d, d), with l real connected components, where l satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 2.5. Hence, real separating curves of bidegree (d, d) realising any among such real schemes do not admit separating morphisms of degree l. In order to understand Table 2.1, let us introduce some notation. Let A be a non-singular bidegree (d, d) real curve on Q. The real connected components of A are called *ovals*. An oval in $\mathbb{R}Q$ bounds two disks; therefore, on $\mathbb{R}Q$ interior and exterior of an oval are not well defined. It follows that the encoding of real schemes on $\mathbb{R}Q$ is not well defined either and it depends on the choice of a point on $\mathbb{R}Q \setminus \mathbb{R}A$. Let $\bigsqcup_i B_i$ be a collection of ovals in $\mathbb{R}Q$. We say that the pair $(\mathbb{R}Q, \bigsqcup_i B_i)$ realises \mathcal{S} if there exists a point $p \in \mathbb{R}Q \setminus \bigsqcup_i B_i$ such that $(\mathbb{R}Q \setminus \{p\}, \bigsqcup_i B_i)$ realises S. So that, in order to encode the topology of a real scheme in $\mathbb{R}Q$, we introduce some notation to encode that of real schemes in \mathbb{R}^2 , which is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}Q$ deprived of a point. Let us call *oval* any circle embedded in \mathbb{R}^2 . Analogously to the case of \mathbb{RP}^2 , in \mathbb{R}^2 one can define interior and exterior of an oval and (non-)injective pairs for each pair of ovals; see the beginning of Section 2.2.

NOTATION 2.6. — Let us consider collections of disjoint ovals in \mathbb{R}^2 . An empty collection of ovals is denoted by $\langle 0 \rangle$. We say that a disjoint collection of l ovals realises $\langle l \rangle$ if there are no injective pairs. The symbol $\langle 1 \langle S \rangle \rangle$ denotes the disjoint union of a non-empty collection of ovals realising $\langle S \rangle$, and an oval forming an injective pair with each oval of the collection. The disjoint union of any two collections of ovals, realising respectively $\langle S' \rangle$ and $\langle S'' \rangle$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , is denoted by $\langle S' \sqcup S'' \rangle$ if none of the ovals of one collection forms an injective pair with the ovals of the other one and they are both non-empty collections.

Table 2.1. For each $d \leq 5$, this is a complete list of real schemes realised by type I real algebraic curves of bidegree (d, d), with lovals, where l satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 2.5. The symbols $l(D_0, D_1), r(D_0, D_1)$ represent the bounds respectively on the left and on the right for the number $\frac{l-j}{2}$ in (1)-(2) of Corollary 2.5, where the index j equals 0 if d is even and 3 otherwise.

d	Real schemes realised by type I curves	$l(D_0, D_1)$	$\frac{l-j}{2}$	$r(D_0, D_1)$	D_0	D_1
4	$\langle 1\langle 1\langle 1\langle 1\rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle$	0	2	3	(1,1)	
5	$\langle 1\langle 1\langle 1\langle 1\langle 1\rangle \rangle\rangle\rangle\rangle$	0	1	3	(1,1)	(1, 1)
5	$ \begin{array}{l} \langle \alpha \ \sqcup \ 1 \langle \beta \rangle \ \sqcup \ 1 \langle \gamma \rangle \rangle, \\ \text{for all } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \text{ such} \\ \text{that } \alpha = 0 \pmod{2} \text{ and} \\ \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 5 \end{array} $	0	2	3	(1,1)	(1,1)

Moreover, one can construct, in a way similar to the proof of Proposition 2.4, for every $d \ge 4$, bidegree (d, d) separating real algebraic curves on Q not admitting separating morphism of degree equal to the number of their real connected components. In fact, variations of Harnack's construction method and Rokhlin's complex orientations formula [26], [22, §1.2] are also available on Q.

Remark 2.7. — In general, other real ambient surfaces as the quadric hyperboloid, del Pezzo surfaces, Hirzebruch surfaces have been previously studied and many real topological tools are developed to investigate applications of Theorem 1.9; in order to have an idea of these settings see e.g. [4, 8, 16, 19, 21].

Bibliography

- L. V. AHLFORS, "Open Riemann surfaces and extremal problems on compact subregions", Comment. Math. Helv. 24 (1950), p. 100-134.
- [2] M. COPPENS, "The separating gonality of a separating real curve", Monatsh. Math. 170 (2013), p. 1-10.
- [3] M. COPPENS & J. HUISMAN, "Pencils on real curves", Math. Nachr. 286 (2013), no. 8-9, p. 799-816.
- [4] A. I. DEGTYAREV & V. M. KHARLAMOV, "Topological properties of real algebraic varieties: Rokhlin's way", Russ. Math. Surv. 55 (2000), no. 4, p. 735-814.
- [5] T. FIEDLER, "Eine Beschränkung für die Lage von reellen ebenen algebraischen Kurven", Beitr. Algebra Geom. 11 (1981), p. 7-19.
- [6] S. FIEDLER-LE TOUZÉ, "Totally real pencils of cubics with respect to sextics", 2013, https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.4341.

- [7] A. GABARD, "Sur la représentation conforme des surfaces de Riemann à bord et une caractérisation des courbes séparantes", *Comment. Math. Helv.* 81 (2006), no. 4, p. 945-964.
- [8] D. A. GUDKOV & E. I. SHUSTIN, "Classification of non-singular 8th order curves on ellipsoid", in *Methods of the qualitative theory of differential equations*, Gor'kov. Gos. Univ., Gorki, 1980, p. 104-107.
- [9] A. HARNACK, "Ueber vieltheiligkeit der ebenen algebraischen Curven", Math. Ann. 10 (1876), p. 189-198.
- [10] J. HUISMAN, "On the geometry of algebraic curves having many real components", *Rev. Mat. Complut.* 14 (2001), no. 1, p. 83-92.
- [11] F. KLEIN, "Über Flächen dritter Ordnung", Math. Ann. 6 (1873), p. 551-581.
- [12] M. KUMMER, C. LE TEXIER & M. MANZAROLI, "Real-Fibered Morphisms of del Pezzo Surfaces and Conic Bundles", Discrete Comput. Geom. 69 (2023), p. 849-872.
- [13] M. KUMMER & E. SHAMOVICH, "Real fibered morphisms and Ulrich sheaves", J. Algebr. Geom. 29 (2020), no. 1, p. 167-198.
- [14] M. KUMMER & K. SHAW, "The separating semigroup of a real curve", Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, Math. 29 (2020), no. 1, p. 79-96.
- [15] M. MANZAROLI, "Real algebraic curves of bidegree (5,5) on the quadric ellipsoid", St. Petersbg. Math. J. 32 (2021), no. 2, p. 279-306.
- [16] _____, "Real algebraic curves on real del Pezzo surfaces", Int. Math. Res. Not. 2022 (2022), no. 2, p. 1350-1413.
- [17] A. MARIN, "La transversalité topologique. Une extension d'un théorème de Rohlin et application au 16e problème de Hilbert", PhD Thesis, Université Paris-Sud, Paris, France, 1979, https://theses.hal.science/tel-04155818/.
- [18] G. B. MIKHALKIN, "Congruences for real algebraic curves on an ellipsoid", in *Topology of manifolds and varieties*, Advances in Soviet Mathematics, vol. 18, American Mathematical Society, 1994, p. 223-233.
- [19] _____, "Topology of curves of degree 6 on cubic surfaces in \mathbb{RP}^3 ", J. Algebr. Geom. 7 (1998), no. 2, p. 219-237.
- [20] N. M. MISHACHEV, "Complex orientations of plane M-curves of odd degree", Funct. Anal. Appl. 9 (1976), p. 342-343.
- [21] S. Y. OREVKOV, "Riemann existence theorem and construction of real algebraic curves", Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse, Math. (6) 12 (2003), no. 4, p. 517-531.
- [22] , "Arrangements of an *M*-quintic with respect to a conic that maximally intersect the odd branch of the quintic", *St. Petersbg. Math. J.* **19** (2008), no. 4, p. 625-674.
- [23] —, "On the hyperbolicity locus of a real curve", Funct. Anal. Appl. 52 (2018), p. 151-153.
- [24] —, "Algebraically unrealizable complex orientations of plane real pseudoholomorphic curves", Geom. Funct. Anal. 31 (2021), p. 930-947.
- [25] V. A. ROKHLIN, "Complex orientations of real algebraic curves", Funct. Anal. Appl. 8 (1974), p. 331-334.
- [26] V. I. ZVONILOV, "Complex topological invariants of real algebraic curves on a hyperboloid and an ellipsoid", St. Petersbg. Math. J. 3 (1992), no. 5, p. 1023-1042.