

DRUMI BAINOV

VALÉRY COVACHEV

IVANKA STAMOVA

**Estimates of the solutions of impulsive quasilinear
functional differential equations**

Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse 5^e série, tome 12,
n° 2 (1991), p. 149-161

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AFST_1991_5_12_2_149_0

© Université Paul Sabatier, 1991, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse » (<http://picard.ups-tlse.fr/~annales/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Estimates of the solutions of impulsive quasilinear functional differential equations

DRUMI BAINOV⁽¹⁾, VALÉRY COVACHEV⁽²⁾ and IVANKA STAMOVA⁽³⁾

RÉSUMÉ. — Dans le travail présenté des estimations pour les solutions des équations impulsives fonctionnelles-différentielles quasi-linéaires sont obtenues. On a prouvé l'existence des solutions de certaines classes d'équations non linéaires avec un temps de vie arbitrairement long pour des fonctions initiales suffisamment petites.

ABSTRACT. — In this paper estimates of the solutions of impulsive quasilinear functional differential equations are obtained and the existence of solutions of certain nonlinear equations with arbitrarily long lifespan for sufficiently small initial functions is proved.

1. Introduction

The mathematical theory of the impulsive differential equations (IDE) originates from the work of Mil'man and Myshkis [1] (1960). In a brief period of time the interest in this theory grew due to the numerous applications of IDE to science, technology and practice [2], [3].

A generalization of IDE are the impulsive functional differential equations (IFDE). They are an adequate mathematical model of many processes in various fields of sciences and technology.

(1) South-West University, Blagoevgrad

(2) Institute of Mathematics, Bulgarian Academy of Science

(3) Filial Technical University, Sliven

In the present paper some classes of integro-functional inequalities of Gronwall's type for piecewise continuous functions are investigated and by means of the results obtained for them estimates for the solutions of IFDE are found. As an application, the existence of solutions of certain nonlinear equations with arbitrarily long lifespan for sufficiently small initial functions is proved.

2. Preliminary notes

In the paper the following notation is used :

\mathbb{R}^n is the n -dimensional Euclidean space
 with elements $x = \text{col}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and norm $\|x\| = \{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\}^{1/2}$;
 $\|A\| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} (\|Ax\|/\|x\|)$ is the norm of the $n \times n$ -matrix A ;
 $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x\| < k\}$ for some $k > 0$;
 $I = [t_0, T)$ for some $T, t_0 < T \leq +\infty$;
 $h > 0, I_h = [t_0 - h, T), \tau = \min(T, t_0 + h)$.

Consider impulsive systems of FDE of the following forms

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bx(t-h), & t \neq \tau_i \\ \Delta x|_{t=\tau_i} = p_i(x), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = D\dot{x}(t-h) + Ax(t) + Bx(t-h), & t \neq \tau_i \\ \Delta x|_{t=\tau_i} = p_i(x), \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = D\dot{x}(t-h) + Ax(t) + Bx(t-h) \\ \quad + Q(x(t), x(t-h), \dot{x}(t-h)), & t \neq \tau_i \\ \Delta x|_{t=\tau_i} = p_i(x), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; A, B, D are constant $n \times n$ matrices: $\tau_i \in I$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) are fixed moments; $\Delta x|_{t=\tau_i} = x(\tau_i + 0) - x(\tau_i - 0)$, $\tau_{i+1} > \tau_i$ and if $T = +\infty$, then $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_i = +\infty$; $p_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$; $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $\varphi_0 : [t_0 - h, t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous function.

Estimates of the solutions of impulsive quasilinear functional differential equations

DEFINITION 1. — *The function $x(t; \varphi_0) : I_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a solution of system (1) in the interval I if :*

1) for $t_0 \leq t < T, t \neq \tau_i$ it satisfies the system

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bx(t - h),$$

2) for $t_0 \leq t < T, t = \tau_i$ it satisfies the condition

$$\Delta x = p_i(x(t)),$$

3) in the interval $[t_0 - h, t_0]$ it coincides with the function φ_0 .

The definitions of solutions of system (2) and (3) are analogous to definition 1 but for them we shall require $\varphi_0 \in C^1[t_0 - h, t_0]$, and for $t = \tau_i + h$ we consider the absolute continuous part of v .

In order to obtain estimates for the solutions of system (1)-(3), we shall consider the corresponding systems of integral equations.

We shall say that conditions (H) are met if the following conditions hold:

H₁ The function $v(t) : I_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is piecewise continuous and piecewise differentiable in I and has points of discontinuity of the first kind $t = \tau_i$ which have no finite accumulation points.

H₂ $t_0 \leq \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_i < \tau_{i+1} < \dots$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_i = +\infty$ if $T = +\infty$.

H₃ $v(\tau_i - 0) = v(\tau_i), i = 1, 2, \dots$

H₄ For $t_0 - h \leq t \leq t_0$ the inequality $\|v(t)\| < \delta$ is valid, $\delta > 0$.

H₅ The functions $p_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, i = 1, 2, \dots$ are continuous in Ω .

H₆ A, B are constant matrices, $c = \text{const}$ is an n -dimensional vector.

Further on we shall use the following lemma.

LEMMA 1 [2]. — *Let the following conditions hold:*

1) Condition H₂ is valid.

2) $m(t) : I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a piecewise continuous with points of discontinuity of the first kind $t = \tau_i$ at which it is continuous from the left.

3) $p : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function.

4) For $t \in I$ the following inequality is valid

$$m(t) \leq c_0 + \int_{t_0}^t p(s)m(s) ds + \sum_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} \beta_i m(\tau_i), \quad (4)$$

where $\beta_i \geq 0$ and c_0 is a constant.

Then,

$$m(t) \leq c_0 \prod_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} (1 + \beta_i) \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^t p(\sigma) d\sigma\right), \quad t \in I. \quad (5)$$

Lemma 1 follows from the more general statement of lemma 2, as kindly suggested by the referee.

LEMMA 2. — Assume that the piecewise continuous function $m(t) \geq 0$ satisfies the inequality ($\tau_0 = t_0$)

$$m(t) \leq c_0 + \sum_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} \beta_i \sup_{\tau_{i-1} < \tau < \tau_i} m(\tau),$$

where $\beta_i > 0$ and c_0 is a constant.

Then,

$$m(t) \leq c_0 \prod_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} (1 + \beta_i).$$

Lemma 2 is proved by induction on $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where

$$\tau_k < t \leq \tau_{k+1} \quad (6)$$

Now we shall show that lemma 2 \Rightarrow lemma 1. Let (6) be valid for some k . Then (4) implies

$$\begin{aligned} m(t) &\leq c_0 + \int_{\tau_k}^t p(s)m(s) ds + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\beta_i + \int_{\tau_{i-1}}^{\tau_i} p(s) ds \right) \sup_{\tau_{i-1} < \tau < \tau_i} m(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's lemma we have

$$m(t) \leq \left\{ c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\beta_i + \int_{\tau_{i-1}}^{\tau_i} p(s) ds \right) \sup_{\tau_{i-1} < \tau < \tau_i} m(\tau) \right\} \times \exp \left(\int_{\tau_k}^t p(s) ds \right)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} m(t) \exp \left(- \int_{\tau_k}^t p(s) ds \right) &\leq \\ &\leq c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\beta_i + \int_{\tau_{i-1}}^{\tau_i} p(s) ds \right) \sup_{\tau_{i-1} < \tau < \tau_i} m(\tau) \\ &\leq c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\beta_i + \int_{\tau_{i-1}}^{\tau_i} p(s) ds \right) \sup_{\tau_{i-1} < \tau < \tau_i} \left(m(\tau) \exp \left(- \int_{\tau_k}^{\tau} p(s) ds \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then by lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(t) \exp \left(- \int_{\tau_k}^t p(s) ds \right) &\leq c_0 \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 + \beta_i + \int_{\tau_{i-1}}^{\tau_i} p(s) ds \right) \\ &\leq c_0 \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \beta_i) \left(1 + \int_{\tau_{i-1}}^{\tau_i} p(s) ds \right) \\ &\leq c_0 \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \beta_i) \exp \left(\int_{\tau_{i-1}}^{\tau_i} p(s) ds \right) \\ &\leq c_0 \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \beta_i) \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^{\tau_k} p(s) ds \right), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$m(t) \leq c_0 \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \beta_i) \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t p(s) ds \right),$$

which is (5) for the case (6). \square

3. Main results

THEOREM 1. — *Let the following conditions hold:*

- 1) *Conditions (H) are valid.*
- 2) *For $t \in I$ the following equality is valid:*

$$v(t) = c + \int_{t_0}^t [Av(s) + Bv(s-h)] ds + \sum_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} p_i(v(\tau_i)), \quad h > 0.$$

- 3) *There exist constants $\beta_i > 0$ such that the conditions $\|p_i(v)\| \leq \beta_i \|v\|$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ hold.*

Then for $t_0 < t < \tau = \min\{\tau, t_0 + h\}$ the following estimate is valid:

$$\|v(t)\| \leq \delta(1 + \|B\|h) \prod_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} (1 + \beta_i) e^{\|A\|h}. \quad (7)$$

Proof. — From conditions 1) and 2) of theorem 1 it follows that for $t_0 < t < \tau$ the following inequality is valid

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t)\| &\leq \|c\| + \|B\|h\delta + \|A\| \int_{t_0}^t \|v(s)\| ds + \\ &+ \sum_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} \|p_i(v(\tau_i))\|. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Obviously, $c = v(t_0)$, thus $\|c\| < \delta$. From conditions 3) of theorem 1 there follows the validity of the inequality

$$\|v(t)\| < \delta(1 + \|B\|h) + \|A\| \int_{t_0}^t \|v(s)\| ds + \sum_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} \beta_i \|v(\tau_i)\|$$

to which we apply theorem 1 for $m(t) = \|v(t)\|$, $p = \|A\|$, $c_0 = \delta(1 + \|B\|h)$ and obtain inequality (7). \square

Remark 1. — In particular, if $\delta > 0$ is small enough, from (7) we obtain $\|v(t)\| < k$, thus $T > t_0 + h$.

COROLLARY 1. — *Let the following conditions hold:*

- 1) *Conditions (H) are valid.*
- 2) *Condition 2) of theorem 1 is valid.*
- 3) *There exists a constant $a > 0$ such that*

$$\|p_i(v)\| \leq a\|v\|, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

- 4) *There exists a constant $\theta > 0$ such that*

$$\tau_{i+1} - \tau_i \leq \theta$$

for any $i = 1, 2, \dots$

Then for $t_0 < t < \tau$ the following estimate is valid:

$$\|v(t)\| < \delta(1 + \|B\|h) \exp \left\{ \left[\|A\| + \frac{1}{\theta} \ln(1 + a) \right] t \right\}. \quad (9)$$

Proof. — Applying theorem 1 for $\beta_i = a$, we obtain the estimate

$$\|v(t)\| < \delta(1 + \|B\|h)(1 + a)^{i(t_0, t)} e^{\|A\|h},$$

where $i(t_0, t)$ is the number of the points τ_i in the interval $[t_0, t)$, i.e., $i(t_0, t) = i$ if $\tau_i < t \leq \tau_{i+1}$.

Then condition 4) of corollary 1 implies the validity of (9). \square

COROLLARY 2. — *Let the following conditions hold:*

- 1) *Conditions (H) are valid.*
- 2) *Condition 2) of theorem 1 is valid.*
- 3) *There exists a constant $b > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} \|p_i(v(\tau_i))\| \leq b.$$

Then for $t_0 < t < \tau$ the following estimate is valid:

$$\|v(t)\| < (\delta + \|B\|h\delta + b) e^{\|A\|h}. \quad (10)$$

Proof. — From condition 1) and 2) of corollary 2 it follows that for $t_0 < t < \tau$ inequality (8) is valid. Condition 3) of corollary 2 implies the validity of the inequality

$$\|v(t)\| < \delta + \|B\|h\delta + B + \|A\| \int_{t_0}^t \|v(s)\| ds$$

to which we apply Gronwall's lemma and obtain (10). \square

THEOREM 2. — *Let the following conditions hold:*

- 1) *Conditions (H) are valid and $v(t)$ is absolutely continuous in $[t_0 - h, t_0]$.*
- 2) *Conditions 3) and 4) of Corollary 1 are valid.*
- 3) *D is a constant $n \times n$ -matrix.*
- 4) *For $t \in I$ the following equality is valid:*

$$v(t) = c + D[v(t-h) - v(t_0-h)] + \int_{t_0}^t [Av(s) + Bv(s-h)] ds + \\ + \sum_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} p_i(v(\tau_i)), \quad h > 0.$$

Then for $t_0 < t < \tau$ the following estimate is valid:

$$\|v(t)\| < \delta(1 + 2\|D\| + \|B\|h) e^{[\|A\| + (1/\theta) \ln(1+a)]h}. \quad (11)$$

Proof. — From condition 4) of theorem 2 it follows that for $t_0 < t < \tau$ the following inequality is valid:

$$\|v(t)\| < \delta(1 + 2\|D\| + \|B\|h) + \|A\| \int_{t_0}^t \|v(s)\| ds + \\ + \sum_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} \|p_i(v(\tau_i))\|.$$

Condition 3) of corollary 1 implies the validity of the inequality

$$\|v(t)\| < \delta(1 + 2\|D\| + \|B\|h) + \|A\| \int_{t_0}^t \|v(s)\| ds + \\ + \sum_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} a\|v(\tau_i)\|$$

to which we apply lemma 1 for $\beta_i = a$, $m(t) = \|v(t)\|$, $p = \|A\|$, $c_0 = \delta(1+2\|D\|+\|B\|h)$ and condition 4) of corollary 1 and obtain estimate (11). \square

Again we obtain that if $\delta > 0$ is small enough, then $T > t_0 + h$.

Remark 2. — The integral equation in condition 4) of theorem 2 is equivalent to system (2) only in the interval $[t_0, \tau]$. In general the integral equation corresponding to (2) is

$$v(t) = c + D \left[v(t-h) - \sum_{t_0 < \tau_i < t-h} p_i(v(\tau_i)) - v(t_0-h) \right] + \int_{t_0}^t [Av(s) + Bv(s-h)] ds + \sum_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} p_i(v(\tau_i)).$$

THEOREM 3. — *Let the following conditions hold:*

- 1) *Conditions (H) are valid.*
- 2) *Condition 3) and 4) of Corollary 1 are valid.*
- 3) *The function $Q : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is such that*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \|Q(x, y, z)\| \} \leq \nu, \quad \nu > 0.$$

- 4) *For $t_0 \leq t < T$ the following equality is valid:*

$$v(t) = c + D[v(t-h) - v(t_0-h)] + \int_{t_0}^t [Av(s) + Bv(s-h) + Q(v(s), v(s-h), \dot{v}(s-h))] ds + \sum_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} p_i(v(\tau_i)), \quad h > 0. \quad (12)$$

Then for $t_0 < t < \tau$ the following estimate is valid:

$$\|v(t)\| \leq [\delta(1+2\|D\|+\|B\|h) + \nu h] e^{[\|A\|+(1/\theta) \ln(1+a)]h}. \quad (13)$$

Proof. — From condition 1), 3) and 4) of theorem 3 it follows that for $t_0 < t < \tau$ the following inequality is valid:

$$\|v(t)\| \leq \delta(1+2\|D\|+\|B\|h) + \|A\| \int_{t_0}^t \|v(s)\| ds + \nu h + \sum_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} \|p_i(v(\tau_i))\|.$$

Condition 3) of corollary 1 implies the validity of the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t)\| &= \delta(1 + 2\|D\| + \|B\|h) + \nu h + \|A\| \int_{t_0}^t \|v(s)\| ds + \\ &+ \sum_{t_0 < \tau_i < t} a \|v(\tau_i)\| \end{aligned}$$

to which we apply lemma 1 for $\beta_i = a$, $m(t) = \|v(t)\|$, $p = \|A\|$, $c_0 = \nu h + \delta(1 + 2\|D\| + \|B\|h)$ and condition 4) of corollary 1 and obtain (13). \square

We shall note that for the integral equation (12) and system (3) an assertion analogous of this of remark 2 is valid.

COROLLARY 3. — *Let the following conditions hold:*

- 1) *Conditions 1), 2) and 4) of theorem 3 are valid.*
- 2) *$\|\dot{v}(t)\| < \delta$ for $t_0 - h \leq t \leq t_0$.*
- 3) *The function $Q(x, y, z)$ is defined in a neighbourhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^{3n} and satisfies $\|Q(x, y, z)\| \leq C(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + \|z\|^2)$.*
- 4) *$\|A\| < 1$, $a < 1$.*

Then for each sufficiently small $\delta_1 > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|v(t)\| < \delta_1 q \|\dot{v}(t)\| < \delta_1$ for $t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + h$.

Proof. — Choose $\delta_1 < k$ so small that $Q(x, y, z)$ be defined in

$$\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n} \mid \|x\| < \delta_1, \|y\| < \delta_1, \|z\| < \delta_1\}.$$

Suppose that the assertion is not true and let

$$\tau = \sup\{t \in [t_0, t_0 + h) \mid \|v(t)\| < \delta_1 q \|\dot{v}(t)\| < \delta_1 \text{ for } t_0 \leq t < \tau\}.$$

Then at least one of the following two inequalities is valid:

$$\|v(\tau + 0)\| \geq \delta_1 \tag{14}$$

or

$$\|\dot{v}(\tau + 0)\| \geq \delta_1. \tag{15}$$

Estimates of the solutions of impulsive quasilinear functional differential equations

Suppose that (14) holds. We apply theorem 3 with $\nu = C(2\delta^2 + \delta_1^2)$ and obtain

$$\|v(t)\| \leq \left\{ \delta(1 + 2\|D\| + \|B\|h) + Ch(2\delta^2 + \delta_1^2) \right\} \times e^{[\|A\| + (1/\theta) \ln(1+a)]h} \quad (16)$$

for $t_0 \leq t < \tau$.

If for any sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ we can make the right-hand side of (16) smaller than $\delta_1(1-a)q$ we get to a contradiction since the possible jump of $\|v(t)\|$ at the point τ does not exceed $a\|v(t)\| < a\delta_1$. Thus we wish to solve the inequality

$$2C\delta^2 + \delta \left(\frac{1 + 2\|D\|}{h} + \|B\| \right) + C\delta_1^2 - \frac{1-a}{he^{[\|A\| + (1/\theta) \ln(1+a)]h}} \delta_1 < 0. \quad (17)$$

If $\delta = 0$, then it takes the form

$$C\delta_1^2 < \frac{e^{-[\|A\| + (1/\theta) \ln(1+a)]h}}{h} \delta_1(1-a)$$

and is satisfied for

$$\delta_1 < \frac{(1-a)e^{-[\|A\| + (1/\theta) \ln(1+a)]h}}{Ch}.$$

Obviously inequality (17) will be satisfied too for any $\delta > 0$ small enough.

Thus $\|v(\tau + 0)\| < \delta_1$. Now suppose that (15) holds.

On the other hand, from the equivalent in the interval $[t_0, \tau]$ to (12) system (3) we obtain

$$\|\dot{v}(t)\| \leq \delta(\|D\| + \|B\|) + \|A\|\delta_1 + C(2\delta^2 + \delta_1^2) \text{ for } t_0 \leq t \leq \tau + 0. \quad (18)$$

If for any sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ we can make the right-hand side of (18) smaller than δ_1 , we shall get to a contradiction and the assertion will be proved. Thus we want to solve the inequality

$$2C\delta^2 + \delta(\|D\| + \|B\|) + C\delta_1^2 - (1 - \|A\|)\delta_1 < 0. \quad (19)$$

If $\delta = 0$, then it takes the form

$$C\delta_1^2 < (1 - \|A\|)\delta_1$$

and is satisfied for

$$\delta_1 < \frac{(1 - \|A\|)}{C}.$$

Obviously inequality (19) will be satisfied too for any $\delta > 0$ small enough. \square

COROLLARY 4. — *Let the following conditions hold:*

- 1) $v(t)$ is a solution of system (3) in I .
- 2) Conditions 1) and 2) of theorem 2 are valid.
- 3) Conditions 3) and 4) of corollary 3 are valid.

Then for $\delta > 0$ small enough the lifespan T of $v(t)$ can be chosen arbitrarily large.

Proof. — Let $T > 0$ be arbitrarily large and denote $n = T/h$. Integrate system (3) from $t_0 + nh$ to $t \leq T$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) = & v(t_0 + nh + 0) + D(v(t - h)) + \\ & - \sum_{t_0 + (n-1)h < \tau_i < t-h} p_i (v(\tau_i) - v(t_0 + (n-1)h + 0)) + \\ & + \int_{t_0 + nh}^t [Av(s) + Bv(s - h) + Q(v(s), v(s - h), \dot{v}(s - h))] ds + \\ & + \sum_{t_0 + nh < \tau_i < t} p_i (v(\tau_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t + 0)\| < \delta_n, \quad \|\dot{v}(t + 0)\| < \delta_n \\ \text{for } t_0 + (n - 1)h \leq t \leq t_0 + nh. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

As in theorem 3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t)\| \leq & \delta_n \left[1 + \|D\| \left(2 + \frac{ha}{\theta} \right) + \|B\|h \right] + \|A\| \int_{t_0 + nh}^t \|v(s)\| ds + \\ & + Ch \left(2\delta_n^2 + \sup_{t_0 + nh < t < T} \|v(t)\|^2 \right) + \sum_{t_0 + nh < \tau_i < t} a \|v(\tau_i)\| \end{aligned}$$

for $t_0 + nh < t < T$.

Further on, repeating some details of the proofs of theorem 3 and corollary 3, we prove that if $\delta_{n+1} < k$ is small enough, then there exists $\delta_n > 0$ such that

$$\|v(t)\| < \delta_{n+1}, \quad \|\dot{v}(t)\| < \delta_{n+1}$$

for $t_0 + nh \leq t \leq T$. Obviously we can choose $\delta_n < \delta_{n+1}$.

Analogously we prove that there exists sufficiently small $\delta_{n-1} < \delta_n$ such that if

$$\|v(t+0)\| < \delta_{n-1}, \quad \|\dot{v}(t+0)\| < \delta_{n-1}$$

for $t_0 + (n-2)h \leq t \leq t_0 + (n-1)h$, then (20) holds, etc. After a finite number of steps we find δ_1 and $\delta > 0$ so that the assertion of corollary 3 should hold. Going back, we obtain that if $\|v(t)\| < \delta$, $\|\dot{v}(t)\| < \delta$ for $t_0 - h \leq t \leq t_0$, then the solution is defined for $t < T$ since in view of what was proved above we do not leave the definition domains of $p_i(v)$ and Q . \square

Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to the Bulgarian Committee of Science for its support under Grant 61 (D. Bainov and I. Stamova) and Grant 52 (V. Covachev).

References

- [1] MIL'MAN (V.D.) and MYSHKIS (A.D.) .— *On the stability of motion in the presence of impulses*,
Sib. Math. J. 1 (1960), n° 2, pp. 233-237 (in Russian).
- [2] LAKSHMIKANTHAM (V.), BAINOV (D.D.) and SIMEONOV (P.S.) .— *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*,
World Publishers, Singapore, 1989.
- [3] BAINOV (D.D.) and SIMEONOV (P.S.) .— *Systems with Impulse Effect : Theory and Applications*,
Ellis Horwood, 1989.